SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1955

No. 448:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, APPELLANT

McKESSON AND ROBBINS, INCORPORATED

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

INDEX		1
	Original	Print
Record from the United States District Court for the Southern	1	
District of New York	. 1	e 1
Complaint	. 1	1
Answer	. 7	5
Notice of plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.	. 15	: 10
Motion for summary judgment	. 16	11
'Affidavit of Laurence C. Ehrhardt in opposition to motion	1	
for summary judgment	. 18	11
Exhibit A-Manufacturer-wholesaler fair trade agree		
ment	. 20	13
Exhibit B-Manufacturer-retailer fair trade agree	Man Ga	
ment	. 23	14
Exhibit C-Survey of distribution methods of fair-	ALLEY OF	
trading manufacturers	26	15
Opinion on motion for summary judgment, Murphy, J	. 27	. 16
Interrogatories propounded by the plaintiff	42	25
Exhibit A-Manufacturer-wholesaler fair trade agree-		
ment	47	28
Exhibit B-Manufacturer-retailer fair trade agree-		
ment	48	29
Answers of defendant to interrogatories on behalf of the		
United States	51	. 30
Annex to Item 4—Statement showing dollar volume of		
sales to retailers by McKesson & Robbins, Inc	. 60	34
Annex to Item 5—Statement showing list of wholesale	The state of the s	
drug divisions of McKesson & Robbins, Inc., with		4 8
Addresses	62	36

Record from the United States District Court for the Southern		
District of New York—Continued		
Answers of defendant to interrogatories on behalf of the	2.20	
United States—Continued	Origin	al Print
Annex to Item 7-Statement showing list of whole-	7. **	1
salers to whom McKesson & Robbins, Inc., sold	1	
products, etc.	64	. 37
Annex to Item 8 Statement showing list of whole-		The state of
salers to whom McKesson & Robbins, Inc., sold	0	Y.
products, etc	65	37
Annex to Item 9—List of McKesson products	-	faces 37
Supplemental interrogatories propounded by the plaintiff.		37
Exhibit 1—Letter dated June 1, 1951, from McKesson	~ 0.	
& Robbins, Inc., transmitting "Manufacturer-		
retailer fair trade agreement"	74	43
Exhibit 2—Letter dated June 1, 1951, from McKesson	- 17	. 40
& Robbins, Inc., transmitting "Manufacturer-		
wholesaler fair trade agreement"	70	44
	76	77
Exhibit 3—Memorandum dated June 1, 1951, "For attention of Chief House Executives" and signed		
	00	0 10
"H. C. Nolen" - 0	80	° 46
Exhibit 4—Memorandum dated June 8, 1951, "For		5
attention of Chief House Executives" and signed	0.4	10
"H. C. Nolen"	84	49
Exhibit 5—Memorandum dated June 6, 1951, "For		
attention of Chief House Executives" and signed		
"H. C. Nolen"	85	50
Exhibit 6—Letter dated June 8, 1951, from McKesson		
& Robbins, Inc., transmitting "Manufacturer-		
wholesaler fair trade agreement".	86	50
Exhibit 7—Letter dated June 8, 1951, from McKesson	-	14
& Robbins, Inc., to wholesaler customers.	89.	52
Answers to supplemental interrogatories propounded by		
plaintiff	92	53
Annex to Item 17—Statement showing list of whole-	19.00	
	114	58
Annex to Item 19—Statement showing list of cus-		
tomers to whom direct retail sales were made, etc		60
Admission of facts		61
Stipulation of facts	122	61
Stipulation of facts	125	64
Deposition of Wilbur E. Dewell:		
	127	64
Cross	249	121
Redirect	249	121
Deposition of Herman C. Nolen	258	125
Stenographer's minutes of May 18, 1955	340	163
Appearances	340	. 163
Offers in evidence		163
Plaintiff rests		169

Record from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York-Continued Stenographer's minutes of May 18, 1955 - Continued Original Print Motion to dismiss and ruling thereon. 354 169 Argument of Mr. Dobey 355 169 Argument of Mr. McGrath 367 175 Opinion, Claney, J. 380 180 180 Conclusion of law. 383 181 Notice of motion to make additional findings 387 ,183 Medion to make additional findings. 388 184 Memo of denial of motion 394A 188 Affidavit of Denis B. Sullivan in opposition to motion for making additional findings____ 395 189 Hearing on motion to make additional findings 399A 192 Government's Exhibit 8-List of defendant's published net wholesale prices in effect May 27, 1952 401 faces 199 Government's Exhibit 9-Price and discount schedule. 403 faces 199 Government's Exhibit 10-Price list on sales of McKesson products by the Manufacturing Division to wholesalers and retailers 404 - 200 Government's Exhibit 11-Summary of sales by the Manufacturing Division of McKesson & Robbins, Inc., to retail accounts during the period July 1, 1951, to Jane 201 Government's Exhibit 12-Letter dated March 10, 1955, from Peoples Drug Stores to Department of Justice 407-202 Government's Exhibit 13-List of locations of Peoples Drug Stores: 203 Government's Exhibit 14-Letter dated April 17, 1953, from McKesson & Robbins, Inc., to Northern Drug Co., with form of Manufacturers-Wholesaler fair trade agreement (Latter omitted in printing) 205 Government's Exhibit 15—Fair Trade Form W/4/53— "Manufacturers-Wholesaler Fair Trade Agreement" ____ 412 206 Government's Exhibit 16-Fair Trade Form R/4/53-"Manufacturers-Retailer Fair Trade Agreement" ____ 414 208 Government's Exhibit 17-Letter dated March 21, 1955, from McKesson & Robbins, Inc., with attached price list (price list omitted in printing). 209 416 Government's Exhibit 18—Summary of sales of McKesson products by the wholesale divisions of McKesson & Robbins to other wholesalers during the period July 1,° 1951, and ending June 30, 1952_____ 425 **209** ° Government's Exhibit 20-Letter dated April 18, 1955, from Walgreen Drug Stores to Department of Justice with accompanying list showing locations of its stores. 428 Government's Exhibit 21-Letter dated April 7, 1955, from Katz Drug Co. to Department of Justice with accompanying list showing locations of its stores 445

Record from the United States District Court for the Southern	de:	
District of New York-Continued o	riginal	Print
Government's Exhibit 22-Letter dated April 21, 1955,		
from United Cigar-Whelan Stores Corporation to	Thomas	
Department of Justice with accompanying list showing		
locations of its stores	447	223
Government's Exhibit 23—Letter dated April 4, 1955, from		0
the Marshal Drug Company to Department of Justice		17.
with accompanying list showing locations of its stores,	450	228
Government's Exhibit 24—Letter dated April 4, 1955, from		
Sun Drug Company, Inc., to Department of Justice with		
accompanying list showing locations of its stores	452	231
Government's Exhibit 25—Letter dated April 6, 1955, from	7 - 4 - 2	
Crown Drug Company to Department of Justice with		
accompanying list showing locations of its stores	454	232
Government's Exhibit 26-Letter dated March 21, 1955,		No.
from Sun Ray Drug Co., to Department of Justice with	-	
accompanying list showing locations of its stores.	457	234
Government's Exhibit 27—Letter dated April 5, 1955, from	\$.	U
Cohen Drug Company to Department of Justice with		
list showing locations of its stores.	461	238
Government's Exhibit 28—Letter dated April "81", 1955,		
from Gray Drug Stores, Inc., to Department of Justice		000
with accompanying list showing locations of its stores.	462	239
Government's Exhibit 29—Letter dated April 29, 1955,		
from Cunningham Drug Stores, Inc., to Department of		. 820
Justice with accompanying list showing locations of its	400	040
Government's Exhibit 30—Letter dated April 27, 1955,	468	243
from Rexall Drug Company to Department of Justice		00
with accompanying list showing locations of its stores.	471	246
Notice of appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States	477	250
Clerk's certificate (omitted in printing)	480	251
Order noting probable jurisdiction	481	251
	101	-

1 United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Civil Action No. 7650

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLAINTIFF

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, Defendant

Complaint

Filed May 27, 1952

[File endorsement omitted.]

The United States of America, plaintiff, by its a torneys acting under the direction of the Acting Attorney General of the United States, brings this action and complains and alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This complaint is filed and this action is instituted against the defendant under Section 4 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, 26 Stat. 209, (15 U. S. C. Sec. 4) as amended, entitled, "An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies," commonly known as the Sherman Act, in order to prevent and restrain continuing violations by the defendant, as hereinafter alleged, of Section 1 of the Sherman Act."

2. The defendant named herein maintains offices, transacts business and is found within the Southern District of New York.

II. THE DEFENDANT

3. McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, is hereby made defendant herein. It is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland and has its general offices at 155 East 44 Street, New York 17, New York.

III. NATURE OF THE TRADE AND COMMERCE .

4. The defendant is one of the largest wholesalers of drugstore merchandise in the United States. Operating through more than 70 divisions located in 35 states, it conducts a mationwide business, dealing at wholesale in drugs, pharmaceuticals, surgical and medical supplies, toilet articles, and sundry other items customarily sold in drugstores.

5. Most of the merchandise wholesaled by the defendant consists of products manufactured or supplied to it by other concerns.

The remainder, accounting for annual net sales of about \$11,000,000, consists of products manufactured or packaged by the deserment at its plant in the State of Connecticut and branded with the defendant's name. The branded products manufactured or packaged by the defendant are sometimes hereinafter called "McKesson products." McKesson products constitute a line of drugs, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and toilet preparations.

6. The defendant sells most of its merchandise, including Mc-Kesson products, to drugstores and other retailers who are located throughout the United States, who in turn resell said merchandise to ultimate consumers. The defendant also sells McKesson products to numerous other wholesalers of drugstore merchandise throughout the United States, who are competitors of the defendant in the business of wholesaling drugstore merchandise. Said other wholesalers resell said McKesson products, in competition with the defendant, to drugstores and other retailers throughout the United States. In the year ending June 30, 1951, the defendant's net sales of McKesson products to said other wholesalers of drugstore merchandise amounted to more than \$700,000.

7. There is a continuous flow of McKesson products in interstate trade and commerce from the defendant to retailers, from the defendant to other wholesalers of drugstore merchandise, from other wholesalers of drugstore merchandise to retailers, and

from retailers to ultimate consumers...

IV. THE CO-CONSPIRATORS

8. Numerous wholesalers of drugstore merchandise, not made defendants herein, participated as co-conspirators with the defendant in the offense hereinafter charged, and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of said offense. These co-conspirators are those wholesalers of drugstore merchandise to whom the defendant sells McKesson products, as recited in Paragraph 6 above. All of said co-conspirators have entered into written resale price maintenance contracts with the defendant pertaining to McKesson products.

V. OFFENSE CHARGED

9. Beginning in or about June 1951, and continuing up to and including the date of the filing of this complaint, the defendant and co-conspirators have engaged in an unlawful combination and conspiracy and have entered into unlawful contracts, agreements and understandings to fix and control wholesale and retail selling prices of McKesson products, in restraint of the aforesaid interstate trade and commerce therein, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. The defendant and co-conspirators threaten to

and will continue the offense unless the relief hereinafter prayed

for in this complaint is granted.

10. The aforesaid combination and conspiracy has consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding and concert of action among the defendant and the co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which have been that they agree that:

(a) the defendant fix and establish minimum wholesale and retail prices for the sale and resale of McKesson products, and

inform the co-conspirators of such prices;

• (b) the defendant and the co-conspirators will not offer for sale, sell, or resell McKesson products to drugstores or other retailers at prices less than the said minimum wholesale prices fixed by the defendant;

(c) the defendant and the co-conspirators require each drugstore or other retailer to whom they sell McKesson products to agree that it will not resell McKesson products at prices less than the said minimum retail prices fixed by

the defendant:

(d) the defendant will not offer for sale of sell McKesson products to any wholesaler who fails or refuses to agree not to sell McKesson products at prices less than the said minimum wholesale prices fixed by the defendant, or who fails or refuses to agree to require its purchasers to agree to maintain the minimum retail prices fixed by the defendant.

(e) the defendant and co-conspirators induce, cause and compel retailers to maintain the minimum retail prices fixed by

defendant.

#11. For the purpose of effectuating the aforesaid combination and conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators, by agreement and concert of action, have done those things which as hereinbefore alleged they combined and conspired to do.

VI. EFFECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY

12. The aforesaid combination and conspiracy has had the following effects:

(a) wholesale and retail prices of McKesson products have been fixed by private agreement instead of by free competition;

(b) wholesalers desiring to sell McKesson products at prices less than those fixed by the conspiracy have been unable to buy such products from the defendant;

(c) retailers have been caused to sell McKesson products at prices not less than those fixed by private agreement, instead of

prices determined by free competition;

(d) consumers of McKesson products have been barred by the conspiracy from buying such products at lower prices.

" Wherefore Plaintiff prays:

1. That the aforesaid combination and conspiracy in restraint of interstate trade and commerce in McKesson products be adjudged and decreed to be unlawful and in violation of Section 1

of the Sherman Act.

2. That the defendant, its officers, directors, agents and employees and all persons acting or claiming to act on behalf of the defendant be perpetually enjoined and restrained from continuing to carry out directly or indirectly the aforesaid combination and conspiracy to control wholesale and retail selling prices of McKesson products, as hereinbefore alleged, or any combination of conspiracy having a like purpose or effect.

3. That the Court order the cancellation of all resale price maintenance contracts, agreements and understandings entered

into between the defendant and the co-conspirators.

4. That the defendant be enjoined from entering into any combination, conspiracy, contract, agreement or understanding, express or implied, with any wholesaler of drugstore merchandise whereby it is agreed or understood that the wholesaler will maintain or adhere to prices or minimum prices fixed by defendant, or agreed upon between defendant and safe wholesaler, for sales to retailers or other purchasers, or whereby it is agreed or understood that the said wholesaler will sell McKesson products only to retailers who agree to maintain fixed or minimum resale prices.

5. That the defendant be enjoined from inducing, persuading, or causing any wholesaler to maintain minimum wholesale prices fixed or suggested by defendant, or to require retailers to whom said wholesaler resells McKesson products to enter into agreements or understandings with the defendant or with such wholesaler fixing minimum retail prices for the sale of McKesson

products.

6. That the defendant be enjoined from refusing to sell Mc-Kesson products to any wholesaler because said wholesaler has not agreed to maintain, or has failed to maintain mini-

mum wholesale prices fixed or suggested by defendant, or because said wholesaler has not required or attempted to require retailers to whom it resells said products to enter into agreements or understandings with it, or with the defendant, fixing minimum resale prices.

7. That the defendant be enjoined, for such time as is necessary to dissipate the effects of the aforesaid combination and conspiracy, from inducing, persuading or causing any retailer to ob-

serve fixed minimum prices of McKesson products.

8. That the defendant be enjoined, for such time as is necessary to dissipate the effects of the aforesaid combination and con-

spiracy, from refusing to sell McKesson products to any retailer by reason of any price policy followed by such retailer.

9. That the plaintiff have such other, further and general relief

as may be necessary in the premises.

10. That the plaintiff recover the costs of this suit.

Dated May 27, 1952.

Melville C. Williams, Melville C. Williams,

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

C. Worth Rowley, C. Worth Rowley, Harold Losser, HBA, Harold Lasser,

Trial Attorneys.

Philip B. Perlman, Philip B. Perlman,

Acting Attorney General.

H. G. Morison,

H. G. Morison,

Assistant Attorney General.

Geo. B. Haddock,

GEORGE B. HADDOCK,

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

Myles J. Lane,

MYLES J. LANE,

United States Attorney.

United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Answer

Filed September 26, 1952

[File endorsement omitted]

[Title omitted]

The defendant McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, for its

answer to the complaint herein:

1. As to the allegations of paragraph 1, denies that it has or is now violating Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U. S. C. § 1) as charged in the complaint, and therefore denies that this action has been properly commenced under Section 4 of that Act (15 U. S. C. § 4).

2. Admits the allegations of paragraph 2.

3. Admits the allegations of paragraph 3, except that it denies that it has properly been made a defendant herein.

4. Admits the allegations of paragraphs 4 and 5 with the explanation that the conduct of its business as a wholesaler of drug store merchandise is separate and distinct from and on an entirely different functional level than the conduct of its business as a manufacturer of drugs and drug products.

5. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 6, except that it admits that in the conduct of its wholesale drug business the defendant sells drug store merchandise, including "McKesson

products," principally to drug stores and other retailers located throughout the United States, for resale to ulti-

mate consumers, and that in the conduct of that business the wholesale drug divisions operated by it compete with other wholesalers. The defendant also admits that in the conduct of its business as a drug manufacturer it sells "McKesson products" through wholesalers, including its own wholesale divisions, for resale to drug stores and other retailers throughout the United States, none of which wholesalers to its knowledge and belief competes with it in the drug manufacturing business. The defendant denies, however, that the volume of "McKesson products" sold to such other wholesalers is significant in relation to the total volume of products manufactured and sold by it, and denies the existence of substantial competition with other wholesalers with respect to "McKesson products."

6. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 7, except that it admits that in the conduct of its business as a drug manufacturer the defendant sells and ships "McKesson products" in interstate trade and commerce to wholesalers and retailers of

drug store merchandise.

7. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 8, except that it admits that in the conduct of its besiness as a drug manufacturer the defendant sells and distributes "McKesson products" under legal fair trade resale price maintenance contracts between it and various of its wholesaler and retailer customers.

8. Denies each and every allegation of paragraphs 9, 10, 11,

and 12.

Further answering the complaint, and as a first defense thereto, the defendant alleges:

9. The complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Further answering the complaint, and as a second defense thereto, the defendant alleges:

10. The defendant is engaged principally in the conduct of three separate and distinct businesses; (a) the manufacture of lrugs and drug products, (b) the wholesaling of drug store merchandise, and (c) the distribution at wholesale of alcoholic persages. The functions, purposes and objectives of each are

different and, except only in so far as it is necessary to formulate overall policies for each, and generally to supervise and control their activities, the operations of each are conducted separately and independently of the others by different staffs of officers and employees in different and to a considerable extent entirely separate establishments.

11. In the conduct of its business as a drug manufacturer the defendant manufactures "McKesson products" under trademarks or brand names owned by it at its manufacturing plant located in Fairfield, Connecticut. Such products are sold and delivered from there, in free and open competition with similar brand names or trademarked products manufactured by others, to wholesale and retail drug concerns, including the various wholesale drug divisions owned and operated by the defendant. Upon information and belief, none of the wholesalers to whom the defendant thus sells its brand name or trademarked products are engaged of in the business of manufacturing competitive drug products.

12. The fair trade resale price maintenance contracts under which the defendant in its capacity as a drug manufacturer sells

"McKesson products" to wholesalers and retailers are not between persons, firms or corpolations in competition with each other at the same functional level but are vertical contracts between a manufacturer and its wholesalers, or between a manufacturer and its retailers, and are legal and proper in all respects and in accordance with the letter and spirit of the various state fair trade acts, of the Miller-Tydings Amendment to the Sherman Act (50 Stat. 693), and of the Act of July 14, 1952, Public Law 542, 82d Cong. (hereinafter called the Federal Fair Trade Act).

Further answering the complaint and as a third defense thereto, the defendant alleges:

13. The brand name or trademarked products manufactured by the defendant are sold and distributed in free and open competition with similar brand name or trademarked products manufactured, sold and distributed by other manufacturers.

14. Upon information and belief, most of such other manufacturers sell their brand name and trademarked products to various of the wholesale drug divisions operated by the defendant, to other wholesalers and to retailers. In competition with such other manufacturers, the defendant also sells its brand name and trademarked products to the various wholesale drug divisions operated by it, to other wholesalers and to retailers.

15. With respect to the relatively small volume of McKesson brand name or trademarked products distributed through wholesalers other than the wholesale divisions operated by the defendant, and in competition with any of such wholesale divisions.

it is competition that was created by and is fostered and promoted by the defendant and in all essential respects is similar

to and no different from the competition from other whole11 salers enjoyed by the various wholesale drug divisions of the
defendant in the sale and distribution of brand name and
trademarked products as well as other types of drugstore merchandise manufactured by others.

16. The brand names and trademarks under which the defendant manufactures, sells and distributes its products, like the brand names and trademarks under which competitive products are manufactured, sold and distributed by other manufacturers, are assets of substantial value. To afford the defendant and such other manufacturers with the means for protecting the value of such brand names and trademarks, fair trade statutes have been enacted and are now in force and effect in 45 states of the United States, and the Miller-Tydings Amendment to the Sherman Act and the Federal Fair Trade Act have been enacted and are now in force and effect in the United States.

17. These statutes authorize and permit manufacturers of brand name or trademarked products sold in free and open competition with products of the same general class manufactured by others to sell their products under fair trade resale price maintenance contracts. In accordance with the provisions of those statutes, the defendant and upon information and belief, many of the other manufacturers of competitive products, have entered into such resale price maintenance contracts with wholesalers and retailers.

18. The fair thade resale price maintenance contracts thus entered into between the defendant and various of the wholesalers and retailers of its brand name and trademarked products are intended to accomplish the same results for the defendant as the

fair trade resale price maintenance contracts entered into
12 by other manufacturers of competitive products with their
wholesalers and retailers are intended to accomplish for
such manufacturers, and are necessary and proper to enable the
defendant successfully to compete with such other manufacturers.

19. Any interpretation of the provisions of the Miller-Tydings Amendment to the Sherman Act or of the Federal Fair Trade Act which would exclude the resale price maintenance contracts of the defendant from the protection of those acts simply because in addition to being a manufacturer of brand name and trademarked products the defendant is also a wholesaler of drugstore merchandise, would be strained and unreal, would not be consistent with the purposes and intent of those laws, would deny to the defendant the equal protection of the laws, and would deprive the defendant of its property without due process of law

in violation of the property rights guaranteed to it by the Constitution of the United States.

Further answering the complaint and as a fourth defense

thereto, the defendant alleges:

20. Prior to the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States in Schwegmann Bros. v. Calvert Distillers Corp., 341 U. S. 384, decided on May 21, 1951, the defendant, like other manufacturers of competitive products, obtained adequate legal protection of its goodwill and the value of its brand names and trademarks by means of resale price maintenance contracts entered into at the retail level with a single retailer in each of the 45 states having fair trade laws permitting such contracts.

21. The decision in the Schwegmann case deprived the defendant of this method of protecting its goodwill and the value

of its brand names and trademarks and it was compelled to look for other and different means of obtaining that protection. The only of er feasible method was through the execution of resale price maintenance contracts at the wholesale level with wholesalers containing appropriate provisions authorized under state fair trade laws and not in issue in the Schwegmann case, requiring such wholesalers in reseiling McKesson brand name and trademarked products to obtain contracts from retailers whereby the latter agreed to maintain and adhere to resale prices at the retail level. The wholesaler contracts containing those provisions are the contracts under attack in this action.

22. Since the commencement of this action, however, the Federald Fair Trade Act has become law. This Act, enacted principally for the purpose of remedying the situation created by the Schwegmann decision, has restored to the defendant the right to protect its goodwill and the value of its brand names and trademarks at the retail level by means of resale price maintenance contracts with a single retailer in each of the various states in which such contracts are permitted by law without the agreement, aid, assistance or cooperation of any of its wholesale customers.

23. In addition, the Federal Fair Trade Act expressly recognizes and confirms the legality under the antitrust laws of contractual provisions in any resale price maintenance contract "requiring a vendee to enter into contracts or agreements prescribing minimum or stipulated prices."

24. As a result this action is now academic and has become moot in so far as it challenges the validity of the fair trade resale

price maintenance contracts between the defendant and any of its wholesale customers because of any provision contained therein requiring such wholesale customers to resell McKesson brand name and trademarked products only to retailers who in turn agree to maintain and adhere to resale prices at the retail level.

25. Also, as a result of the enactment of the Federal Fair Trade Act and its various provisions the matters complained of do not involve any injury to the public and this action is not properly brought under the antitrust laws and should be dismissed.

Wherefore, the defendant respectfully demands judgment that

this action be dismissed.

Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, By Robert Thrum,

a member of the firm, Attorneys for Defendant, Office and P. O. Address: 20 Pine Street, New York 5, N. Y.

15 In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Notice of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment

Filed March 18, 1954

[File endorsement omitted.]

[Title omitted.]

Please take notice that the undersigned will move the Court in accordance with the attached Motion for Summary Judgment on the 27th day of April 1954, at Room 506, United States Court House, Foley Square, Borough of Manhattan, City, County and State of New York at 10:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for an order granting said motion and for such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York.

March 15, 1954.

Yours, etc.

RICHARD B. O'DONNELL,
Special Assistant to the Attorney General, Room 235,
U. S. Court House, Foley Square, New York, N. Y.

ALLEN A. DOBEY,
WILLIAM F. ROGERS,
Trial Attorneys.

WORTH ROWLEY,
Special Assistant the Attorney General.

To Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, 20 Pine Street, New York 5, New York, attorneys for McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated. Service acknowledged and copy received this 15th day of . March 1954.

Hodges, Reavis, McGrath,
Pantaleoni & Downey,
Hodges, Reavis, McGrath,
Pantaleoni & Downey,
by Denis B. Sullivan,

Attorneys for Defendant, McKesson & Robbins Incorporated.

16 In the United State District Court for the Southern District of New York

Plantiff's motion for summary judgment

Filed March 18, 1954

[Title omitted.]

The United States of America, plaintiff, by its attorneys, pursuant to Rule 56 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby moves the Court for summary judgment in favor of the United States on the ground that the Admissions of the defendant on file in this action show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact, and that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

Dated March 15, 1954.

ALLEN A. DOBEY, WILLIAM F. ROGERS,

Trial Attorneys.

WORTH ROWLEY,

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

RICHARD B. O'DONNELL,

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Affidavit of Laurence C. Ehrhardt in opposition to motion for summary judgment

Filed July 2, 1954

STATE OF NEW YORK,

County of New York, ss:

[File endorsement omitted.]

[Title omitted.].

Laurence C. Ehrhardt, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

00

I am Vice President in charge of the Legal Department of Mc-Kesson & Robbins, Incorporated. I submit this affidavit in opposition to the Government's motion under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for summary judgment in its favor in the above entitled action.

In the Government's memorandum in support of this motion the contention is made that the fair trade contracts of McKesson & Robbins Laboratories, the manufacturing division of the defendant, contained a provision reading as follows:

"Buyer agrees that it will require any purchaser to whom Buyer resells such commodities to agree that such purchaser and any subsequent purchasers will not, in turn, resell them at less than the prescribed net retail minimum prices published by Seller."

This is not the fact. Such a provision did appear in the old forms of fair trade contracts but it has been deleted from the new forms, copies of which are annexed hereto as Exhibits A and B.

These new forms of fair trade contracts replaced the old forms after the enactment of the McGuire Act and are now being used in every state where fair trading is permitted, and where this defendant fair trades its products, except in the State of Michigan. No new contracts have been obtained in that state because of the uncertainty of the law with respect to the validity of its fair trade statute.

As evidence of the fact that many manufacturers of fair traded products also operate their own wholesale or retail outlets in competition with independently operated wholesalers or retailers with whom they have fair trade contracts, there is attached hereto as Exhibit C a copy of an excerpt taken from the February 1, 1954 "Newsletter" published by the American Fair Trade Council, Inc., 11 East 44th Street, New York 17, N. Y.

As shown therein, it is the practice of most fair trading manufacturers to operate distribution outlets at one or more levels in the distribution process which sell in competition with similar independently owned outlets who also distribute the manufacturers' fair traded products.

LAURENCE C. EHRHARDT.

Sworn to before me this 22d day of April 1954.

[SEAL]

Imeld

Imelda Colton, IMELDA COLTON,

Notary Public, State of New York.

No. 03-0716115. Qualified in Bronx County. Certs. filed with Bronx and New York County Clerks and Registers. Commission expires March 30, 1955.

EXHIBIT A TO AFFIDAVIT—MANUFACTURER-WHOLESALER FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Between the undersigned Manufacturer, McKesson & Robbins Laboratories Division of McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, a

Maryland Corporation, and the undersigned Wholesaler.

Whereas, Manufacturer's products now or hereafter made subject to this agreement are and will be distributed under Manufacturer's trademark, brand or name in free, fair and open competition with commodities of the same general class produced by others, and Wholesaler is engaged in the sale of such products, and the parties desire to avail themselves of the fair trade acts now and hereafter in effect.

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual obligations herein

assumed, the parties agree as follows:

1. Wholesaler will not (except as specifically permitted by statute) advertise, offer for sale or sell any products bearing or distributed under the trademark, brand or name of Manufacturer at less than Manufacturer's published minimum wholesale prices, plus in each sale the amount of all applicable sales and excise taxes.

2. Manufacturer reserves the right to change said published minimum wholesale prices and to add or eliminate products from Manufacturer's list of fair traded products upon giving reasonable notice thereof, either by publication or such other means as

Manufacturer may determine.

3. Except as authorized by Manufacturer, (a) the offering or giving of any thing of value by Wholesaler in connection with the sale of any of the products covered by this contract, or (b) the offering or making of any concession in connection with any such sale, or (c) the sale or offering for sale of any of the products in combination with any other merchandise, shall constitute a breach by Wholesaler of this agreement.

4. Manufacturer will employ all appropriate legal means which in the circumstances shall be reasonable, to obtain and enforce observance of the minimum wholesale selling prices established

by Manufacturer pursuant to this agreement.

5. This agreement may be terminated by either party on ten (10) day's written notice to the other, but such termination shall not affect the obligations of Manufacturer or Wholesaler arising from any other agreement made by Manufacturer pursuant to an applicable fair trade act.

This agreement shall apply to sales, offers or advertisements only when and where agreements of the character of this

agreement shall be lawful as applied to intrastate transactions under a statute law or public policy now or hereafter in effect in the state in which such sale is to be made or to which the products are to be transported for sale.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement as of this day of ______ 195__.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories,
Division of McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated,
Fairfield, Connecticut.

By ______, Vice President.

Wholesaler

Street

By _____Title

23 EXHIBIT B TO AFFIDAVIT—MANUFACTURER RETAILER FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Between the undersigned Manufacturer, McKesson & Robbins Laboratories Division of McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, a

Maryland Corporation, and the undersigned Retailer.

Whereas, Manufacturer's products now or hereafter made subject to this agreement are and will be distributed under Manufacturer's trademark, brand or name in free, fair and open competition with commodities of the same general class produced by others, and Retailer is engaged in the sale of such products, and the parties desire to avail themselves of the fair trade acts now and hereafter in effect,

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual obligations

herein assumed, the parties agree as follows:

1. Retailer will not (except as specifically permitted by statute) advertise, offer for sale or sell any products bearing or distributed under the trademark, brand or name of Manufacturer at less than Manufacturer's published minimum retail prices, plus in each sale the amount of all applicable sales and excise taxes.

2. Manufacturer reserves the right to change said published minimum retail prices and to add or eliminate products from Manufacturer's list of fair traded products upon giving reasonable notice thereof, either by publication or such other means as

Manufacturer may determine.

3. Except as authorized by Manufacturer, (a) the offering or giving of any thing of value by Retailer in connection with the sale of any of the products covered by this contract, or (b) the offering or making of any concession in connection with any such sale, or (c) the sale or offering for sale of any of the products in combination with any other merchandise, shall constitute a breach by Retailer of this agreement.

4. Manufacturer will employ all appropriate legal means which in the circumstances shall be reasonable, to obtain and enforce observance of the minimum retail selling prices established by

Manufacturer pursuant to this agreement.

5. This agreement way be terminated by either party on ten (10) days' written notice to the other but such termination shall not affect the obligations of Manufacturer or Retailer arising from any other agreement made by Manufacturer pursuant to an applicable fair trade act.

6. This agreement shall apply sales, offers or advertisements only when and where agreements of the character of this

24 agreement shall be lawful as applied to intrastate transactions under a statute, law or public policy now or hereafter in effect in the state in which such sale is to be made or to which the products are to be transported for sale.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agree-

ment as of this ____ day of _____ 195____.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories,
Division of McKesson & Robbins Incorporated,
Fairfield, Connecticut.

	Ву	, Vice President.
	Retailer	
•	Street	
By	City and State	

Title

26 Exhibit C to Affidavit—Survey of Distribution
Methods of Fair-Trading Manufacturers

The legality of Fair Trade contracts of manufacturers engaged in more than one level of distribution is attacked by the Government in current suits filed against Doubleday & Co., Inc., Eastman Kodak Co., and McKesson Robbins, Inc.

AFTC conducted a survey of known Fair-Trading manufacturers to determine to what extent such manufacturers are en-

gaged in more than one level of distribution.

All answers were mailed directly by the manufacturers to Ernst. & Ernst, nationally known certified public accountants, who tabulated and certified the results.

A total of 86% of all manufacturers who responded disclosed

that they sell Fair-Traded products to wholesalers.

Of this 86% who sell Fair-Traded products to wholesalers, 82% also sell Fair-Traded products to retailer: . . . 34% also sell Fair-Traded products to consumers . . . 10% also have wholly owned or controlled subsidiaries that sell Fair-Traded products

to wholesalers . . . 9% also have wholly owned or controlled subsidiaries that sell Fair-Traded products to retailers . . . 4% also have wholly owned or controlled subsidiaries that sell Fair-Traded products to consumers.

27 United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Civ. 76-50

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLAINTIFF against

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, Defendant

per con motion for summary judgment

July 1, 1954

Appearances: Worth Rowley, Esq., Special Assistant to the Attorney General, Attorney for Plaintiff, (Allen A. Dobey, William F. Rogers, of Counsel). Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, Attorneys for Defendant (John P. Mc-Grath, Denis B. Sullivan, Robert Thrun, Laurence C. Ehrhardt, of Counsel).

MURPHY, D. J.

On this motion for summary judgment by plaintiff under Rule 56, Fed. Rules Civ. Proced., 28 U. S. C. A., in an action under Section 4 of the Sherman Act 1 seeking adjudication of illegality and injunction, a question of first impression in the courts is presented: Is a manufacturer, who is also a wholesaler and a limited retailer of his own products, as well as a wholesaler of products of other manufacturers, privileged to fix resale prices on his own products with competing wholesalers under federal fair trade statutes? Both the Miller-Tydings 2 and the McGuire 3 acts make lawful "contracts or agreements prescribing minimum [* * *]

prices for the resale of a commodity which bears, or the label or container of which bears, the trade-mark, brand, or name of the producer or distributor of such commodity. and which is in free and open competition with commodities of the same general class produced or distributed by others,

Both acts make this exception to the privilege in these terms: "[Nothing quoted above shall] make lawful [any] contracts or agreements providing for the establishment or maintenance of minimum * * resale prices on any commodity * * * betweenmanufacturers, or between producers, or between wholesalers, or between brokers, or between factors, or between retailers, or between persons, firms, or corporations in competition with each other."

On this motion it is plaintiff's contention that the "contracts or agreements providing for the establishment or maintenance of minimum * * * resale prices on any commodity," concededly made by defendant through its wholesale drug divisions with competing wholesalers, are ones "between wholesalers * * * or between persons, firms, or corporations in competition with each other." Consequently, not privileged under either fair trade act, they are illegal per se as price fixing agreements under the Sherman Act.

Defendant takes the position that the fair trade acts privilege vertical price fixing at different levels, between a manufacturer as seller and wholesalers as buyers, or at least between a manufacturer-wholesaler as seller and other wholesalers as buyers. The explicit exception to this privilege continues the Sherman Act prohibitions against horizontal price fixing by competitors at the same functional level. If this construction of the fair trade acts is not a valid one, defendant alternatively contends that genuine issues of material facts remain for resolution before plaintiff may prevail.

The facts, contested and conceded both in the pleadings and in the admissions of facts and answers to interrogatories by defendant, must be considered in order to determine whether or not the principal question posed may be sums

marily resolved without trial, and if so how.

Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland and has its general offices in this judicial district where it transacts business. Defendant is one of the largest wholesalers of drug store merchandise in the United States. It conducts a nation-wide wholesale drug business, operating 74 wholesale drug divisions located in 35 states. Most of its wholesaled drug store merchandise consists of products manufactured, or supplied to it, by other concerns. In addition, at its plant at Bridgeport, Connecticut, the defendant itself manufactures a line of drugs, pharmaceutical, cosmetics and toilet preparations, or "McKesson products." This case relates solely to restraints on the sale, resale, and distribution of the "McKesson" products manufactured by defendant.

In the conduct of its wholesale drug business the defendant sells drug store merchandise, including McKesson products, to drug stores and other retailers located throughout the United States, for resale to ultimate consumers, and in the conduct of its wholesale drug business the defendant's wholesale drug divisions compete with other wholesalers. The defendant also sells McKesson products through wholesalers other than its own wholesale divisions for resale to drug stores and other retailers.

Neither the defendant's manufacturing division located at Bridgeport, Connecticut, nor its 74 wholesale drug divisions lo-

cated in 35 states, are separately incorporated.

Based on admissions and answers of the defendant its sales of McKesson products to other wholesalers fall into four categories:

(1) sales of McKesson products by the manufacturing division of the defendant to wholesalers whose trading areas are substantially the same as those of wholesale drug divisions of the defendant of whose trading areas, if not the same as those of wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, materially overlap the trading areas of the defendant's wholesale divisions; (2) sales of McKesson products by the manufacturing division of the defendant to other wholesalers whose trading territories do not substantially overlap the trading territories of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant but in whose trading territories the manufacturing division of the defendant sells McKesson products direct to retailers: (3) sales of McKesson products by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant to other wholesalers located in the same city or the same trading territory as a wholesale drug division of the defendant; (4) sales of McKesson products by the manufacturing division of the defendant and by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant to a few wholesalers with respect to whom the present state of the record is inadequate to establish that the wholesaler competes with either the manufacturing division of the defendant or a wholesale drug division of the defendant.

With respect to category #1, although defendant's answer to the complaint denied "existence of substantial competition with other wholesalers with respect to McKesson, products", subsequent answers to interrogatories disclosed sales of such products during the fiscal year 1951-52 by defendant's manufacturing division to such wholesalers amounting to \$283,462. In an admission of facts, it is stated that "wholesale drug divisions of the defendant compete in the sale of McKesson products and other drug products with [such] wholesalers * * in those places where the trading areas of such wholesalers overlap the trading areas of

these wholesale drug divisions: New York, N. Y., Brooklyn, N. Y., Cairo, Illinois, Pittsburgh, Pa., Detroit, Mich.,

Huntington, W. Va., Akron, Ohio."

Under category #2, i. e., sales by defendant's manufacturing division to wholesalers in areas which do not overlap those traded in by defendant's wholesale division but in which sales are made by defendant's manufacturing division direct to retailers, defendant's answers to interrogatories indicate some sales under such

conditions direct to retailers who were parties to defer dant's manufacturer-wholesaler fair trade agreement.

In category #3 covering sales of McKesson products by defendant's wholesale division to wholesalers, defendant's a swers to interrogatories indicate that its wholesale drug divisions were requested by a vice president of the defendant in charge of drug-merchandising, to forward to the manufacturing division a list of the wholesalers to whom they sold McKesson products, and the wholesale drug divisions were instructed not to sell McLesson products to any other wholesaler until they had word from the defendant's manufacturing division that such wholesale; had signed a fair trade contract on those products. The defendant's wholesale drug divisions complied with these instructions and forwarded to the manufacturing division a list of wholesalers, spon receipt of which the manufacturing division requested each such wholesaler to execute a fair trade contract with the manufacturing division of the defendant.

There were 73 such wholesaler Plocated in the same city of near a city or town where defendant's wholesale drug division traded. The annual aggregate of such sales is estimated by defend at to

be about \$200,000.

Various forms of defendant's manufacturer-wholesaler and manufacturer-retailer fair trade agreements were used in connec-

tion with these sales. Defendant has admitted that in the conduct of its business as a drug manufacturer it sells and ships McKesson products in interstate trade and comperce to wholesalers and retailers of drug store merchandise. The defendant's wholesale drug divisions, except the ones in New York and Brooklyn, sell and ship McKesson products across state lines to retailer customers located in states other than those from which sales and shipments are made.

Defendant contests proof of the existence of competition in three of these four categories. In category #1, defendant has admitted sales by its manufacturing division of \$283,462 during the fiscal year 1951-52, to ten wholesalers who "compete in the sale of McKesson products and other drug products" with seven of defendant's wholesale divisions. This represents only 3 per cent of the total sales made to all wholesalers. Moreover, infendant insists that a "substantial portion of the sales" made by its seven wholesale divisions "was made in portions of their trialing areas where no overlap existed." Consequently, defendant urges that an issue of fact remains whether this competition with ten wholesalers is "substantial of de minimis."

With respect to category #2/involving direct sales by defendant's manufacturing division, amounting to about \$60,000, is sixteen retailers located in trading areas of twelve wholesalers of defendant's merchandise, defendant contends that such similar

lari y of geography is insufficient to establish a prima idcie case

of competition.

Pantuntes on ner: 24.

The third category, relating to sales by various of defendant's wholesale divisions to other wholesalers in identical trading areas, are characterized by defendant as mere courtesy sales of small amounts of defendant's brand merchandise. Here again defendant contends that the metes and bounds of trading area is

not determinative of the existence of competition because of possible variations in types of merchandise and services of ered by each, or, in the kind of customers served by each.

The major inquiry is to ascertain the intent of Congress in excluding from the privilege of fair trade, price fixing contracts of agreements "between wholesalers," or between persons, firms, or corporations in competition with each other." If defendant was merely a manufacturer, and nothing more, no quest on concerning its right to the privilege of fair trade would be presented. If defendant was a wholesaler, and nothing more, there would still be the nice question of construction whether such agreements are unprivileged "between wholesalers", only if they are "in competition with each other", or unprivileged between them regardless of competition.

The heart of the problem presented arises from defendant's hual role as manufacturer and wholesaler. The language of the statute could provide an unerring guide to its speedy solution only if one of defendant's capacities is carefully considered and he other happily ignored. Defendant argues that fair trade greenents are made by its manufacturing divisions with other wholesalers; plaintiff, that defendant's manufacturing and wholesale divisions are parts of a single corporate entity. Examining the legislative history of the Miller-Tydings and McGuire Acts only confirms the observation of Mr. Justice Jackson with respect to the former statute: "I can think of no better example of legislative history that is unedifying and unilluminating than that of the Act before us." During debate of the McGuire Act, one Senator expressed his view that "many producers of trade-marked items sell them to consumers, retailers, and a holesalers alike.

"Under the bill, such firms, may make resale price-maintenance contracts with both wholesaless and retailers because such contracts are vertical, that is, between sellers and buyers. While in one sense firms in this position function not only as producers but also as wholesalers and retailers, they may still lawfully make contracts with other wholesalers and retailers, when a making such contracts they act as produced of a trademarked or branded commodits? rather than as wholesalers and retailers entering into forbidden horizontal resale price-maintenance contracts with other wholesalers or other retailers."

Similar opinions were voiced by Representatives with respect to both Asis. Yet our inquiry is not "what the legislator meant; we ask only what the statute means." * The peril of resorting toindividual constructions of legislators is not merely that the mandate of the statute would cease to be embodied in its language and become unavailable as warning to the nation at large. It is also that such views may be no more than individual ones. As one Senator exclaimed with respect to the Miller-Tydings Act, "Regardless of the merits of this amendment, it is perfectly obvious that no 5 percent of the membership of the Senate will know anything whatever about the amendment when the Senate votes upon it. It is perfectly obvious that the Senate has reached the point of exhaustion in respect of the consideration of legislation; and if the Senate has any prudent consideration whatever for the country, instead of trying to do some of these intricate things it will quit and go home."9

The more carefully considered committee reports, unquestionably deserving weight in this dilemma, are replete with condemnation of "horizontal" and approbation of "vertical" fair trade arrangements.¹⁰ None of these reports come to grips with the

instant problem, squarely and unequivocally. Although not controlling here in any event, conflicting judicial and administrative opinion has suggested varying solutions of

the problem.11.

We reject the suggestion that either of alternate horns must be followed in the dilemma of fair trade agreements with independent wholesalers by a manufacturer who is also a wholesaler, just as much as we believe the labels "vertical" and "horizontal" can offer small solace in a situation where such agreements are capable of operative effect in both of these directions.12 This court is unwilling, at this stage of case law development of legislatively sanctioned resale price fixing, to hold illegal per se fair trade agreements because the producer is also a wholesaler in the absence of showing some injury, inchoate or consummate, to ompetition. The legislature might well make such appraisal so as to push the line of liability back to the threshhold of the bare agreement itself. In their halls the voices of many interests affected may be heard. A judicial forum, where but a few such interests may be weighed, must await the outcome of the patient process of ease by case, trial and error method of settling disputes one at a fine. Early in the common law housebreakers who entered with felonious intent were branded burglars, and the

Footnotes on page 24.

courts extended this with less severe punishment to attempts. Yet it remained for the legislature to make the necessary appraisal to seal the doom of the man found in the street with picklock in his pocket.

Despite occasional contrary intimations, a direct price-fixing has been condemned as unlawful and an unreasonable restraint of trade without regard to the reasonableness of the prices fixed and irrespective of whether prices were actually raised or lowered. While this has been an early judicial development, direct price-fixing had been condemned as illegal per se at common law

prior to the Sherman Act.

· But such threshold per se doctrine for direct price fixing hardly supports a similar one in fair trade situations absent a factual showing of illegality. The mischief of price fixing consists of the rigidity injected into the price structure which makes it unresponsive to normal pressures of supply and demand. Inflexibility inherent in fixation is an evil without regard to the reasonableness of the price. To permit private fixing would require constant judicial supervision of reasonableness and lead inevitably to the necessity of administrative price fixing by the government itself. To some extent similar mischief may attend direct price fixing by producers for wholesalers and retailers. Price competition on a single brand of merchandise is eliminated and not necessarily that occasioned by unrestrained price cutting and "loss leader" selling. Price reductions made possible by low cost store management, inventory control, or low-rent store location are not possible on such fair-traded items. A cushioned margin of profit may help inefficient distributors in the field and stifle the initiative of ones who would seek to increase their volume by price reductions based on economies. 15 But the legislature has weighed these possible disadvantages against the balance of evils attending price-juggling on branded merchandise—the loss to the manufacturer of his good will, destruction of the independent retailer's competitive position and the consequent dilution of standards of quality for the consumer. And in the light of such legislative appraisal and approbation, the per se judicial con-demnation of direct price fixing is hardly applicable simply because the producer is also a wholesaler.

Merely to establish a fair trade agreement with an independent wholesaler by a dual producer-wholesaler is insufficient to make out a prima facie case of restraint of trade under the Sherman Act. Are all such agreements privileged or ly some of them! If the latter, what then is the line of demarcation between valid and illegal ones? We think the test consists of a factual showing of illegality. And this is not met

Footnotes on page 25.

Justice Brandeis observed: "Every agreement concerning trade, every regulation of trade, restrains. To bind, to restrain, is of their very essence. The true test of legality is whether the restraint imposed is such as merely regulates and perhaps thereby promotes competition or whether it is such as may suppress or

even destroy competition." 16

Since every fair trade agreement made by a producer who acts in no other capacity necessarily restrains competition, the "true test of legality" in the situation of the producer-wholesaler of dual capacity is whether some additional restraint destructive of competition is occasioned. A showing of actual or potential competition in such situation between the producer-controlled wholesaler and the independent one would be material but not determinative of such additional restraint destructive of competition. On the other hand, such agreements might violate the Sherman Act, without any showing of competition. If, for example, it could be established that a producer became a wholesaler, though not in competition with an independent wholesaler; and stipulated prices for his gwn and the independent wholesaler as a first step toward and with intent to gouge consumers, that might suffice prima facie as violation of the Sherman Act outside the privilege of the fair trade statutes. The weight then to be given to an item of proof, such as competition between the controlled and independent wholesaler, will necessarily depend upon

38. range from zero to evidence of preponderant probative force, depending upon the business setting. No inflexible

standard should be laid down to govern in advance.

In the instant case, plaintiff has neither alleged nor made any factual showing of such additional restraint. Plaintiff suggests an evil similar to that condemned in United States v. Masonite Corp. et al.17 That case did not involve fair trade agreements, but rather a familiar attempt by a patentee, through agency and licensing arrangements, to extend a patent monopoly beyond limits of the patent and anti-trust statutes. Even considered as fair trade agreements a sufficient factual showing of illegality existed because several competing manufacturers, unrelated as buyers and sellers, agreed upon prices at which the product of one such manufacturer should be sold in competition with that of the others. Plaintiff claims that "the very existence of the pricefix on McKesson products may induce a competing wholesaler to buy McKesson products from the defendant rather than purchase other products that compete with McKesson products from some other manufacturer with whom the wholesaler is not in competi-

tion." Absent some factual showing that such is the case, we are unable to accept the proposition upon the force of its circumstantial probability, or at least to hold that its bare assertion amounts prima facie to a factual showing of illegality.

Upon the present state of the record then, plaintiff's motion for summary judgment must be denied without prejudice, of course. to its renewal upon a showing of additional uncontested facts in accordance with this opinion, or, if such facts are disputed, a showing of such additional facts on trial.

On two additional grounds, independent of those discussed above relating to defendant's dual capacity as manufacturer and wholesaler, plaintiff seeks summary judgment.

One is that defendant's fair trade contracts contain an illegal boycott provision by requiring the reselling buyer to agree that not only the purchaser but also "any subsequent purchaser will not, in turn, resell them at less than the prescribed net retail minimum prices published by Seller." 18 Defendant denies present use of this clause, and an issue of fact is presented. The second ground concerns illegality of defendant's contracts with certain wholesalers located in Michigan, Georgia and Florida because allegedly in violation of the laws of those states. Defendant denies fair trade in these areas in violation of the State statute and accordingly a second issue of fact is presented. On these grounds then, plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is denied because of the existence of a triable issue of material act,

Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is accordingly denied.

Dated, New York, N. Y., July 1, 1954.

THOS. F. MURPHY. U. S. D. J.

FOOTNOTES

**Act of July 2, 1890, 26 Stat. 209, 15 U.S. C. A. § 4.

**Act of August 17, 1937, 50 Stat. 693, 15 U.S. C. A. § 1. See Schwegmann Bros. V. Calvert Distillers Corp., 341 U.S. 384.

**Act of July 14, 1952, 66 Stat. 631-2, 15 U.S. C. A. § 45 (2).

**I5 U.S. C. A. § 1; id. § 45 (5).

**Concurring in Schwegmann Bros. v. Calvert Distillers Corp., 341 U.S. 384 at 397.

**98 Cong. Rec. 8870, 1952.

**Hearings before Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce on H. R. 5767, 82d Cong. 2d Sess., p. 13, Feb. 4, 1952; 81 Cong. Rec. 8141.

**Holmes, Collected Legal Papers 207.

**81 Cong. Rec. 7492, July 23, 1937.

**Preport No. 2053 Senate Committee on the Judiclary, May 12, 1936, p. 2; Report No. 257, Scnate Committee on the Judiclary, March 20, 1931, p. 2; Report No. 382, House Committee on the Judiclary, March 31, 1937, p. 2; Report No. 879, Senate Committee on the District of Columbia, July 6, 1937, p. 6; Report No. 879, Senate Committee on H. R. 7472, 81 Cong. Rec. 8137, 8138, 1937; H. R. Rep. No. 1437, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Rep. No. 1741, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, 82d Cong. 2d Sess. 56, 1952; Sen. Re

1954).

19 Cf. Matter of Doubleday and Company, Inc., Docket No. 5897, F. T. C. Sept. 25, 1953, CCH Trade Reg. Rep. at par. 11,515.

FOOTNOTES-Continued

FOOTNOTES—Continued

41'

See Chicago Board of Trade v. United States, 246 U. S. 231; National Association of Window Glass Mfgrs. v. United States, 263 U. S. 403; Standard Oil Co. (Indiana) v. United States, 283 U. S. 179; Appalachian Coals, Inc. v. United States, 288 U. S. 344, 377.

4 United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil Co., 310 U. S. 150; United States v. Trenton Potteries Co., 273 U. S. 392; United States v. Trans-Missouri Freight Assn., 166 U. S. 290; United States v. Joint Traffic Assn., 171 U. S. 505; Addyston Pipe and Steel Co., v. United States, 175 U. S. 241; Swift & Co. v. United States, 196 U. S. 375; Standard Sanitary Mfg. Co. v. United States, 226 U. S. 20; F. T. C. v. Pacific States Paper Trade Assn., 273 U. S. 52. See also Dr. Miles Medical Co. v. John D. Parks & Soms Co., 220 U. S. 373, 408-409.

4 Hearings before a Subcommittee of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce on H. R. 5767, 82d Cong., 2d Sess. 314, 318, 323 (1952).

4 316 U. S. 265.

5 Cf. Masters, Inc. v. Sunbeam Corporation, et al., D. C. S. D. N. Y., 112 F. Supp. 268 with Sunbeam Corporation v. Payless Drug Stores, et al., N. D. Cal., 113 F. Supp. 31 and Act of July 14, 1952, 66 Stat. 631-2, 15 U. S. C. A. § 45 (2).

In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Interrogatories propounded by the plaintiff

Filed September 28, 1953

To McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated:

[File endorsement omitted.]

[Title omitted.]

Please take notice that pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the above named defendant is required to answer the following interrogatories and to serve such answers upon the undersigned within fifteen days from the service hereof.

State separately with respect to each of the following interrogatories the name, address, and position or title of each person fur-

nishing the answer thereto.

The term "McKesson products" as used in these interrogatories

is defined in paragraph 5 of the complaint.

1. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 the total dollar volume of the defendant's sales of "McKesson products" to other wholesalers.

2. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 the total dollar volume of the defendant's sales of "McKesson products" to other wholesalers that (to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents, or employees) competed with the defendant in the

sale of such products and other products.

3. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 the total dollar volume of sales to retailers of "McKesson products" by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant that (to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents, or employees) competed with any other wholesaler in the sale of such products and other products.

4. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 the total dollar volume of sales to retailers of "McKesson products" by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant that did not (to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents, or employees) compete with any other wholesaler in the sale of "McKesson products". State separately the dollar volume of "McKesson products" sold to retailers by each such wholesale drug division of the defendant during said period.

5. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 the location, including street address, of each wholesale drug division of the defendant. Indicate which of said wholesale drug divisions (to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents or employees) competed during said period with any other wholesaler in the

sale of "McKesson products" and other products.

6. With respect to each wholesale drug division of the defendant that did not (to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents, or employees) compete during the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 with any other wholesaler in the sale of "McKesson products" and other products, state for said period (a) the geographical limits of the sales territory assigned to the division, (b) the geographical limits of the area in which sales and deliveries were made by the division as a matter of normal practice, (c) the geographical limits of the area in which salesmen emploved by the division solicited business, (d) the name and address of the nearest other wholesaler to whom the defendant sold "McKesson products" (e) whether to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents or employees said nearest other wholesaler solicited business from retailer customers of the defendant. and (f) whether to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents or employees said nearest other wholesaler solicited business in the same trading area as the defendant.

7. List for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 the name and address of each wholesaler that purchased "McKesson products"

from the defendant and that (to the knowledge of the de-44 fendant's officers, agents, or employees) competed with the defendant in the sale of "McKesson products" and other products. State the dollar volume of "McKesson products" purchased during said period by each such wholesaler.

8. List for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 the name and address of each wholesaler that purchased "McKesson products" from the defendant, but that did not (to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents or employees) compete with the defendant in the sale of "McKesson products" and other products. State the dollar volume of "McKesson products" purchased during said period by each such wholesaler.

9. List the "McKesson products" for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952. Indicate which of said products were manufactured by the defendant itself and which of said products were merely purchased by the defendant for resale under the defendant's trademark, brand, or name.

10. List the states in which the defendant fair-traded "Mc-Kesson products" for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952.

- 11. State whether Exhibit A attached hereto and entitled "Manufacturer-Wholesaler Fair Trade Agreement" represents the form of Fair Trade Agreement between the defendant and each of its wholesaler customers (in fair trade states) actually entered into by the defendant and each of its wholesaler customers (in fair trade states) during the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952.
- 12. State whether Exhibit B attached hereto and entitled "Manufacturer—Retailer Fair Trade Agreement" represents the form of Fair Trade Agreement between the defendant and each of its retailer customers (in fair trade states) actually entered into by the defendant and each of its retailer customers (in fair trade states) during the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952.
- 13. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the "Seller's published net wholesale prices" referred to in the paragraph numbered "1" in Exhibit A were (1) the defendant's own net wholesale prices applicable to sales to retailers by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, and (2) the prices at which the defendant offered to sell and sold "McKesson products"

to its own retailer customers.

- 45
 14. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952
 whether the "net retail minimum prices published by Seller" referred to in the paragraph numbered "3" in Exhibit A
 were the same as the "prescribed net retail minimum prices published by Seller" referred to in the paragraph numbered "3" of
 Exhibit B.
- 15. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the defendant's sales and deliveries of "McKesson products" to the defendant's wholesaler customers, pursuant to Fair Trade Agreements of the Exhibit A type, were made by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant.
- 16. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the defendant's sales and deliveries of "McKesson products" to the defendant's retailer customers, pursuant to Fair Trade Agreements of the Exhibit B type, were made by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant.
- 17. State whether at any time during the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 the defendant changed its own published net whole-

sale prices without in fact giving reasonable notice of such change to its wholesaler customers as provided for by the paragraph numbered "4" of Exhibit A.

18. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether any of the wholesalers to whom the defendant sold "McKesson products" resold such products to other wholesalers (to the knowledge of the defendant's officers, agents, or employees.)

19. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether any of the retailers to whom the defendant sold "McKesson products" resold such products to other retailers (to the knowledge of

the defendant's officers, agents, or employees.)

20. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the defendant's wholesale drug divisions sold and shipped "Mc-Kesson products" across state lines to retailer and/or wholesaler customers located in states other than those from which the sales

and shipments were made. If some of the defendant's wholesale drug divisions did not sell and ship "McKesson products" across state lines to retailer and or wholesaler customers located in states other than those from which the sales and shipments were made, state which divisions did make such interstate sales and shipments and which did not.

ALLEN A. DOBEY,
WILLIAM F. ROGERS,
Trial Attorneys.

RICHARD B. O'DONNELL,

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

47 EXHIBIT A TO INTERROGATORIES—MANUFACTURER-WHOLESALER FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Between the undersigned Seller, McKesson & Robbins Labora-

tories, and the undersigned Buyer.

In consideration of the sale to the Buyer of commodities which bear the trademark, brand, or name of the Seller and the promises by the Buyer, it is agreed:

1. The Buyer will not advertise, offer for sale, sell, or resell any of the Seller's commodities shown on Schedule A attached hereto at prices less than the Seller's published net wholesale prices.

2. The Buyer agrees that no price concession, directly or indirectly, will be made in the sale of said commodities in combina-

tion or otherwise except as herein provided.

3. Buyer agrees that it will require any purchaser to whom Buyer resells such commodities to agree that such purchaser and any subsequent purchasers will not, in turn, resell them at less than the net retail minimum prices published by Seller.

4. The Seller reserves the right to change said published prices

and to add or eliminate commodities from the attached schedule at any time upon giving such reasonable notice thereof, either by publication or such other means as Seller may determine.

5. This agreement shall apply to each and every sale of the said commodities at the time and to the extent that this agreement is lawful under the Fair Trade Act of the state in which the sale is made.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement this 1st day of June 1951.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories, Fairfield, Connecticut. By W. E. DEWELL, Vice President.

Buyer Street City and State . Title

48 EXHIBIT B TO INTERROGATORIES-MANUFACTURER-RETAILER FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Between the undersigned Seller, McKesson & Robbins Laboratories, and the undersigned Buyer.

1. The Buyer, by accepting delivery from Seller of any fair traded commodity bearing the trademark, brand, or name of Seller, agrees that the prescribed minimum resale price of that commodity shall be the applicable net retail minimum price published by the Seller and agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect means, at less than that price.

2. The Buyer agrees that no price concession, directly or indirectly, will be made in the sale of said commodity in combination.

or otherwise except as herein provided.

- 3. Buyer agrees that it will require any purchaser to whom Buyer resells such commodities to agree that such purchaser and any subsequent purchaser will not, in turn, resell them at less than the prescribed net retail minimum prices published by Seller.
- 4. The Seller reserves the right at any time to change said published prices and to add or eliminate commodities to or from those presently fair traded upon giving such reasonable notice thereof, either by publication or such other means as Seller may determine.
- 5. This agreement shall apply to each and every sale of the said commodities at the time and to the extent that this agreement

is lawful under the Fair Trade Act of the state in which the sale is made.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement this _____ day of ___ \$____ 1951.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories, Fairfield, Connecticut.

By W. E. DEWELL, Vice President.

Street City and State

By Title

49 Affidavit of service by mail [omitted in printing]

50 Affidavit of service of answers to interrogatories [omitted in printing]

51 In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Answers of defendant, McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, to interrogatories on behalf of the United States

Filed October 14, 1953

[Title omitted.]

The answers to all the Intertogatories are furnished by Wilbur E. Dewell, Vice President and Manager of McKesson Laboratories, the Manufacturing Division of defendant, located at Bridgeport, Connecticut, which will hereinafter be referred to as the "Manufacturing Division". Mr. Dewell's address is Hill Farm Road, Fairfield, Connecticut. Unless otherwise specified, all answers relate to the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952.

1. Defendant's sales to wholesalers (with insignificant exceptions resulting from sales of a courtesy nature) are not made by the wholesale divisions of defendant but are made by the Manufacturing Division of defendant as a manufacturer. The total dollar volume of sales of McKesson products by the Manufacturing Division of defendant to wholesalers (not including sales to defendant's wholesale divisions) aggregated \$763,767.

None of the wholesalers to whom the Manufacturing Division of defendant sold McKesson products competed
 with the Manufacturing Division. The total dollar volume of sales of McKesson products by the Manufacturing Division of defendant to wholesalers whose trading areas were sub-

stantially the same as those of wholesale drug divisions of Mc-Kesson, amounted to \$212,474, and such sales to wholesalers whose trading areas although not the same materially overlapped with those of defendant's wholesale divisions, amounted to \$70,988.

3. The total dollar volume of sales to retailers of McKesson products by wholesale drug divisions of the defendant in trading areas where other wholesalers of McKesson products had substantially similar or materially overlapping trading areas amounted to \$786,309. To this amount should be added the mark-up of defendant's wholesale divisions, since the amount stated represents the price at which such merchandise was sold to defendant's wholesale divisions by the Manufacturing Division of defendant. A substantial portion of the sales so made by defendant's wholesale drug divisions was made in portions of their trading areas where no overlap existed.

4. The total dollar volume of sales to retailers of McKesson products by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant that did not, in the belief of the undersigned, compete with any other wholesaler of McKesson products amounted to \$7,520,368. The separate dollar volume of each such wholesale drug division is set forth in the attached statement marked "Annex to Item 4". To these amounts should be added the mark-up of the defend-

ant's wholesale divisions (see answer to Interrogatory 3):

53

5. The attached statement marked "Annex to Item 5" is a list of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant with the street addresses thereof. The drug divisions located at New York, N. Y., Brooklyn, N. Y. Yonkers, N. Y., Cairo, Illinois, Detroit Michigan, and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, sold McKesson products in the same trading area or in trading areas substantially overlapping with those of other wholesalers of McKesson products.

6. Although the trading areas in question developed historically rather than by assignment of sales territories, for practical purposes the answers to clauses (a), (b) and (c) of this interrogatory are substantially identical. Attached hereto marked "Annex to Item 6" is a map on which are outlined the trading areas of each of defendant's wholesale divisions (with the exception of those divisions specifically mentioned in the last sentence of the unswer to Interrogatory 5) bordering upon the trading area of any wholesaler to whom the Manufacturing Division of defendant made sales of McKesson products and with which, in the opinion of the undersigned, a wholesale division of defendant was not in competition. No answer is being made to this item with respect to trading areas of defendant's wholesale divisions which are so remote from the wholesalers of McKesson products with which, in the opinion of the undersigned, no division of defendant is in

competition, as to be of no relevance. As appears from the map, the wholesalers to whom the Manufacturing Division of defendant sells McKesson products are in a relatively concentrated area in which McKesson does not have wholesale divisions. For example, among the natural trading areas contained in this area

are Philadelphia, Pa., Washington, D. C., and Baltimore, Maryland, in none of which defendant has a wholesale division.

The wholesalers of McKesson products with whom, in the opinion of the undersigned, no McKesson wholesale division is in competition, as requested in clause (d) of Interrogatory 6, are indicated on the attached map and in the key annexed thereto. With respect to clauses (e) and (f) of Interrogatory 6, to the best of the undersigned's knowledge and belief, the trading areas of the McKesson wholesale divisions set forth in the annexed map and the wholesalers to whom McKesson products were sold by defendant's Manufacturing Division do not involve any material overlapping or duplication. In general, the proportion of the available business obtained by defendant's wholesale divisions is much greater in the center of the trading areas indicated on the attached map than at the perimeter of those areas where it is proportionately very small.

7. Attached hereto and marked "Annex to Item 7" is a list of the wholesalers to whom the Manufacturing Division of defendant sold McKesson products and whose trading areas overlapped, in whole or in part, with that of a wholesale division of defendant, and the dollar volume of sales of McKesson products by the Manufacturing Division to each such wholesaler during that period.

8. Attached hereto and marked "Annex to Item 8" is a list of the wholesalers to whom the Manufacturing Division of defendant sold McKesson products but whose trading area did not, to the best of the undersigned's knowledge and belief, compete with

wholesale divisions of the defendant in the sale of McKes-55 son products and other products, including the dollar volume purchased by each such wholesaler during the period.

9. Attached hereto and marked "Annex to Item 9" is a list of McKesson products." None of these products was merely purchased by the defendant for resale under the defendant's trademark, brand or name, but all are products manufactured by defendant which in varying degrees required preparation, processing, manufacturing or packaging, and all of which required inspection and supervision to insure their adherence to satisfactory standards of quality, purity and cleanliness and all of which are in competition with products manufactured by other manufacturers and sell under their trade marks, brands or names.

10. The Manufacturing Division of defendant fair traded its products in all states which had fair trade laws and in which it

was legally permissible to do so.

11. Exhibit A attached to the Interrogatories and entitled "Manufacturer-Wholesale Fair Trade Agreement" represented the form of fair trade agreement between the Manufacturing Division of defendant and its wholesaler customers in fair trade states actually entered into. That agreement is no longer in effect.

12. Exhibit B attached to the Interrogatories and entitled "Manufacturer-Retailer Fair Trade Agreement" represented the form of fair trade agreement between the Manufacturing Divi-

sion of defendant and each of the retailer customers (in fair trade states) to whom the Manufacturing Division of de-

fendant made direct sales. In the case of other retailers, the so-called "legend" form of agreement was entered between wholesalers and retailers by stamping the legend on the invoice, which practice was also followed by defendant's wholesale divisions in their resales to retailers of products purchased by those wholesale divisions from the Manufacturing Division. The Manufacturer-Retailer Fair Trade Agreement contained in Exhibit B of the Interrogatories is no longer in effect, and the provision of the wholesale agreement (paragraph 3 of Exhibit A attached to the Interrogatories) relating to the procurement of agreements from retailers by wholesalers was rendered unnecessary as a result of the passage of the McGuire Act.

13. The sellers' published net wholesale prices referred to in the paragraph numbered 1 of the Exhibit A attached to the Interrogatories were (1) the defendant's own net wholesale prices applicable to sales to retailers by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, and (2) the prices at which the defendant's wholesale drug division offered to sell and sold McKesson products to

their own retailer customers.

14: The net retail minimum prices published by seller, referred to in paragraph numbered 3 of Exhibit A attached to the Interrogatories, were the same as the prescribed net retail minimum prices published by seller referred to in the paragraph numbered 3 of Exhibit B.

15. The sales to wholesaler customers of defendant pursuant to fair trade agreement of the Exhibit A type were not made by

the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, but were made by its Manufacturing Division and deliveries were made from Bridgeport, Connecticut with insignificant exceptions by reason of sales of a courtesy nature.

16. The sales and deliveries of McKesson products to the defendant's retailer customers were not made pursuant to fair trade agreement of the Exhibit B type by the wholesale drug

divisions of the defendant. The wholesale divisions of defendant in their resales to retailer customers of McKesson products used the so-called legend form of agreement as did other wholesalers of McKesson products. This practice has since been discontinued as a result of the McGuire Act.

17. The defendant did not change its own published net wholesale prices without in fact giving reasonable notice of such change to its wholesaler customers as provided for by the paragraph numbered 4 of Exhibit A attached to the Interrogatories.

18. The undersigned does not have any knowledge or information concerning sales by wholesalers to whom the Manufacturing Division of defendant sold McKesson products to other wholesalers.

19. The undersigned does not have any knowledge or information relating to resale by retailers to whom the wholesale divisions of defendant sold McKesson products to other retailers.

20. In nearly every case wholesale drug divisions of defendant sell and ship McKesson products across state lines to retail customers located in states other than those from which sales and shipments were made. Relevant exceptions to this are the New York, N. Y., and Brooklyn, N. Y., divisions.

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, By R. J. Platt, Assistant Secretary.

[Duly sworn to by jurat omitted in printing.]

- 1/	1 -	2.	1	
60	4.41.5 2 . 15 "	 ANNEX	TO TEEM	4

Name	Amoun
ewark, N. J	\$211.
lbany, N. Y	168
uffalo. N. Y	114
ochester N Y	444
vracuse, N. Y.	1 2 2 3
leston. Mass	
ew Haven, Conn	196 (
rovidence R' I	95
pringfield, Mass	56
ugusta, Ga	76,
olumbia, S. C.	128,
acksonville. Fla	123,
acksonville, Fla	119.
rlando, Pla	41.
ampa. Fla	98.
acon. Ga	138
olumbus, Ga ew Orleans, La	57.
ew Orleans, La	99,
lobile. Ala	154.
lobile, Ala irmingham, Ala	94,
luntington, W. Va	108,
oanoke, Va	64.
allow Prose	Charle .
Paso, Tex	30.
eston, Tex.	162,
ftle Rock, Ark	

Name'	Amount
St. Loilis Mo San Antonio, Tex Ft. Smith, Ark	127, 973
San Antonio, Tex	63, 209
Ft. Smith, Ark	31, 689
The American Additions	84, 428
Memphis Tenn	179, 673
Memphis, Tenn Chattanooga, Tenn Nashvilla Tenn	81,673
Nashville, Tenu Louisville, Ky	69, 253
Louisville, Ky	87, 014
A see all the Way	30, 010
Cklabema City, Okla	120, 991
Tulsa, Okla	37, 347
Akron, Ohio	142 884
Cleveland, Ohio	227, 408
Omaba, NebSioux City, La	103, 063
Sioux City, La.	86, 085
Cincinnati, Ohio	115, 244
Billings, Mont	51, 048
Minnespells Minn	164, 209
St. Paul, Minn	35, 629
St. Paul, MinnBurkington, La	73, 846
Cedar Banids La	74, 616
Denver, Colo	75, 945
Kansas City, Mo	_208, 246
Rock Island, Ill	37, 125
Chicago, Ill	315, 607
Chicago, Ill Milwaukee, Wis	61, 230
Peoria, Ill.	75, 628
Wichita, Kan	89, 961
Los Angeles, Cal	322, 873
Phoenix, Ariz	56, 749
San Diego, Cal	50, 892
61 N. Hollywood, Cal	49,008
San Francisco, Cal	194, 813
Fresno, Cal	97, 373
Oakland, Cal	80, 233
Oakland, Cal Ogden, Utah	109, 826
Portland Drow	231. (21)
Sacramento, Cal	109, 643
Seattle, Wash	297, 652
Spokane, Wash Honolulu, T. H	79, 260
Honolulu, T. H	37, 157

Note

See Card 2 for Page 36, and the fold-in "Annex to Item Nine." 64

ANNEX TO ITEM 7

Name	Amount
Towns & James, Brooklyn, N. Y.	\$9, 871
Long Island Drug Ismaica N V	33, 807
Progressive Drug New York N V	11, 196
Schieffelin Drug, New York, N. Y.	5, 712
Kolb Bros. Drug. Paducah, Kv.	3, 276
Federal-Rice Drug, Pittsburgh, Pa	151, 888
Hazeltine & Perkins, Grand Rapids, Mich.	9,900
Ohio Valley Drug, Wheeling, W. Va.	17, 903
Clarksburg Drug, Clarksburg, W. Va.	11,918
Waiding, Kinnan & Marvin, Toledo, Ohio	27, 991
ANNEX TO ITEM 8	Amount
District Wholesale Drug, Washington, D. C.	
H. B. Gilpin Co., Washington, D. C.	25, 422
H. B. Cilpin Co., Baltimore, Md	21, 472
Kauffman-Lattimer, Columbus, Ohio	79, 144
Chas. Leich & Co., Evansville, Ind	
M. Brenner & Son, Harrisburg, Pa	31, 865
Kiefer-Stewart Drug, Indianapolis, Ind.	
H. B. Gilpin Co., Norfolk, Va Krull, Whlse, Drug, Philadelphia, Pa	63, 453
Krull, Whlse. Drug, Philadelphia, Pa	6, 027
Shoemaker & Busch, Philadelphia, Pa	20, 107
Smith, Kline & French, Philadelphia, PaOwens & Minor Drug, Richmond, Va	18, 330
Owens & Minor Drug, Richmond, Va	43, 019
Pennsylvania Whlse. Drug, Scranton, Pa., Wilkes-Barre, Pa., I ford, Pa	ans-

67 In the United States District Court for the Southern
District of New York

Supplemental interrogatories propounded by the plaintiff

Filed Oct. 30, 1953

To McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated:

[File endorsement omitted.]
[Title omitted.]

Please take notice that pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the above named defendant is required to answer the following interrogatories and to serve such answers upon the undersigned within 15 days from the service hereof.

State separately with respect to each of the following interrogatories the name, address, and position or title of each person fur-

nishing the answer thereto.

The term "McKesson products" as used in these interrogatories is defined in paragraph 5 of the complaint.

1. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the manufacturing division of the defendant and the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant were separately incorporated.

2. With respect to "sales" of McKesson products by the manufacturing division of the defendant to the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, state for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 how "payment" for such products was made to the manufacturing division of the defendant by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, that is, by cash, check, money order, or other means, and state whether a bill of sale covering the "sale" of such products by the manufacturing division of the defendant to the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant was executed by the manufacturing division of the defendant for the purpose of transferring title to such products from the manufacturing division of the

defendant to the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant.

of the defendant's answers to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories, state for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether, to the knowledge of the defendant (its officers, the managers of its wholesale drug divisions, or salesmen employed by its wholesale drug divisions to solicit orders from retailers for McKesson products and other drug products), the defendant solicited orders for McKesson products and other drug products from the same retailers, or many of the same retailers, from whom the wholesalers listed in the Annex to Item 7 solicited orders for McKesson products and other drug products.

4. State for the period of July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether any of the McKesson products were patented, and if so list the

patented products.

5. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the defendant sold McKesson products to any wholesaler or wholesalers in the states of Georgia, Florida, or Michigan pursuant to a Fair Trade Agreement of the type attached as Exhibit A to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories, and if so list the name and address of each such wholesaler.

6. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1951 whether the defendant fair traded McKesson products in the states of

Georgia, Florida, and Michigan.

7. State whether Exhibit 1 attached hereto represents a letter with enclosed form of Fair Trade Agreement sent by the defendant to each of its retailer customers on or about June 1, 1951. If Exhibit 1 was not sent by the defendant to each of its retailer customers but only to some of its retailer customers, state upon what basis the defendant selected the retailer customers to whom the letter should be sent.

8. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether orders for McKesson products from retailers to whom the defendant sent Exhibit 1 (and who executed the enclosed fair trade agreement) were solicited and/or received initially by the officers, salesmen, or other agents or employees of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, or by the officers, salesmen, or other agents or employees of the manufacturing division of the de-

employees of both the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant and the manufacturing division of the defendant. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether deliveries of McKesson products by the defendant to retailer customers who signed the Fair Trade Agreement enclosed with Exhibit 1 were made direct from Bridgeport, or were made by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, or both. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether payment for McKesson products sold to retailer customers who signed the Fair Trade Agreement enclosed with Exhibit 1 was initially made by the retailer customers to the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, or direct to the manufacturing division of the defendant, or both. Identify by name and address the "appointed distributors" referred to in Exhibit 1.

9. State whether Exhibit 2 attached hereto represents a letter and attached form of Fair Trade Agreement and attached copy of telegram sent by the defendant to each of its wholesaler customers on or about June 1, 1951. If Exhibit 2 was not sent by the defendant to each of its wholesaler customers, but only to some of its wholesaler customers, state upon what basis the defendant selected the wholesaler customers to whom the letter should be sent.

10. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether orders for McKesson products from wholesalers to whom the defendant sent Exhibit 2 (and who executed the attached Fair Trade Agreement) were solicited and/or received initially by the officers, salesmen, or other agents or employees of the wholesale drug division of the defendant, or by the officers, salesmen, or other agents or employees of the manufacturing division of the defendant, or by the officers, salesmen, or other agents or employees of both the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant and the manufacturing division of the defendant. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether deliveries of McKesson products by the defendant to wholesaler customers who signed the form of Fair Trade Agreement attached to Exhibit 2 were made direct from Bridgeport, or were made by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, or both. State for the period July 1; 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether payment for McKesson products

sold to wholesaler customers who signed the form of Fair
Trade Agreement attached to Exhibit 2 was initially made
by the wholesaler customers to the wholesale drug divisions
of the defendant, or direct to the manufacturing division of the
defendant, or both.

11. Identify by name and position held the "Chief House Executives" referred to at the top of Exhibit 3 attached hereto. State whether these executives were solely executives of the whole-sale drug divisions of the defendant or whether these "Chief House Executives" or one or more of them were also executives of the manufacturing division of the defendant. If the "Chief House Executives," or any of them, also served as executives of the manufacturing division, indicate generally the scope of their authority over the manufacturing division.

12. State whether Exhibit 3 attached hereto was circulated among the "Chief House Executives" on or about June 1, 1951. State the position held by H. C. Nolen with the defendant during

the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952.

13. State whether Exhibit 4 attached hereto was circulated among the "Chief House Executives" on or about June 8, 1951.

14. State whether Exhibit 5 attached hereto was circulated among the "Chief House Executives" on or about June 6, 1951. List the name and address of each wholesaler (to whom the defendant's wholesale divisions sold McKesson products) that was forwarded to Mr. Dewell at Bridgeport pursuant to the instructions contained in the second paragraph of Exhibit 5. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether orders for McKesson products from these wholesalers were solicited and/or received by officers, salesmen, or other agents or employees of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, whether deliveries of McKesson products to these wholesalers were made by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, and whether payment for McKesson products sold to these wholesalers by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant was initially received by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant.

15. State whether Exhibit 6 attached hereto represents a letter with attached form of Fair Trade Agreement sent by the defendant to each of its wholesaler customers on or about June 8, 1951. If Exhibit 6 was not sent by the defendant to each of its wholesaler customers, but only to some of its wholesaler customers, state upon what basis the defendant selected the wholesaler customers to whom the letter should be sent. List the name and address of the wholesaler customers to whom the letter was sent.

16. State whether the statement appearing in the second paragraph of Exhibit 6, to the effect that "You have in the past secured

your McKesson manufactured products from one of our authorized distributors and in turn have supplied your retail accounts" was a true statement of the past practice with respect to those whole-salesalers to whom Exhibit 6 was sent, and whether this practice was continued during the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952. Identify by name and address the "authorized distributors" referred to therein. If the "authorized distributors" referred to therein included the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, state why the defendant's wholesale drug divisions rather than the defendant's manufacturing division did not prepare and submit the form of Fair Trade Agreement for execution by their wholesaler customers.

17. List the name and address of each wholesaler to whom Exhibit 6 was sent who executed the form of Fair Trade Agreement attached thereto. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether these wholesalers purchased McKesson products from the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant subject to a Manufacturer-Wholesaler Fair Trade Agreement of the type attached to Exhibit 6.

18. State the approximate dollar volume of McKesson products sold by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant to wholesalers for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant sold McKesson products (1) to the wholesalers listed in the Annex to Item 7 of the defendant's answers to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories, and (2) to the wholesalers listed in the Annex to Item 8 of the defendant's answers to the plaintiffs first set of interrogatories. State

whether all sales of McKesson products to wholesalers by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant during the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 were made subject to Fair Trades greements of the type attached as Exhibit A to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the nanufacturing division of the defendant or the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant made any sales of McKesson products to any wholesaler who had not executed such a Fair Trade Agreement.

19. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether, to the knowledge of the defendant (its officers or agents) the manufacturing division of the defendant solicited orders for McKesson products from any retailer or retailers from whom any of the wholesalers listed in the Annex to Item 8 (of the defendant's answers to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories) solicited orders for McKesson products and other drug products. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether, to the knowledge of the defendant (its officers or agents), the manufacturing

division of the defendant made any direct sales of McKesson products to any retailer or retailers from whom any of the whole-salers listed in the Annex to Item 8 (of the defendant's answers to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories) solicited orders for McKesson products and other drug products. If the manufacturing division of the defendant did make any such direct sales to any such retailer or retailers, list the name and address of the retailer or retailers to whom such direct sales were made, the dollar volume of sales of McKesson products made by the defendant to such retailer or retailers for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952, and the name and address of the wholesaler or wholesalers listed in the aforementioned Annex to Item 8 that solicited orders for McKesson products and other drug products from said retailer or retailers.

20. State for the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952 whether the defendant (including both its wholesale drug divisions and its manufacturing division) made any sales of McKesson products to any wholesaler not listed in either the Annex to Item 7 or the Annex to Item 8 of the defendant's answers to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories. If the answer is in the affirmative, list the name and address of each such other wholesaler.

21. State whether Exhibit 7 attached hereto represents a letter sent by the defendant to each of its wholesaler customers on or about June 8, 1951. If Exhibit 7 was not sent by the defendant to each of its wholesaler customers but only to some of its wholesaler customers, state upon what basis the defendant selected the wholesaler customers to whom the letter was sent.

22. State whether a Manufacturer-Wholesaler Fair Trade Agreement of the type attached as Exhibit Λ to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories was entered into between the manufacturing division of the defendant as "seller" and any or all of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant as "buyer" on or about June 1, 1951 or at any time from June 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952. If the answer is in the negative state whether any agreement in any form was entered into during said period between the manufacturing division of the defendant as "seller" and any or all of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant as "buyer" for the purpose of controlling the "resale" price of McKesson products on the "resale" of such products by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant. If no such agreement was entered into, explain why.

ALLEN A. DOBEY,
WILLIAM F. ROGERS,
Trial Attorneys.

RICHARD B. O'DONNELL,

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

74

Exhibit 1 to Supplement Interrogatories McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, Laboratories, Bridgeport 9, Connecticut,

June 1, 1951.

The enclosed fair trade agreement, when signed by your good company, will have the effect of keeping our minimum published prices effective in all states having fair trade laws.

Many of our fine retail accounts have raised the question as to our future policy. This is our answer in support of the principle

of fair trade:

We propose to do business in fair trade states only with customers having fair trade contracts with us or our appointed distributors.

Enclosed find our complete price schedule which confirms fair

trade minimums now in effect.

Please sign and return promptly one copy of the enclosed agreement retaining the other copy for your files.

Sincerely,

McKesson Laboratories, W. E. Dewell,

Vice Presidentes

WED: M. Enclosures.

75

MANUFACTURER-RETAILER FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Between the undersigned Seller, McKesson & Robbins Labora-

tories, and the undersigned Buyer.

1. The Buyer, by accepting delivery from Seller of any fair traded commodity bearing the trademark, brand, or name of Seller, agrees that the prescribed minimum resale price of that commodity shall be the applicable net retail minimum price published by the Seller and agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect means, at less than that price.

2. The Buyer agrees that no price concession, directly or indirectly, will be made in the sale of said commodity in combina-

tion or otherwise except as herein provided.

3. Buyer agrees that it will require any purchaser to whom Buyer resells such commodities to agree that such purchaser and any subsequent purchaser will not, in turn, resell them at less than the prescribed net retail minimum prices published by Seller.

4. The Seller reserves the right at any time to change said published prices and to add or eliminate commodities to or from those presently fair traded upon giving such reasonable notice thereof, either by publication or such other means as Seller may determine.

5. This agreement shall apply to each and every sale of the said commodities at the time and to the extent that this agreement is lawful under the Fair Trade Act of the state in which the sale is made.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement this _____ day of _____ 1951.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories,

Fairfield, Connecticut.

By W. E. DEWELL, Vice President.

	Buyer
	Street
By .	City and State
DJ -	Title

76 EXHIBIT 2 TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, Laboratories, Bridgeport 9, Connecticut, June 1, 1951.

Attached is a new fair trade contract between us as the manufacturer and you as one of our wholesale distributors covering the products which we manufacture.

Under the contract, you agree to sell products of our manufacture at not less than the stipulated fair trade minimum and further agree to enter into a fair trade contract covering our products with each of your customers to whom any of those products are sold.

The Fair Trade Law of your state provides that a manufacturer may obligate its distributors to require their customers to agree to observe the established minimum resale prices.

By placing the following legend on each of your invoices, it is our opinion, concurred in by our legal counsel, that by the acceptance of the merchandise on that invoice each of your customers will have entered into a legal fair trade contract and will be bound to observe the published fair trade minimum.

FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Purchaser, by accepting delivery from Seller of any fair traded commodity, agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect means, at less than the prescribed net retail minimum price published by the Producer or Distributor whose trademark, brand or name appears on the commodity. This agreement not applicable to sales in nonfair trade states or 77 District of Columbia.

It is also our opinion that, as long as the legend is legible, it may appear on either the face or back of each invoice just like any other term of purchase and may be printed, typed, mimeo-

graphed, or stamped.

We as the manufacturer have previously posted with the Fair Trade Committee in each state having a fair trade statute a list of our minimum resale prices. Those minimum prices continue in effect.

This is our answer to our customers who ask what we are going

to do in legal support of fair trade:

We are going to sell our products only to those who enter into a fair trade contract with us or our appointed distributors.

Please sign and return promptly one copy of the enclosed agreement retaining the other copy for your files.

Sincerely,

McKesson Laboratories, W. E. Dewell,

Vice President.

WED: M. Enclosures.

78 MANUFACTURER-WHOLESALER FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Between the undersigned Seller, McKesson & Robbins Laboratories, and the undersigned Buyer.

In consideration of the sale to the Buyer of commodities which bear the trademark, brand, or name of the Seller and the promises

by the Buyer, it is agreed:

1. The Buyer will not advertise, offer for sale, sell, or resell any of the Seller's commodities shown on Schedule A attached hereto at prices less than the Seller's published net wholesale prices.

2. The Buyer agrees that no price concession, directly or indirectly, will be made in the sale of said commodities in combination

or otherwise except as herein provided:

3. Buyer agrees that it will require any purchaser to whom Buyer resells such commodities to agree that such purchaser and any subsequent purchasers will not, in turn, resell them at less than the net retail minimum prices published by Seller.

4. The seller reserves the right to change said published prices and to add or eliminate commodities from the attached schedule at any time upon giving such reasonable notice thereof, either by

publication or such other means as Seller may determine.

5. This agreement shall apply to each and every sale of the said commodities at the time and to the extent that this agreement is lawful under the Fair Trade Act of the state in which the sale is made.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement this _____ day of _____ 1951.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories,

Fairfield, Connecticut.

By W. E. Dewell, Vice President.

Street

City and State

Title

79 TELEGRAM TO BE SENT BY BRIDGEPORT TO ALL WHOLESALERS TO WHOM BRIDGEPORT SEN' JUNE 1ST LETTER

Supplementing our letter June 1st our Fair Trade Contract intended, of course, to apply to our manufactured products only. Therefore, suggest legend mentioned that letter be changed to read "Fair Trade Agreement. Purchaser by accepting delivery of any commodity manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect means at less than the prescribed net retail minimum price published by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated. This agreement not applicable to sales in non-fair trade states or District of Columbia." Letter follows.

80

EXHIBIT 3 TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES

FOR ATTENTION OF CHIEF HOUSE EXECUTIVES

Number BE-784

Date June 1, 1951

Bridgeport's New Fair Trade Plan

We have just received from Bridgeport a description of the plan they will use henceforth in distributing the products they manufacture to the wholesale and retail trade in those states having fair trade laws. The plan is designed to sell McKesson manufactured products only to those wholesale and retail distributors who accept a fair trade contract on those products. Bridgeport believes, and their belief is supported by good legal opinion, that their plan is completely legal in those states having fair trade laws and under the interpretation of the Miller-Tydings amend-

ment recently given by the Supreme Court. It is the first effective answer, therefore, to the question asked so often recently: "How can a manufacturer now operate legally to protect his trademarks?"

Our Bridgeport Laboratories, a manufacturer, will make a fair trade contract with everyone of its customers. The manufacturerwholesaler contract provides that the wholesaler shall sell Mc-Kesson labelled products at not less than a stated minimum price, and further that he will sell those products only to customers of his who enter into a contract with him to resell those products at not less than an announced minimum retail price.

Effective at once all our wholesale drug divisions will follow the terms of Bridgeport's new manufacturer-wholesaler contract. To

do this we will:

1. Rubber stamp all customer igvoices and warehouse copies of

those invoices with the following legend:

"FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT. Purchaser, by accepting delivery from Seller of any fair traded commodity, agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect means, at less than the prescribed net retail minimum price published by the Producer or Distributor whose trademark, brand or name appears or the commodity. This agreement not applicable to sales in nonfair trade states or District of Columbia."

Each invoice then becomes a legal fair trade contract and customers accepting the merchandise are bound by its terms. (As soon as practical invoices and warehouse copies will be imprinted

with this legend.)

2. Not sell our manufactured products to any customer who tells us that he will not accept a fair trade contract from us. (A list of those customers must be made by each Division and control exercised as is done with Rx legend merchandise.)

3. Explain this new plan to all our salesmen immediately so

that they in turn can explain it to their accounts.

The present retail and wholesale minimum resale prices established by Bridgeport continue in effect. And in the future when changes in minimum prices are made, they will be published in the same manner and by the same means used heretofore.

This bulletin does not apply to our Divisions located in nonfair trade states. These are the states of Texas, Missouri, Vermont and the District of Columbia.

Attached for your information is a copy of Bridgeport's manufacturer-wholesaler contract.

Sincerely,

H. C. NOLEN.

MANUFACTURER-WHOLESALER FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Between the undersigned Seller, McKesson & Robbins Laboratories, and the undersigned Buyer.

In consideration of the sale to the Buyer of commodities which bear the trademark, brand, or name of the Seller and the promises

by the Buyer, it is agreed:

1. The Buyer will not advertise, offer for sale, sell, or resell any of the Seller's commodities shown on Schedule A attached hereto at prices less than the Seller's published net wholesale prices.

2. The Buyer agrees that no price concession, directly or indirectly, will be made in the sale of said commodities in combina-

tion or otherwise except as herein provided.

3. Buyer agrees that it will require any purchaser to whom Buyer resells such commodities to agree that such purchaser and any subsequent purchasers will not, in turn, resell them at less than the net retail minimum prices published by Seller.

4. The Seller reserves the right to change aid published prices and to add or eliminate commodities from the attached schedule at any time upon giving such reasonable notice thereof, either by publication or such other means as Seller may determine.

5. This agreement shall apply to each and every sale of the said commodities at the time and to the extent that this agreement is lawful under the Fair Trade Act of the state in which the sale is made.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement this _____ day of _____ 1951.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories,
Fairfield, Connecticut.
By W. E. Dewell, Vice President.

	: Buyer	
4	Street	
Re	City and State	
	Title	

TELEGRAM TO BE SENT BY H. C. NOLEN TO-ALL M'KESSON DIVISIONS

Supplementing Bulletin BE 784 legend specified therein intended to apply only products manufactured by McKesson. Therefore, legend mentioned that bulletin should be changed to read "Fair Trade Agreement. Purchaser by accepting delivery of any commodity manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect

means at less than the prescribed net retail minimum price published by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated. This agreement not applicable to sales in non-fair trade states or District of Columbia." Letter follows:

84 - EXHIBIT 4 TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES

FOR ATTENTION OF CHIEF HOUSE EXECUTIVES

Number BE-786

Date June 8, 1951

Bridgeport Fair Trade Contract

We have just sent the following telegram to you:

"Supplementing Bulletin BE-784 legend specified therein intended to apply only products manufactured by McKesson. Therefore, legend mentioned that bulletin should be changed to read: 'Fair Trade Agreement. Purchaser by accepting delivery of any commodity manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect means at less than the prescribed net retail minimum price published by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated. This agreement not applicable to sales in nonfair trade states or District of Columbia.' Bulletin follows."

When we sent you our Bulletin BE-784 of June 1st, we expected the contract statement would be limited to apply just to those manufacturers who required us to make fair trade contracts with our customers, the limitation being accomplished by a published notice or perhaps by a letter to our retail customers.

There is still a good possibility that an adequate means of notification of manufacturers covered can be devised other than

incorporating their names in the contract legend.

But to clear up any possibility of confusion on the coverage of the contract statement, Bridgeport has decided to change the wording of the legend as above quoted.

So get another rubber stamp with the changed wording, but

don't throw away the old one-we may have use for it,

Put the stamp on all invoices and on all warehouse copies regardless of whether or not the invoices or receipts contain Bridge-port items.

And don't sell any customer McKesson products who refuses

to enter into a contract with us on those products.

The details of the Bridgeport Fair Trade Plan were only made known on Monday of this week. Yet in that short time an immense amount of favorable interest has developed in all sections of the trade. It is also encouraging to know that no one has found any basic flaws in the plan.

H. C NOLEY.

85

EXHIBIT 5 TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES

FOR ATTENTION OF CLUEF HOUSE EXECUTIVES

Number BE-785

Date June 6, 1951

McKesson Products to Other Wholesalers

In support of Bridgeport's fair trade plan, none of our wholesale divisions will sell any McKesson labeled products to any wholesaler who has not entered into a fair trade contract with McKesson Laboratories.

Send Mr. Dewell at Bridgeport a list of wholesalers, showing

name and address, to whom you sell McKesson products.

Bridgeport will then send a contract to each wholesaler on the list. The Laboratories will notify you when they receive the signed contract from the wholesaler.

Do not sell McKesson products to any other wholesaler untilyou have word from Bridgeport that they have signed a fair trade contract on those products.

H. C. NOLEN.

86 EXHIBIT 6 TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES

McKesson & Robbins,
Incorporated, Laboratories,
Bridgeport 9, Connecticut,

June 8, 1951.

In view of developments during the past few days, it is now clear that the unanimous sentiment of the drug industry is vigorously in favor of fair trade, and in this sentiment you no doubt concur.

You have in the past secured your McKesson manufactured products from one of our authorized distributors and in turn have supplied your retail accounts. So that you may continue this practice, we now ask that you sign the enclosed Fair Trade Contract between us, as the manufacturer, and you, as the distributor, covering the products which we manufacture.

Under the contract, you agree to sell products of our manufacture at not less than the stipulated fair trade minimum and further agree to enter into a fair trade contract covering our products with each of your customers to whom any of those products

are sold.

The Fair Trade Law of your state provides that a manufacturer may obligate its distributors to require their customers to agree to observe the established minimum resale prices.

By placing the following legend on each of your invoices, it is

our opinion, concurred in by our legal counsel, that by the acceptance of the merchandise on that invoice each of your customers will have entered into a legal fair trade contract and will be bound to observe the published fair trade minimum.

FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Purchaser, by accepting delivery of any commodity manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect means, at less than the prescribed net retail minimum price published by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated. This agreement not applicable to sales in nonfair trade states or District of Columbia.

It is also our opinion that, as long as the legend is legible, it may appear on either the face or back of each invoice just like any other term of purchase and may be printed, typed, mimeo-

graphed, or stamped.

We as the manufacturer have previously posted with the Fair Trade Committee in each state having a fair trade statute a list of our minimum resale prices. Those minimum prices continue in effect.

This is our answer to our customers who ask what we are going

to do in legal support of fair trade:

We are going to sell our products only to those who enter into a fair trade contract with us or our appointed distributors.

Please sign and return promptly one copy of the enclosed agreement retaining the other copy for your files.

Sincerely,

McKesson Laboratories, W. E. Dewell,

Vice President.

Enclosures.

88 MANUFACTURER-WHOLESALER FAIR TRADE AGREEMENT

Between the undersigned Seller, McKesson & Robbins Laboratories, and the undersigned Buyer.

In consideration of the sale to the Buyer of commodities which bear the trademark, brand, or name of the Seller and the promises by the Buyer, it is agreed:

1. The Buyer will not advertise, offer for sale, sell, or resell any of the Seller's commodities shown on Schedule A attached hereto at prices less than the Seller's published net wholesale prices.

2. The Buyer agrees that no price concession, directly or indirectly, will be made in the sale of said commodities in combination or otherwise except as herein provided.

3. Buyer agrees that it will require any purchaser to whom Buyer resells such commodities to agree that such purchaser and any subsequent purchasers will not, in turn, resell them at less than the net retail minimum prices published by Seller.

4. The Seller reserves the right to change said published prices and to add or eliminate commodities from the attached schedule at any time upon giving such reasonable notice thereof, either by

publication or such other means as Seller may determine.

5. This agreement shall apply to each and every sale of the said commodities at the time and to the extent that this agreement is lawful under the Fair Trade Act of the state in which the sale is made.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement this 1st day of June 1951.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories,
Fairfield, Connecticut.
By W. E. Dewell, Vice President.

1	-	Buyer		
		Street		1
By =	C	ity and Sta	te	
		Title		

89

EXHIBIT 7 TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES
McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated,
Laboratories,
Bridgeport 9, Connecticut,

June 8, 1951.

In view of developments during the past few days, it is now clear that the unanimous sentiment of the small business man is vigorously in favor of fair trade.

When we wrote to you on June 1, the McKesson Laboratories was the first to announce an effective plan, following the recent Supreme Court case which was at first thought by some to be the death knell of fair trade.

You should take advantage of the opportunity afforded by our plan to promote sales of McKesson products, which now more than ever before will be welcomed by your retail customers.

When we gave you in our letter of June 1 a suggestion on a form of legend to be stamped on your invoices, we as a manufacturer

were naturally thinking of our own products.

We now find that when the statement is used by wholesalers some confusion may arise as to the products covered by the legend.

It was our expectation and intention to require you to make a fair trade contract with your customers only on those goods on which we may lawfully contract with you, being just those goods of our own manufacture.

Therefore, to clear up any confusion that may arise we telegraphed you today recommending that the form of legend which

we suggested be stamped on your invoices be changed to read:

90

FAIR-TRADE AGREEMENT

Purchaser, by accepting delivery of any commodity manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, agrees not to resell such commodity, by direct or indirect means, at less than the prescribed net retail minimum price published by McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated. This agreement not applicable to sales in nonfair trade states or District of Columbia.

The plan is effective because already we know that two outspoken price cutters have had to retract their proposed plans for price cutting on our items, because they could not lawfully cut the prices under McKesson Laboratories' fair trade plan, which will be binding on retailers in fair trade states.

We wish again to emphasize the opportunity which you now have to make sales of our merchandise based on the dealer gross profit, protected as it is by fair trade agreements.

Sincerely,

McKesson Laboratories, W. E. Dewell,

Vice President.

WED: M.

91

Affidavit of service by mail

(Omitted in printing)

92 In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Anwers of defendant, McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, to supplemental interrogatories propounded by the plaintiff

Filed December 2, 1953

[File endorsement omitted.]

[Title omitted.]

The answers are furnished by Wilbur E. Dewell, Vice President and Manager of McKesson Laboratories, the Manufacturing Division of defendant, located at Bridgeport, Connecticut, which will hereinafter be referred to as the "Manufacturing Division." Mr. Dewell's address is Hill Farm Road, Fairfield, Connecticut. Unless otherwise specified, all answers relate to the period July 1,

1951, to June 30, 1952. The numbers of the answers correspond with the numbers of the supplemental interrogatories.

1. No.

2. By check. The checks were sent to the Home Office of the defendant for the reason that after the Manufacturing Division bills the wholesale drug divisions, the Manufacturing Division transfers the accounts receivable to the Home Office. The Manufacturing Division, of course, charges the Home Office for the amount of the receivables so transferred to the Home Office. Pro-

93 multiple checks by the various divisions since there are other charges which the wholesale divisions have to pay to the Home Office. Bills are sent by the Manufacturing Division to the wholesale divisions but bills of sale are not customary in the drug

industry.

3. Without consulting the wholesalers in question it is impossible to know the retailers from whom those wholesalers solicited orders during the period from July 1, 1951, to June 30, 1952. However, since those wholesalers had trading areas which overlapped in whole or in part the trading areas of various of the wholesale drug divisions of defendant it is believed that they would have solicited orders for drug products, including McKesson products, from many of the same retailers from whom wholesale drug divisions of defendant solicited orders.

4. No, although the method of making some McKesson products

may have been covered by process or method patents.

5. The manufacturing division sold products pursuant to fair trade agreements of the type attached as Exhibit A to the plaintiff's first set of Interrogatories directly to the wholesalers listed in the annexes to Items 7 and 8 of the answers to the first set of interrogatories. In addition, the Manufacturing Division obtained fair trade contracts from the wholesalers in the states in question listed in Annex to Item 5 attached. The names of those wholesalers were obtained from various of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant. See answer to supplemental interrogatory 14.

6. Yes; but o' ly to the extent and during the periods permitted by the laws of those states. Defendant did no fair trading in Georgia following the decision of the Supreme Court of Georgia

in Grayson-Robinson Stores, Inc. y. Oneida Ltd./

7. Exhibit 1 was sent on or about June 1, 1951 by the Manufacturing Division to each of the retail accounts to which the Manufacturing Division sold McKesson products in fair trade states.

8. Orders from the retailers referred to in answer to Interrogatory 7 above were solicited or received initially by the officers,

salesmen or other employees of the Manufacturing Division. Deliveries to said retailers were made directly from the Manufacturing Division, although it is possible that some of the retailers may have placed orders, especially in emergencies, with wholesalers including the wholesale divisions of defendant. Payments for McKesson products sold by the Manufacturing Division to retailers were made directly by those retailers to the Manufacturing Division. The "appointed distributors" mentioned in Exhibit 1 were the wholesale drug divisions of defendant and the wholesalers listed in Annexes 7 and 8 to the answers to the first set of interrogatories.

9. Exhibit 2 was sent on or about June 1, 1951 by the Manufacturing Division to each of its wholesale customers in fair trade states. The telegram was sent to such wholesalers a few

days later.

10. Orders from the wholesalers referred to in the answer to Interrogatory bove were solicited or received initially by the officers, salesmen or other employees of the Manufacturing Division. Deliveries to said wholesalers were made directly from the Manufacturing Division, although it is possible that some of the wholesalers may have placed orders, especially in emergencies,

with other wholesalers including the wholesale divisions of defendant. Payments for McKesson products sold by the Manufacturing Division to wholesalers were made directly

by those wholesalers to the Manufacturing Division.

11. The "chief House Executives" referred to at the top of Exhibit 3 were division managers in charge of the wholesale drug divisions of defendant as set forth in the attached Annex to Item None were executives of the Manufacturing Division.

12. Yes. H. C. Nolen was Vice President in charge of Drug

Merchandising.

13. Yes. V

14. Yes. Annex to Item 14 attached is a list of the wholesalers whose names were forwarded to the Manufacturing Division. Any orders for McKesson products received during the period in question from these wholesalers were received mainly by officers, salesmen and other employees of various of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, and deliveries were made and payments received by such wholesale drug divisions. Purchases by these wholesalers from the various wholesale drug divisions were insignificant and were principally of a courtesy nature to enable the wholesalers to fill demands they had for McKesson products.

- 15. Yes, by the Manufacturing Division to each of the wholesales customers listed in the Annex to Item 14.

16. The quoted statement is to the best of our knowledge a true statement of the past practice of those wholesalers to whom Exhibit 6 was sent and the practice continued during the period from July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952. The "authorized distributors" referred to would include the wholesale divisions of defendant and also the wholesalers listed in the Annexes to Items

7 and 8 of defendant's answers to plaintiff's first set of Interrogatories. The wholesale drug divisions of defendant did not prepare and submit the form of fair trade agreement because the agreement was between such wholesalers and McKesson & Robbins Laboratories which is the Manufacturing Division. It was the Manufacturing Division which had the responsibility and function of handling the fair trading of its products rather than the responsibility of the wholesale drug divisions. It is the policy of defendant that its wholesale drug divisions should have nothing whatsoever to do with other wholesalers regarding the types of products to be sold or the prices at which products are to be sold. As a manufacturer, however, the Manufacturing Division does make use of the benefits available under the Miller-Tydings Act, the McGuire Act and the fair-trade laws of the fair trade states by fair trading the manufactured products of such Manufacturing Division in accordance with the provisions of those laws.

17. The wholesalers who executed the form of fair trade agreement attached to Exhibit 6 are set forth in Annex to Item 17 attached hereto. Any purchases of McKesson products by those wholesalers during the period in question would have been made principally from various of the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant, but the amounts of such purchases would have been insignificant for the reason mentioned in the answer to Interrogatory 14 above. None of these wholesalers had separate fair trade contracts with any of the wholesale drug divisions of defendant. Since, however, the manufacturer-wholesaler fair trade agreement of the type attached to Exhibit 6 applied to sales of

McKesson products irrespective of whether or not the products would have been sold directly by the Manufacturing

Division or by any other source, the purchasers of McKesson products who entered into said manufacturer-wholesaler fair

trade agreements would have been bound thereby.

18. It is difficult to approximate the dollar volume of McKesson products sold by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant to wholesalers. The volume is so insignificant as mentioned in the answer to Interrogatory 14 above that no attempt is made to keep separate records of such sales. It is believed that for any year, including the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952, the aggregate sales by the wholesale drug, divisions of defendant of McKesson products to other wholesalers would amount to about

\$200,000. It is unlikely that the wholesale drug divisions of defendant would have sold any McKesson products to any of the wholesalers listed in the Annex to Item 7 or the Annex to Item 8 of the defendant's answers to the plaintiff's first-set of Interrogatories during the period in question, since those wholesalers purchased directly from the Manufacturing Division. It is, of course, always possible that in emergencies some of these wholesalers may have purchased occasional items from the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant. All sales by the wholesale drug divisions of the defendant to other wholesalers of McKesson products would not have been made subject to fair trade agreements of the type attached as Exhibit A to the plaintiff's first set of Interrogatories. There probably were instances in which sales were made by the wholesale drug divisions of defendant to wholesalers who had not signed such a form of agreement. However, the

Manufacturing Division did not to orr knowledge make any sales of McKesson products to any wholesaler in a fair trade state who had not executed such a fair trade

agreement.

19. Yes, but except for orders received from retailers to whom the Manufacturing Division sold directly the orders would have been turned over to wholesalers located in the territory where the officers or employees worked. Attached hereto as Armex to Item 19 is a list of the customers to whom the Manufacturing Division made direct retailer sales whose addresses are believed to be located in the probable trading areas of the wholesalers listed in Annex 8 to the answers to the first set of Interrogatories. Included in Annex to Item 19 is the dollar volume of sales of McKesson products made by the Manufacturing Division to such retailers during the period in question. Some of such retailers may have purchased McKesson products from the Manufacturing Division not only for resale in stores of such retailers located in the trading areas of the wholesalers listed in Annex 8 to the answers to the first set of Interrogatories, but also for resale in stores of such retailers located elsewhere. The details are not known to the defendant. The defendant has no knowledge as to whether any of the wholesalers in question also solicited orders for McKesson products from these retailers. Excluded from the list are retailers whose purchases aggregated less than \$50.

20. The answer is probably yes. See answer to Interrogatory 14 above.

- 21. Yes, by the Manufacturing Division to each of its wholesaler customers in fair trade states.
- 22. No. There was no occasion to believe that the wholesale drug divisions of defendant located in fair trade states would

not sell McKesson products at the fair trade prices set forth in the price lists prepared by the Manufacturing Division.

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, By R. J. Platt

R. J. Platt, Assistant Secretary.

99 [Duly sworn to by jurat omitted in printing.]

114

ANNEX TO ITEM 17

Averbeck Drug Company, Youngstown, Ohio.

John B. Daniel, Incorporated, Atlanta, Googia.

Cook, Everett & Pennell, 137 Middle Street, Portland 6, Maine. Gilman Brothers, Incorporated, 100-12 Shawmut Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts.

J. E. Gould & Company, 201 Federal Street, Portland 1, Maine.

S. S. Pierce Company, 133 Brookline Avenue, Boston Massachusetts.

United Consumers, Incorporated, 120 Brookline Avenue, Brookline, Massachusetts.

Lawrence Wholesale Drug Company, 25 Water Street, Lawrence, Massachusetts.

Collier Drug Company, Birmingham, Alabama.

Southeastern Drug Company, Montgomery, Alabama.

Rader Drug Company, 341-39th Street, Brooklyn, New York. Rogers Wholesalers, 119-20 Merrick Road, St. Albans, Long Island, New York.

Torbert Drug Company, Dubuque, Iowa.

Duff Brothers, Incorporated, Chattanooga, Tennessee.

115 Galler Drug Company, 651 South Wells, Chicago, Illinois.

Lag Drug Company, 4601 South Wentworth, Chicago, Illinois. Reliable Drug Company, 110 West Hubbard, Chicago, Illinois. Louis Zahn Drug Company, 800 South Kedzie, Chicago, Illinois. Heyboer Drug Company, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

Cincinnati Economy Drug Company, 209 East Court Street,

Cincinnati, Ohio.

Hale Justis Drug Company, 9 West Third Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Coastal Wholesale Drug Company, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.

Davis Brothers Wholesale Drug, 1628 15th Street, Denver, Colorado.

C. D. Smith Drug Company, Grand Junction, Colorado, Lambert & Lowman, Division of Ketchum Drug Company, Detroit, Michigan. Monroe Wholesale Drug Company, Monroe, Louisiana. Standard Wholesale Drug Company, Meridian, Mississippi. Snowden Mize Drug Company, Atchison, Kansas.

Brunswig Drug Company, 4701 Santa Fe Avenue, Los Angeles,

California.

116 Los Angeles Drug, 1136 San Julian Street, Los Angeles, California.

Ellis-Bagwell Drug Company, 455 South Front Street, Memphis, Tennessee.

Hatton Drug Sundry Company, 1483 Northwest Seventh Ave-

nue, Miami, Florida.

Gulf Drug Company, 50 Northwest 10th Street, Miami, Florida. Jaynor Drug Sundry Company, 215 Northwest 24th Street, Miami 37, Florida.

Ely Levin Wholesale Distributors, 2085 Northwest Second Ave-

nue, Miami 37; Florida:

Yahr Lange, Incorporated, 141 North Water Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

F. Dohmen Company, 319 North Water Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Badger Wholesale Drug, 141 West Seeboth, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Northern Drug Company, Fargo, North Dakota.

Mobile Drug Company, Mobile, Alabama.

D. Kaltman & Company, Incorporated, 128 Webster Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey.

D. Kaltman & Company, Incorporated, 117-139 North Delaware

Avenue, Atlantic City, New Jersey.

Bergen Drug Company, 138-160 Johnson Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey.

N. J. Wholesale Drug Company, 56 Arlington Street, Newark, New Jersey.

McMonagle & Rogers, 20-24 Union Street, Middletown, New York.

Apothecaries Hall Company, 28 Benedict Street, Waterbury, Connecticut.

D. A. Rosow & Company, 325 North Front Street; Hartford Connecticut.

Lee & Osgood, 340 Thames Street, Norwich, Connecticut.

Charles S. Leete & Company, 34 Crown Street, New Haven, Connecticut.

Atlantic Drug Company, 155 Union Avenue, New Haven, Connecticut.

I. L. Lyons & Company, Limited, 800 Tchoupitoulas Street, New Orleans, Louisiana.

P. C. Bus 1694 all North Field St.

ANNEX TO ITEM 5

McKESSON & ROBBINS

HOME OFFICE 155 East 44th Street NEW YORK 17, N. Y.

	(Manufacturer's N			_
	* (Sreet Addres	a)		(
(Can)	(Pristal Zame)	a ((Date)	- 13

ALW 10	K 17, K. Y.	(Gry) (Pr	used Zame) (Show)
WHOLESALE DRUG	E SUNDRIES DIVISIONS		
Abdus Terra	Denver 17, Cala	Minnespolie L. Minn.	Bornoke & Va.
ISIZ Seath Transiens	P. O. Box 5200	P. O. But 19	P. O. Best 1631
The state of the s	US4 Lawrence St.	24 Nuclii led St.	Jill V. Selem Am.
Licen S, Q. P. Q. Box 570		Mobile 9, Ale	
THE REAL PROPERTY.	Detroit 11. Mich.	P. O. Bert 1569	Rechester 1, N. Y.
	P. O. Ben 258 525 W. Jefferson Ave.	110 N. Bayel St.	P. O. Bert 160 230 St. Pinel St.
Uhany L. N. T.	_ 155 W. Dellesses A.W.		
P. O. Ben 131 S. Brendens	El Pane, Tex.	Nontwille 1, Tenn. 2. Q. But 270	Rock Island, III
60	P. O. Bas 200	254 Second Ass. N	P. Q. Bes (2)
muella, Tex	- CO Vest Sun Automie St.		100 Ninetpeatth St.
. O. Box 2008 19-11 Fillman St.	Fort Smith, Arkaness	Nevark L. N. L	Succession L Calif.
	NIS-201 Booms Ave.	P. O. Box ED9 SD Summer Ave.	P. O. Bert 191
ingrests, Co.	THE STATE STREET, THE	AND AURIDIES AND	425 Needs 76 St.
(15 Jackson S.	Fremo 17, Calif.	New Hoven T, Com.	
Millings, Minne.	- P. O. Box 1702	P. O. Box 1920	Suint Louis 2, Mis.
P. O. Best 2098	- 42 P St	DI2 Winelester Ass.	100 South Brandway
Minima Ave.		New Orleans 7. La	Saint Paul L. Minn.
Remingham S. Ala.	Samedada 6, T. H.	P. O. Box 610	25 East 66 St.
The First Ave.	72. O. Box 1801 720 South St.	7515 Magnusine St.	- 24E 405 3.
better DR. How	Carlo Service SC		Sun Autunio 1. Tex.
15 Summer St. 6.	Streeting 1, Tex.	New York St., N. Y Birth Third Ave.	P. Q. Box 59
	P. O. See 1209		III Eneligent Are.
Brenkies Divisios	- E22 Leminut Ave.	New York 17, N. Y.	
kelikene		Eome Officer	Sen Blogo 12, Calif P. O. Box 1968
Will Historywitten Am.	Suntingens 13, V. Va.	IS L was St.	7th and I Sa.
Repe Frest 74, N. L.	P. O. Box 1000 700 Seventh St.	North Bullywood, Call.	
Auffiche S. N. Y.		OZ_O. Box 562 HHSS Van Oven St.	San Francisco 13, Calif.
	Jackson 7, Wiss.	EIESE War Owen St.	P. O. Box 1194
30 Court St.	P.O. Birx (W. Buckman) 1067	Onidared & Calif	50 First St.
	Des M. Galluin St.	P. O. Box 1979	Seattle 14, Wash
hurlington, In.	Jacksonwille 3. Fin.	Hill Castre St.	P. Q. Bee HOR
Oll North Wit St.	P. O. Denver V. W. Bay Sta.		429 Obmidental Asse.
	25 N. Murket St.	Ourlien, U. P. O. Box 750	M. S. C.
aire, III		Dill 24th St.	Sour Chy & la
C. G. Box 228 12 Company of Ame.	Kumu City Mt. Mo-		2. 0. 3ex 1077
- Commence are	P. O. Bus Si	Okinitamu City I. Okin	ROS Pleased St.
eine Bigith, In.	712 Brandway	P. O. Box 195	Spultane & Vant.
A. See Jan	Little Buck, Ack	III N. E. IIIII St.	P. dt. Blue 2578
M Seemi St., S.I.	2. 0. Bire 1980	Omada L. Neile	lott S. Wall St.
Interneum L. Term	III N. Wain St.	902 Farman St. •	
G. Bine 15att			4 Springfield L. Mass.
III Menter St.	Law Acceptains 54, Calif	P. O. Sinc 1209	P. C. Box 722
Divingo 30. III.	F. O. Box 2010, Ter. Armen	220 W. Robinson Are.	OR THEMS. FINE
. O. Bur 52204	- 208 S. Lass Acresian St.		Symmuse L. N. Y.
West Runtingin St.	Camprille 2 Ly	Provin 1, III.	P. Ct. Box 1247
incimuat L. C.	754 West Waits St.	P. O. Biox 778	Ilú W. Willow St.
Ol. Biox 4777		B2 5. Washington St.	
25 Sant With St.	Wacan, Ca.		Ennys L. Fis.
	2. 0. But 956	Phoenix, Actional Programme Programm	P. St. Blox Hell 100 Total St.
Arveland L. C.	- Will Birmilivay	let and Inchess Sta.	and Lyler at
302 Vone 9th St.	Mempitie L. Tenn.		Falls L Chib.
	139 S. 2nd St.	Pittsöurgii 30, Pa.	F. O. Box 2478
D. Jon 300	and a second	F. O. Suz 1225	1209 S. Fremister
Li Germin St.	Minmy 38, Fla.	466 Vater St.	
	P. O. Bios	Postant I. Obs.	Vicinita L. Lans.
diluminus Concept	- (Wittin River Sa.) 1057	P. 0) Hop 4230	2. O. Box ER
A. Box Old	7455 N. E. Int. Ave.	620 N. V. Buff Ave.	III/I M. Smith For Avec.
202 Second Ave.			
Inilia 2. Dec	Milwentites L. Wisc.	Providence L. B. L.	Busiters L. N. T.

Frank Links sumborn refer to your office has alithous and not because of including.

P. O. Bine 674

42 L. Michigan R.

P. O. Boy ESS 204 Harriord Ave.

DEPUBLICATE: He save to put our Home Buying Office 1000 Line 44th Street, New York PA. N. Y. on your mailing list to mention all impries of natorial sont to the tradic.

P. C. Box 300

231 Einstitume Am

31/9/
11/0/

			SHIP BONUS EXT.		SHIP BONUS EXT.	INV. PRODUCT	SHIP BONUS EXT.	
PRICE SUBJECT TO FAIR TRADE AC		Name Size Min. List		Name Size Shipper-Shelf-Retail-Full Min, List	0	Name Size Shipper-Shelf-Retail-Full o Min. List.		Name Size Shipper-Sholf-Retail Full Min. List
ZEGALLY PERMISSIBL		HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIA	LTIES	HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIA	LTIES 8	HEALTH HELPS AND S	PECIALTIES	HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIALTIES
DEVICED ACTORED	1 1051	Apalax 6s B		Emoleo w/Ephedrine 1 oz.		Oint., Bluc, 10% 1/3 oz. 12 doz. 12 .20 .20 0 1.50		Soretone 1 pt. 1 1 doz. 2.25 1.98 18.00
REVISED OCTOBER	ा, ।५५।	Analax 25s Temporarily Withdrawn		Extol 4 oz. 25 .25 1.90	C o	Oint., Bluc, 10% 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .35 .35 2.50		Soretone gal. 1 only 10.00 ea.
		Analgesic Balm tubes ½ oz. 12 doz. 12 .30 .29 1.90		Fly Funiral w. DDT 1% 1 pt. *		Oint., Boric Acid, U.S.P. 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.60	•	Suppositories, Glyc. Adults 12s - 6 doz. 12 .40 .39 2.80
Please ship the McKESSON PRODUCTS inc	licated below:	Analgesic Balm 1 oz.		1 doz50 .39 3.40 Gestion 50s		Oint., C.L.O. w/ZnO & Phenol . 11/2	02.	Suppositories, Glyc. Infants 12s 6 doz. 12 .40 .39 2.80
		12 doz. 12 .60 .55 3.80 A-200 Pyrinate Liquid 2 oz.		Hydrogen Peroxide Sol., U.S.P. 4 oz.		12 doz. 12 .50 .50 3.40 Oint., Ichthammol 10% N.F. 1 oz.		Surin Ointment 1% ox
To		6 doz. 12 .79 .79 5.80 Aqua Drin 1 oz.		6 doz. 12 Prices on Request Ilydrogen Peroxide Sol., U.S.P. 8 oz.		12 doz. 12 ,35 .35 2,40 Oint., Phenol. (Carbolic Acid) 1 c		N Surin Ointment 6 oz.
		6 doz. 12 .60 .49 3.40 Aspirin Tablets U.S.P. 12's	0 @	Hydrogen Peroxide Sol., U.S.P.º 1 pt.		12 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.60 Oint. Rectal 1 oz.		N Surin Ointment 1 lb.
Town Sia	le	60 doz. 12 10 .10 .72		1 doz. Prices on Request		12 doz. 12 .50 .50 3.30 Oint. Zinc, U.S.P. 1 oz.		1 doz. Disp. Disp. 3,80 ea.
		Aspirin Tablets U.S.P. 36's 6 doz. 12 25 25 1.80		Ibath 2½ oz. 2 doz40 .39 2.60		12 doz. 12 .25 .23 1.50		Syrup (Baby) Cough 3 oz. 2 doz35 .35 2:30
Ship YiaWh	en	Aspirin Tablets U.S.P. Bots 100s		Ibath 6 oz. 2.dox .60 .53 3.80		Oint. Zinc, U.S.P. 2½ oz. 6 doz. 6 .40 .39 2.60		Syrup, Figs and Senna 6 oz. 2 doz50 .50 3.80
	8	Aspirin Tablets U.S.P. 1000s 1 doz. Disp Disp. 14.40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Idrops w/dropper 1 oz. 6 doz. 12 .40 .39 2.50		Olive Oil, U.S.P. 2 oz. Prices on Request 4 oz.		Syrup, Cough, Wh. Pine, w/Tar Sm. 2 doz35 .33 2.00
Order NoDateSal	esmon	Axar Tablets 12s		Kessamin 10 oz. 1 doz. 5.00 5.00 36.00		Olive Oil, U.S.P. 8 oz. Prices on Request 1 pt.		Syrup, Cough, Wh. Pine, w/Tar Lg. 2 doz60 .57 3.80
TERMS:		36 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.35 Axar Tablets .50s		Kessamin Tablets 96s	······································	Olive Oil, U.S.P. 1 qt.		Syr., Cough, W.P., w/Tar & Men. Sm. 2 doz. 35 .33 2.00
Line extensions of less than \$4 in		12 doz. 12 .60 .59 3.60		4 doz. 3.00 3.00 22.50 Kigo (for Coughs). 2 oz.		Ora (Denture Cleaner) 23/4 oz.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Syr., Cough, W.P., w/Tar & Men. Lg.
original shipper indicated*	10% off list	N Axon (Antihistamine) Caps. 40s		6 doz. 12 .35 .33 2.00 Kigo (for Coughs) 6 oz.		Ora (Denture Cleaner) 61/2 oz.		2 doz60 .57 3.80 Syr., Cough, W.P., w/Hon. & Euc. Sm.
\$25 through \$99 assorted	10% off list	12 doz. 12 1.25 1.25 8.00 Beef, Iron & Wine 1 pt.		2 doz60 .57 3.80 Larkspur Lotion 2 oz.		2 doz60 .57. 4.80 Ora-Fix (Denture Adhesive) 3/4 oz		2 doz35 .33 2.00 Syr., Cough, W.P., w/Hon. & Euc. Lg.
\$100 through \$249 assorted \$250 and over assorted	10% and 2% % off list	1 doz, 1.35 1.35 9.60		6 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.75		12 doz. 12 .35 .29 2.50		2 doz60 .57 3.80 Syr., Cough, White Pine, plain Sm.
All the above discounts extended for payment		Burntone tubes 3 oz. 6 doz. 6 .60 .59 4.00		Larkspur Lotion 6 oz. 2 doz50 .50 3.40		Ora-Fix (Denture Adhesive) 1% 0 12 doz. 12 .60 .53 4.60		2 doz35 .33 2.00
•		Burntone jar, 1 lb. 1 doz. 2.00 1.89 1.20 eg.		N Lax-Mel (Bulk Laxative) Tabs. 100s 6 doz. 12 1.50 1.50 10.80		Ora-Fix Pocket Pack 6 doz. 6 1.00 1.00 8.00	lw i	Syr., Cough, White Pine, plain Lg. 2 doz60 .57 3.80
Merchandiser: Be sure to CHECK Dealer's Stocks o		Cala Cream tubes 3 oz.		Liniment, McKesson's 3 oz. 2 doz39 .39 2.80		Pills, Blaud's N.F. 100s 12 doz. 12 .50 .45 3.40		Tab., Ext. Cas. Sag., 5 Gr. c.c. 100s 12 doz. 12 .65 .59 4.40
INV. PRODUCT Name Size Shipper-Shelf-Retail-Full Min. List	SHIP BONUS EXT.	Calox Antiseptic 4 oz.	•	Loz. Sulfur & Cream Tartar 36s		Pills, Vegetable Cathartic N.F. 950		Tab., Hinkle's Comp. w/o Strych. 50s 6 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.75
Shipper-Shelf-Reteil-Full Min. List HEALTH HELPS AND SPEC	IAITIFS	Calox Antiseptic 1 pt.		12 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.60 Magnex 4 ez.	C ver	Pills, Hinkle's Comp. w/o Stry. 10)s	Tab., Hinkle's Comp. w/o Strych. 100s
Alba-Går 1 pt.		l doz75 .73 4.80 Calox Tooth Powder ¾ oz.		2 doz65 .63 4.40 Magnex 8 oz.	la la	12 doz. 12 .60 .59 4.00 Pinotol 4 oz.		12 doz. 12° .50 .4% 3.30 Tab., Rhinitis w/Quinine ½ St. 100s
1 doz. 1.10 1.00 7.40 Alba-Gar (Phenolphthalein) 1 pt.		6 doz. 12 .10 .10 .85 Calox Tooth Powder 2 oz.		2 doz. 1.09 1.00 6.80 Magnesia Tablets 36s		Pinotol 1 pt.		12 doz. 12 .50 .50 3.10 Tab., Rhinitis w/Quinine F.S. 100s
1 doz. 1.10 1.00 7.40		4 doz. 12 .35 .33 2.60	6 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	6 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.75	•	1 doz. 1.00 .95 6.60		12 doz. 12 .69 .69 4.40 Tablets, Soda Mint 45s
Albatum 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .35 .33 2.50		Calox Tooth Powder 4 oz. 3 doz. 6 .50 .43 4.00		Magnesia Tablets 100s 2 doz50 .49 3.15		Pinotol gal. 1 only 4.75 4.75 3.40	60.	12 doz. 12 .15 / .15 1.00
Albatum 5 oz. 2 doz65 .65 4.60	9	Calox Tooth Powder 8 oz.	g.	Mange Medicine (Sarcoptic) 8 oz. Discontinued		Poison Ivy Lotion 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.50		Talkies 24s 12 doz. 12 .15 .15 1.15
Albolene Baby Oil 6 oz. 2 doz40 .39 3.20		Camphor Ice_tubes 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .15 .15 1.10		Marathon Foot Powd. can 21/4 oz. 6 doz. 12 .30 .29 1.90		Poison Ivy Lotion 6 oz. 2 doz50 .50 3.00		Tartan (Suntan Lotion) 1¾ oz. • 1 doz40 .39 3.20
Albolene Baby Oil 1 pt.		Castor Oil, Aromatic, N.F. 3 oz.		Maya (inseet repellant) 1¼ oz.		Positos 12s (New Formula) 6 doz. 12 1.25 1.25 8.40		Tartan (Suntan Lotion) 4 oz. 3 doz80 .79 6.40
1 doz85 .79 6.50 Albolene Baby Oil 1 gal. +		2 doz45 .45 2.80 Citrated Carbonates Eff. 4 oz.		12 doz50 .49 3.40 Mertol		N Positos Rectal Ointment 1 oz.	•	Tartan Lip Pomade
1 only 2.00 eq. Albolene Baby Powder 4 oz.	a	l doz75 .69 4.80 Citrated Carbonates Eff. 8 oz.		Temporarily Withdrawn Mertoxel	•	12 doz. 12 1.25 1.25 8.4 Profex tubes 4 gram		24 doz. 12 .35 .35 2.80 Throat Gargle 2 oz.
4 doz. 12 .35 .35 2.40 Albolene Liquid 1 oz.		1 doz. 1.00 1.00 7.40 Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. 8 oz.		All Types Temporarily Withdrawn Milk of Magnesia, U.S.P. 8 oz. *		12 doz. 12 .35 .35 1.85 Pursin 10 oz.		6 doz. 12 .30 .29 1.80 Throat Gargle 6 oz.
12 doz. 12 .15 .15 1.20		1 doz85 .79 6.00		2 doz25 .25 1.90		1 doz. 1.00 1.00 8.00		2 doz60 .55 3.60 Toothache Drops w/Appl. 1/4 oz
Albolene Liquid 4 oz. 2 doz30 .30 2.25		Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. 1 pt. 1 doz. 1.35 1.29 10.00		Milk of Magnesia, U.S.P. 1 pt. * 1 doz39 .37 2.80		Pursin 10 oz. 3 bottles 2.75		6 doz. 12 .35 .35 2.40
Albolene Liquid 1 pt. 1 doz		Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. 1 qt. 1 doz. 2.25 2.19 17.50		Milk of Magnesia, U.S.P. 1 qt. 1 doz75 .65 a 5.00		Pursin 10 °0z. 6 bottles 5.00		N Utol Skin Ointment 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 1.00 1.00 7.20
Albolene Liquid 1 gal. 1 only 3.75 3.49 2.50 eq.		Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. (H.P.) 4 oz.	7	Mineral Oil, Hvy., U.S.P. 1 pt. 1 doz60 .60 4.50		Pursin Laxative Tab. 358 6 doz. 12 .25 .25 2.00		V. A. Douche Powder 4 oz. 2 doz60 .60 4.40
Albolene Cream, Scented 1% oz. * 1 doz30 .30 2.40	9	Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. (H.P.) 1 pt. 1 doz. 1.75 1.59 12.50		Mineral Oil, Hvy., U.S.P. 1 qt. 1 doz. 1.00 1.00 7.90		Pyrozone, 25% Sol. (Amp.) 2½ co 1 doz. 1 1.25 1.25 10.56		V. A. Douche Powd. Packettes 12s • O 1 doz. 50 .49 3.80
Albolene Cream, Plain 1% oz.		Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P., Mint 8 oz.		Mosquitone sticks	Louis	Rugar 10 oz.		V. A. Douche Powd. Packettes 32s 3 doz. 6 V.00 1.00 7.60
Albolene Cream, Scented 4 oz.	+ -	1 doz		12 doe: 12 .35 .35 2.50 Mustarx 2 oz.		1 doz. 1.00 1.00 8.00 Saccharin Tab., 1/4 gr. vials 100s		Zinc Stearate, U.S.P. 1 oz. *
1 doz60 .59 4.80 Albolene Cream, Plain 4 oz.		1 doz. 1.35 1.29 10.00 Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P., Mint 1 qt.		Navap 1/2 uz.		12 doz. 12 .25 .23 1.60 Saccharin Tab., 1/4 gr. 1000s		1 doz35 o .33 2.40
1 doz60 .59 4.80		o l doz. 2.25 2.19 17.50		12 doz. 12 .50 .49 3.40		12 doz. 12 1.00 .89 6.60 Saccharin Tab., 1/2 gr. 100s	8	1 doz50 .47 3.30 C. S. Z. w/Bal. Peru, 5% 1 oz.
Albolene Cream, Scented 1 lb. 1 doz. 1.00 .98 8.00		Cold Sore Lotion 1/4 oz. 12 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.70	1 1	Navap Inhaler 12 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.80		12 doz. 12 .30 .25 1.8		1 doz75 .75 4.80
Albolene Cream, Plain 1 lb. 1 doz. 1.00 .98 8.00		Copper Iron Comp. 8 oz.	· 4	Neo-Aqua-Drin w/Gramicidin 1 oz. 6 doz89 .89 6.00		Seidlitz Powd., N.F. All Sizes Prices on Request		VITAMIN PRODUCTS
Albomist Nosc Dr. w. Ephed. 1/2 oz. * 1 doz25 .25 1.90		Copper-Iron Comp. Tabs. 50s 12 doz. 12 1.00 1.00 8.00		New-Aqua-Drin Throat Lozenges 12s 12 doz69 .69 5.00		Silver Col 2 oz. Temporarily Withdrawn		Ascorbic Acid Tabs., 25 mg., 100s ° 1 doz8579 5.60
Albomist Nose Dr. w Ephed. 1 oz. * 1, doz39 .39 2.80	.00 ●	Corax (for Colds) / 30s		Octofen 11/2 oz.		Silver Col 8 oz. Temporarily Withdrawn		Ascorbic Acid Tabs., 50 mg., 100s 2 doz. 1.25 1.19 8.60
Alcohol Isopropyl 1 pt. •		24 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.60 Corn Remedy 1/2 oz.	9	1@oz. 1.50 1.50 10.00 Octofen 4 oz.		Sodium Phosphate, Eff. 4 oz.		Ascorbic Acid Tabs., 100 mg., 100s
Alcohol Rubbing Comp. 8 oz. •		12 doz. 12 .35 .35 2.30 Darol Cold Capsules 20s		1 doz. 3.00 3.00 20.00 N Octofen Foot Powder 21/4 oz.	0	Solution 59 (Antiseptic) 1 pt.		2 doz. 2.00 1.89 13.80 Ascorbic Acid Tabs., 250 mg., 100s
Alcohol Rubbing Comp. 1 pr. •		12 doz. 12 49 .49 3.00 Darol Syrup for Coughs 8 oz.		12 doz. 12 .75 .75 5.40. Oint. Animon. Merc. N.F. 5% 1 oz.		1 doz60 .59 4.20 Soretone 2½ oz.		2 doz. 4.00 3.75 27.00 Bax Capsules 100s
. Transmit Autonity Comp. 1 pt.						2 doz50 .49 4.00		12 doz. 12 2.90 2.89 23.20
1 doz50 42 3.90 Ammon. Tooth Pwdr. (McK) 31/4 oz.*		1 doz75 .75 4.50 Elixir Catnep & Fennel N.F. 3 oz.		12 doz. 12 .40 .39 2.50 Oint. Antiscptic 11/4 oz.		Soretone 6 oz.		Bax Capsules 250s

PRODUCT	SHIP BONU	IS EXT.	INV.	PRODUCT	SHIP B	ONUS EXT.	**	PRODUCT	SHIP . BC	HUS EXT	I INV.	PRODUCT	SHIP	BONU		INV.	PRODUCT	SHP BC	ONUS F
Name Size Shipper-Shelf-Retail-Full Min. List	0.00		* o *	Name Size Shipper-Shelf-Retail-Full Min, List	200			Name Size Min List	•	•		Shipper-Shelf-Retail-Full Min. List	0.1				Shipper-Shelf-Refeal-Full Min. "List		0
VITAMIN PRODUCTS	•			TOILET PREPARATIONS		2	•	WET PACKAGE LINE Suggested Retail Price			*	DRY PACKAGE LINE Suggested Retail Price			1 , 4		DRY PACKAGE LINE Suggested Retail Price	. /.	
Bexel Caps. (Vit. B. Comp.) 40s		c .	b	Shavami (Br. Sh. Cr.) tubes 41/4 oz.			2	Calamine Lo. (Phenola.) N.F. 4 oz. *		0 0.		Acid, Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P. 1 lb.	0.	0	0	20 ·	Pot. Permangan., Crys. U.S.P. 1 oz.		200
1 doz. 1.00 .98 7.80 Bexel Caps. (Vit. B Com.) 100s			0. 6	6 doz. 6 .60 .53 3.60 Shavami (Brushl. Sh. Cr.) Jar 8½ oz.				2 doz29 1.90			a	Acid, Boric, Powd. U.S.P. 1 02.	, , , , , , , , ,				Pot. Permangan., Crys. U.S.P. 2 02.		
1 doz. 2.25 1.98 16.00 o				1 doz75 .69 5.20 Shaving Lotion 4 oz.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Camphor, (Spirit of) N.F. 1/2 oz. 12 doz. 12 .20 1.40 Camphor, (Spirit of) N.F. 1 oz.				12 doz. 12 .15 .95 Acid, Boric, Powd. U.S.P. 2 oz.	•	2	> /		Psyllium Seed, N.F., Brown 1 lb.	6	0
Bexel Caps. (Vit. B Comp.) 250s 1 doz. 4.50 4.23 34.00	-			3 doz. 45 45 3.10			L	12 doz. 12 .35 2.40			· car	Acid, Boric, Powd. U.S.P. 4 oz.	6.	9		0	Psyllium Seed, N.F., Blonde 1 lb.		
Bexel Caps. (Vit. B Comp.) 500s 1 doz. 8.50 7.79 62.80	5 # (487)			Soothe Skin Hand Cream 4¾ oz. 1 doz60 .59 4.40			,	Camphor, (Spirit of) N.F. 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .60 4.20			**************************************	2 doz33 2 2.00	6 1				1 doz98 9.00		
Bexel Multiple Caps. 50s 12 doz. 12 4.25 4.25 33.60				Soothe Skin Lotion 8 oz.		6		Cascara Sag. (Arom. Ext. of) 2 oz. ŏ doz. 12 50 3.80	. 0			Acid, Boric, Powd. U.S.P. 8 oz.			./		Pumice, Powdered, N.F. 4 oz. 2 doz23 1.50		
Bexel Multiple Caps. 100s	0 08	9	0	Talc. for Men otins 31/4 oz. 0 6 doz. 12 .25 .21 1.60	•	•	102	Cascara Sag. (Arom. Ext. of) 4 oz. 2 doz89 6.00	+ +	0		Acid, Boric, Bowd. U.S.P. 1 lb.					Rochelle Salt, N.F. 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .20 1.20		
1 doz. 7.50 7.50 60.00 Bexel Mult, Caps w/Biz (Children's) 100s	<i>A</i> .	1		Tawn Brushless Shave 1/4 02.				Chloroform Liniment, N.F. 2 02	0	0		Acid, Oxalic, Technical 1 oz.		0		.0	Rochelle Salt, N.F. 2 oz. 2.10		
6 doz. 12 2.79 2.79 19.50 Bexel Special Formula Caps. 40s	G		63	12 doz. 12 .10 .10 .80 Tawn Brushless Shave 41/4 oz. *				6 doz. 12 .35 2.25 Glycerin, U.S.P. 1 oz.			6	12 doz. 12 .25 1.50 Acid, Salicylic, U.S.P. 1 oz.	•	ob.		8	Rochelle Salt, N.F. 4 oz.		
6 doz. 12 3.00 2.98 22.00	0.0	0		1 doz50 /c.49 3.50		6		12 doz. 12 .30 2:00 Glycerin, U.S.P. 2 oz.				Alum, Ammonia, Powd. N.F. 2 oz.	The state of the s				2 doz50 3.40 Saltpeter, N.F., Small Crys. 2 oz.		
Bexel Special Formula Caps. 100s 1 doz. '5.95 5.95 42.00		b	***	Tawn Hair Dressing 1/2 oz. 12 doz. 12 10 .10 .80			7963	6 doz. 12 .53 3.60	w . o	•		2 dez15 . 1.00	. 0	<u> </u>			12 doz. 12 .18 . 1.25		
Bexel Syrup (Vit. B Comp.) 4 oz. 1 doz. 1.00 .98 7.80	•	Qua o		Tawn Hair Dressing 5 oz.	S. S.			Glycerin, U.S.P. 4 oz. 2 doz89 6.00			o c.	Alum, Ammonia, Powd. N.F. 4 oz. 2 doz25 1.40	- 4 o-	0			Saltpeter, N.F., Small Crys. 4 oz. 2 doz29 1.80		
Cytamin Caps., (A.B.C.D.G.) 25s All Sizes Temporarily Withdrawn				Tawn Deodorant Cologne 1/2 oz.	0	17		Glycerin & Rose Water 4 oz.		0	1.	Alum, Ammonia, Powd. N.F. 1 lb.	•				Saltpeter, N.F., Small Crys. 1 lb. 1 doz59 3.90	•	
Hali. Li. Oil Pl. Caps., 5,000A @100s			c 0 · · ·	Tawn Deodorant Cologne 5 oz.		e 11		Glycerin & Rose Water 8 02.		•		Borax, U.S.P. 4 oz.	•		. A		Senna (Comp. Pd. of), N.F. 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .15 1.00		
12 doz 12 1.25 1.19 9.00 Hali. Li. Oil, Pl. Caps., 5,000A 250s	GS.	0 ,	. 0	Tawn Lather Shave 4 oz. •			4	1 doz63 4.20	0.			Borax, U.S.P. 8 oz.	•		1		Senna (Comp. Pd. of), N.F. 2 oz.	• **	
6 doz. 12 2.35 2.29 18.00 Oleo Vitamin Conc. A&D 6cc.		1		1 doz50 to .49 3.50 Tawn Lotion 1/2 oz.		•		12 doz. 12 .17 1.22 Iodine (Scroon) N.F. 1 oz.			• .	2 doz27 1.80 Borax, U.S.P. 1 lb.	•	41.			2 doz25 1.75 Senna (Comp. Pd. of), N.F. 4 oz.	. المجدا	
1 doz85 .79 6.00	*	4:		12 doz. 12 .10 .10 .80				12 doz. 12 .29 1.90				1 doz45 2.75 Calomel, N.F. 1/4 oz.					Senna Leaves, N.F. 1 oz.		
Phos-Cal Caps. w/Vitamin D 100s 1 doz. 1.00 .98 7.60				Tawn Lotion 5 oz. 1 doz75 .75 5.00			10.0	Iodine (Tinct.) U.S.P. 1/2 oz. 12 doz. 12 .17 1.22				24 doz. 12 .25 a 1.70			•		6 doz. 12 .20 . 1.25		
Phos-Cal w/Vitamin D 250s 1 doz. 2.00 1.98 15.00	*			Tawn Shaving Set No. 50 Discontinued		1		lodine (Tinct.) U.S.P. 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .29 1.90 o	a . 0			Copperas, Technical 4 oz.		76			Sodium Bicarbonate, U.S.P. 4 oz. 2 doz15 1.00		
Phos-Cal w/Vitamin D and Iron 100s			, N	Tawn Men's Set #51 (brushless) 1 doz. 1 1.00 1.00 7.90				Merc. (H.W.D.) 2% Aqu. Sol. 1/2 oz. 24 doz. 12 .15 1.10				Copper Sulfate, U.S.P. 3 oz. 2 doz25 1.60	•		•		Sodium Bicarbonate, U.S.P. 8 oz. 2 doz25 1.50	•	
Phospho Vitamin B 8 oz.		•	N	Tawn Men's Set #52 (lather)				Merc. (H.W.D.) 2% Aqu. Sol. 1 02.	i i	8		Cream of Tartar, U.S.P. 1 02.	14				Sodium Bicarbonate, U.S.P. 1 lb. 1 doz35 2.15	•	
1 dez. 1.10 .98 7.20 Phospho Vitamin B 1 pt.	0 9	<u> </u>		1 doz. 1 1.00 1.00 7.90 Tawn Men's Sets No. 110			7	12 doz. 12 .25 1.75 Oil, Camphorated, U.S.P. 1 oz.		•	-	12 doz. 12 .20 1.40 Cream of Tartar, U.S.P. 2 009		H .			Sodium Fluoride '8 oz.		
1 doz. 2.00 1.69 12.80 Phospho Vitamin B gals.				Tawn Men's Set No. 200			0	12 doz. 12 .20 1.20				Cream of Tartar, U.S.P. 4 oz.					2 doz40 2.70 Sodium Fluoride 1 lb.		
Discontinued		1	J. 1814	1/2 doz. 1 2.00 2.00 14.80	0.			6 doz. 12 .30 2.00				1 doz65 4.40			J.	1	2 doz65 4,20 Sulfur (Flowers of), N.F. 4 oz.		
Supermalt (11fl. oz.) 1 lb. 1 doz. 1.25 1.25 9.60				Tawn Shampoo 1/2 oz. 12 doz. 12 .10 .10 .80				Oil, Camphorated, U.S.F. 4 oz. 2 doz50 3.30	6			Epsom Salt, U.S.P. 4 02. 2 doz15 1.00					2 doz23 1.50		
Thiamine Hydro. Tabs/7 mg. 100s 2 doz. 1.56 1.09 8.40	0		0	Tawn Shampoo 5 oz. * 1 doz50 .49 3.50	0			Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .22 1.50				Epsom Salt, U.S.P. 8 oz. 2 doz25 1.60	•	0			Sulfur (Flowers of), N.F. 8 oz. 1 doz35 2.20	10/2	
Thiamine Hydro, Tabs. 10 mg. 100s				Tawn Shaver's Special 1 doz. 1 1.00 1.00 7.90		- 6		Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .35 2.35				Epsom Salt, U.S.P. 1 lb.	•			181	Sulfur (Flowers of), N.F. 1 lb. 1 doz53 3.30	•	
2 doz. 2.50 1.69 13.20 Thiamine Hydro. Tabs. 25 mg. 100s	0			Tawn Shaving Bowl				Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 3 oz.		0		Flaxseed Meal, N.F. 2 oz.	•	0		G®	Turmeric, Powdered 1 oz.		glass g
2 doz. 3.00 2.69 18.00 Thiamine Hydro. Tabs. 50 mg 100s				6 doz. 12 Poo 1.00 7.80 Tawn Sharing Soap (Refill)				2 doz47 . 3.00 Cil, Castor, U.S.P. 4 oz.		•		Flaxseed Meal, N.F. 4 oz.			•	F8	12 doz. 12 .20 1.40 Wax Compound 1 lb.		
2 doz. 5.00 4.69 33.00	74			6 doz. 12 .50 .50 3.50 Tawn Talc 1/4 oz.				2 doz60 3.90 C Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 8 oz.	4			2 doz. 30 2.10 Phixseed Meal, N.F. 8 oz.				6	1 doz. 12 .69 4.65		
Thiamine Hydro. Tabs. 100 mg. 100s 2 doz. 9.00 8.49 60.00	11			12 doz. 12 .10 .10 .80	•	*		2 dez. 1.15 7.65				1 doz50 3.40		in the		•			0./.
Vita. "A" Cap. 25,000 U.S.P.U. 30s 1 doz. 1.30 1.23 10.00				Tawn Talc 4 oz				Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 1 pt. 1 doz. 2.07 13.80		•	•	Flaxseed Meal, N.F. 1 lb. 1 doz. ".75 5.40							
Vitz. "A" Czp. 25,000 U.S.P.U. 1006 6 doz. 12 4.00 3.69 31.00		4		Tawn Men's Travel Kit #105 1/2 doz. 1 2.79 2.79 23.40				Oil, Citronella, Ceylon 1 oz.		14		Flaxseed Whole, N.F. 2 oz. 20 1.30						•	
Vita. "D" Cap. 50,000 U.S.P.U. 100s			N	Tawn Deluxe Travel Kit #106		4		Oil, Cocoaut° 2 oz.		. 4 /	2	Flaxseed Whole, N.F. 4 oz.				A T		/	*
6 doz. 12 3.00 2.39 17.10 Wheat Germ Oil Caps. 50s			}	Va doz. 1 5.89 5.89 48.00 Tawn Ladies Travel Kit				Oil, Eucalyptus N.F. 1 oz.				Flaxseed Whole, N.F. 8 oz.	100						& 6
2 doz. 75 .69 5.00 Wheat Germ Oil Caps. 100s	-3			Ys doz. 4.89 4.89 3.33 eq. Theatrical Cold Cream 1 lb.	•			12 doz. 12 .30 2.25 Oil, Eucalyptus N.F. 2 oz.			9 0	2 doz50 3.40 Flaxseed Whole, N.F. 1 lb.			CD.				
1 doz. 1.50 1.17 9.00				1 doz85 .79 7.00				6 doz. 12 .50 3.80 Oil, Wintergreen, Syn. U.S.P. Toz.				1 doz	•		0				
Yeast Tablets (Brewers) 250s 2 doz75 .69 5.50		4		Violet Borated Talcum cans 13 oz. 3 doz. 6 .45 .45 3.30				12 doz. 12 .25 1.60		75		2 doz15 1.10		g		4	Denotes Homs Discontinued	, 0	
TOILET PREPARATIONS				Witch Hazel, N.F. Dist. Ext. 4 02: *				Oil, Wintergreen, Syn. U.S.P. 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .45 2.70				Fuller's Earth 4 oz. 2 doz25 1.50	•				Denotés Items Temporarily V		
Bay Rum, West Indies 4 oz. 3 doz35 .35 2.20				Witch Hazel, N.F. Dist. Ext. 8:02. 1 doz40 .35 2.25		1		Paregoric U.S.P. (Nar. *reg.) 1/2 oz.			77	Henna Leaves, Powdered 1 oz. 2 doz20 1.30	•	o			a		
Bay Rum, West Indies 8 oz.				Witch Hazel, N.F. Dist. Ext. 1 pt				Paregoric U.S.P. (Nar. *req.) 1 02.				Henna Leaves, Powdered 4 oz.	+				Denotes Price Changes		
2 doz60 .55 3.90 Bay Rum, Domestic 4 oz.	in			1 doz60 .53 3.80 Yodora jar ¾ oz.				12 doz. 12 .30 2.00 Peppermint, (Ess. of) U.S.P. ½ oz.		04		2 doz50 3.30 Henna Leaves, Whole 1 oz.	•			a .	Denotes New Items		
3 doz30 .29 1.90 Bay Rum, Domestic 8 oz.				2 doz. 35 .33 2.60 Yodora tubes 1/2 oz.		•		12 doz. 12 .30 2.00 Peppermint, (Ess. of) U.S.P. 1 oz.				1 doz20 1.40 Milk Sugar, U.S.P. 1 lb.							
2 doz50 .45 2.80				6 doz. 12 .35 .33 2.80			/ 0	12 doz. 12 .45 3.30	.00	G		· 1 doz98 7.60/			L.				
Bay Rum, Domestic 1 pt. 1 doz60 .57 3.60				Yodora jar 2 oz. 2 doz60 .59 5.20	i Spine			Turpentine (Rect. Oil of) N.F. 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .20 1.25	0		. /	Mustard, Powdered, N.F. 2 oz. 2 doz. 20 1.20		14.				•	
Brace 4 oz. Temporarily Withdrawn				Yodora Deodorant Powder 4 oz. 4 doz. 12 .50 .49 4.00 ®			6	Turpentine (Rect. Oil of) N.F. 4 oz. 2 doz30 1.90	-			Mustard, Powdered, N.F. 4 oz. 2 doz. 30 1.80				10		17	
Brace 8 oz. Temporarily Withdrawn		. 6. 4	0	WET PACKAGE LINE				DRY PACKAGE LINE Suggested Retail Price	:	. /		Mustard, Powdered, N.F. 8 oz.	• *-					/	AVE.
Hairtone Rose Hair Oil 4 oz.			13	Suggested Retail Price Ammon., (Arom. Sp. of) U.S.P. 1 oz.	© .			Acid, Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P. 1 oz				Mustard, Powdered, N.F. 1 lb.				1			/
3 doz35 .33 2.20 Hairtone Rose Hair Oil 8 oz.	/. 0			12 doz. 12 .25 1.80 Ammon., (Arom. Sp. of) U.S.P. 2 oz.			+	Acid, Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P. 2 02.		. 0,		Orris Rost, Powdered, N.F. 1 ca.					· / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /		
2 doz60 .53 3.40				6 doz. 12 .45 3.30				2 doz25 1.45 Acid, Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P. 4 oz.			0	12 doz. 12 .25 1.70 Plaster of Paris 8 oz.				1			
Lanolin tubes 1 oz				Arnica, (Tincture of) N.F. 1 oz.				2 doz	9.			2 daz25 1.70							(4) (-7)
Magnesia Tooth Paste tubes Discontinued	14.0	The same of the sa	7	Benzoin, (Comp. Tine. of) U.S.P. 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .35 2.60		N.		Acid, Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P. 8 oz. 2 doz49 3.00°		0		Plaster of Paris 1 lb. 1 doz35 2.30	•		22.2		• 13		

	INV. PRODUCT . SHIP BONUS EXT.	INV. PRODUCT SHIP BONUS BY. PRODUCT SHIP BO	NUS EXT. IIII PRODUCT Name Size Shipper-Sholf-Retail-Full Min. LAt
PRICES SUBJECT TO FAIR TRADE ACTS WHEREVER	Name Shall Retail Full Man. List	Name Sice Name Sice Shipper-Shelf-Retail-Full Min. List Shipper-Shelf-Retail-Full Min. List	HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIALTIES
LEGALLY PERMISSIBLE	HEALTH HELDS AND SPECIALTIES	HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIALTIES HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIALTIES	
	Analax 6	Forolog w Ephysleige 1 oz	Soretone 1 pt. 1 doz. 2.25 1.98 18.00
REVISED OCTOBER 1, 1951	Temperarily Withdrawn	Temporarily Withdrawn 12 doz. 12 .20 .20 1.50	Soretone gal. 10.00 eo.
WEALDER OCIOPER 1, 1231	Analax 25s Temperarity Withdrawn	Extol 4 oz. 2 doz25 .25 1.90 Oint., Bluc, 10% 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .35 .35 2.50	Suppositories, Glyc. Adults 12s 6 doz. 12 .40 .39 .2.80
	Analgesic Balm tubes 1/2 oz. 12 doz. 12 .30 .29 1.90	Fly Funiral w DDT 1% 1 pt. Oint., Boric Acid, U.S.P. 1 02	Suppositories, Glyc. Infants 125
Please ship the McKESSON PRODUCTS indicated below	Analgesic Balm 1 oz.	Gestion 50s Oint., C.L.O. w/ZnO & Phenol 11/2 02.	6 doz. 12 .40 .39 2.00
	12 doz. 12 .60 .55 3.80	Hydrogen Peroxide Sol., U.S.P. 4 oza4 Oint., Ichthammol 10% N.F. 1 oz.	Surin Ointment 1% oz. 4 doz. 12 1.25 1.25 9.00
	A-200 Pyrinate Liquid 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .79 .79 5.80	124-12 35 35 2.40	N Surin Ointment 6 02.
	Aqua Drin 1 oz. 6 doz. 12 .60 .49 3.40	Hydrogen Peroxide Sol., U.S.P. 8 oz.V 1 doz. Prices on Request Oint., Phenol. (Carbolic Acid) 1 oz. 1 doz. Prices on Request 1 doz. 12 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.60	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Aspirin Tablets U.S.P. 12's	Hydrogen Peroxide Sol., U.S.P. 1 pt. Oint. Rectal 1 oz.	1 doz. Drap. Drap. J.BU do.
Stole .	60 doz. 12 .10 .10 .72 Aspirin Tablets U.S.P. 36's		Syrup (Baby) Cough 3 oz. 2 doz. 35 35 2.30
	6 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.80	2 doz40 .39 2.60 12 doz. 12 .23 .23	Syrup, Figs and Scnna 6 oz. 3.50 2 doz50 .50 3.50
Vio	Aspirin Tablets U.S.P. Bots 100s	2 doz60 .53 3.80 . 6 doz. 6 .40 .39 2.60	Syrup, Cough, Wh. Pine, w/Tar Sm.
	Aspirin Tablets U.S.P. 1000s	Idrops w dropper 1 oz. 6 doz. 12 .40 .39 2.50 Olive Oil, U.S.P. 2 oz. X Prices on Request 4 oz. X	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
w Mo Dote Solesmon		Kessamin 10 oz. Olive Oil, U.S.P. 8 oz.	Syrup, Cough, Wh. Fille, W. 2 doz
	Axar Tablets 125 36 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.35 Axar Tablets 50s	1 doz. 5.00 5.00 36.00	
Grantions of less than \$4 in	12 dez. 12 .60 .59 3.60	4 doz. 3.00 3.00 22.50 Prices on Request 1 gol. A	Syr., Cough, W.P., w/Tar & Mcm. Lg. 2 doz. 60 .57 3.80 4
iginal shipper indicated"	Axar Tablets No. 4 offer 1 doz. 125 .25 4.73 ea.	6 doz. 12 .35 .33 2.00 2 2 doz30 .28 2.40	Syr., Cough, W.P., w/Hon. & Euc. Sm.
extensions of \$4 or over	N Axon (Antihistamine) Caps. 40s	Kigo (for Coughs) 6 oz. 2 doz60 .57 3.80 Ora (Denture Cleaner) 6½ oz. 2 doz60 .57 4.80	2 doz35 .55
through \$249 useostad 10% and 2% % off list	Beef, Iron & Wine 1 pt.	Larkspur Lotion 2 oz. Ora-Fix (Denture Adnesive) 74 02 1	Syr., Cough, White Pine, plain Sm.
and ever asserted	1 doz. 1.35 1.35 9.60	6 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.75 12 doz. 12 .35 .27 2.30	2 doz33 .33 .33
All the above discounts extended for payment within discount period.	6 doz, 6 .60 .59 4.00	2 doz. 12 doz. 12 .60 .53 4.60	Syr., Cough, White Pine, plain Lg.
	Burntone jar, 1.1b. 1 doz. 2.00 1,89 1.20 eo.	N Lax-Mel (Bulk Laxative) Tabs. 100s C 6 doz. 6 1.00 1.00 8.00	- See 5 Gr. Cc. 100s
instantiser. Be sure to CHECK Dealer's Stocks on all items listed below	Cala Cream tubes 3 oz.	Liniment, McKesson's 3 oz.	12 doz. 12 .65 .59 4.40 7
PRODUCT SAP BONUS EXT.	6 doz. 12 60 59 4.20		6 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.73
Marrie Sirol Ristal Full Idia List	2 doz25 .25 1.80	12 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.60 12 doz. 12 .50 .49 3.40 Pills Hinkle's Comp. w/o Stry. 100s	Tab., Hinkle's Comp. w/o Strych. 100s 12 doz/12 .50 .49 3.30
HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIALTIES	Cafox Antiseptic 1 pt. 1 doz. 75 73 4.80	2 doz65 .63 4.40	Tab., Rhinitis w/Quinine 1/2 St. 100s 12 doz. 12 .50 .50 3.10
Affor-Gar i pr. 1 stea. 1.10 1.00 7.40 V	Calox Tooth Powder 1/4. oz. 6 doz. 12 10 .10 .85	Magnex 8 oz. 2 doz. 1.00 1.00 6.80 Pinotol 4 oz. 2 doz. 35 :35 2.40	The Philipping FS 100s
Afre-Gar (Phonolpinhaicin) 1 pc. 1 doz. 1.10 1.60 7.40	Calox Tooth Powder 2 oz.	Magnesia Tablets 36s	12 doz. 12 .69 .69 4.40
Albeitura 2 ca	Caiox Touth Powder 4 oz.	Pinotol 23	12 doz. 12 .15 1.13 1.00
3 cm . 12 .35 .33 2.50	3 doz. 6 .50 .43 4.00	2 dez 50 40 315	12 doz. 12 .1515 1.15
Albatum 5 oz.	Calex Tooch Powder 8 oz.	6 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.50	Tartan (Suntan Lotion) 11/4 oz.
Abotene Baby Oil 6 oz.	Camphor Ic ' tubes 1 oz	Marathon Foot Powd. can 21/4 oz. Poison Ivy Lotion 6 oz. 2 doz50 .50 3.00	Torga (Supran Lotion) 4 oz
Aikulene Baby Oil 1 pt.	Castor Oil, Aromatic, N.F. 3 oz.	Maya (insect repellant): 1% oz. Positos 12s (New Formula)	3 dot.
Athones Baby Oil 1 gal.	Citrated Carbonates Eff. 4 oz.	12 doz50 .49 3.40	Tartan Lip Pomade 24 doz. 12 .35 .35 2.80
1 only 2.00 ca	1 doz75 .69 4.80	Temporarily Withdrawn	Throat Gargle 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .30 .29 1.80
Aibolese Baby Fowder 4 oz. 4 672. 12 .35 .35 2.40	Citrated Carbonates Eff. 8 oz.	All Types Temporority Withdro A	These Carele 6 cg
Albalene Liquid 1 as	Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. 8 oz.	Milk of Magnesia, U.S.P. 80z.	2 doz. 60 .55 3.60 Toothache Drops w/Appl. 1/4 oz.
12 deg. 12 .15 .15 1.20 Albotone Liquid 4 oz. 9	1 doz.	Milk of Magnesia, U.S.P. 1 pt.	6 doz. 12 .35 .35 2.40
2 doz. 30 30 2.25	1 dez. 1.35 1.29 10.00	1 doz39 .37 2.80 3 bottos 2.73	N Utol Skin Ointment 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 1.00 1.00 7.20
Albalene Liquid 1 pt. 1 69 6.00 V	Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. 1 qt. 1 doz. 2.25 2.19 17.50	1 doz. 75 .65 5.00 9 6 bottles 5.00	V. A. Douche Powder 4 ce.
Albolene Liquid 1 gal. 4 1 essy 3.75 3.49 2.50 es.	Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. (H.P.) 4 oz.	1 des 40 40 450	V A Douche Powd Packettes 12s
Albolene Gream, Scented 11/2 oz.	Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P. (H.P.) 1 pt.	Mineral Oil, Hvy., U.S.P. 1 qt. Pyrosone, 25% Sol. (Amp.) 2½ cc. X	1 doz50 .49 3.80
Albolene Cream, Plain 1% oz. 34	1 doz. 1.75 1.59 12.50 Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P., Mint 8 oz.	Ruese 10 cg	1 dez 6 1.00 1.00 7.00
1 dez. 30 30 2.40	1 dez. 85 .79 6.00	12 doz. 12 .35 .35 \ 2.50 \ 1 doz. 1.00 1.00 8.00	Zinc Stearate, U.S.P. 1 oz.
Albolene Cream, Scented 4 oz.	Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P., Mint 1 pt. 1 doz. 1.35 1.29 10.00	Temporarily Withdown 12 dez. 12 .25 .23 1.60	C. S. Z. 1 oz. 1 doz50 .47 3.30
Asbolene Cream, Plain 4 oz. T doz60 .59 4.80	Cod Liver Oil, U.S.P., Mint 1 qt.	Navap 1/2 uz. Saccharin Tab., 1/4 gr. 1000s 12 doz. 12 1.00 .89 6.60	C S 7 = /Rel Peru 5% 108
Aibolene Cream, Scented 1 lb.	Cold Sore Lotion 1/4 oz.	Navap Inhaler Saccharin Tab., ½ gr. 100s	1 doz. 75 75 4.80
1 doż. 1.00 .98 8.00	12 doz. 12 .25 .25) 1.70	12 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.80 Seidlitz Powd., N.F. All Sizes . V	VITAMIN PRODUCTS
Albolone Cream, Plain 1 fb. 1 doz. 1 00 98 8.00	Copper Iron Comp. 8 oz. 1 doz. 1.25 1.25 9.00	6 doz89 .89 6.00	Ascorbic Acid Tabe., 25 mg., 100s
Albamist Place Dr. w Ephed. 1/2 oz.	Copper Iron Comp. Tabs. 50s 12 doz. 12 1.00 1.00 8.00	Nich-Aqua-Drin Throat Lozenges 12s Silver Col 2 oz. Temporarily Withdrawn	Accepting Acid Taba. 50 mg., 100s
	Corax (for Colds) 30s	Octofeed 11/2 oz. Silver Col 8 oz.	2 doz. 1.25 1.19 0.00
Albomist Nose Dr. w Ephed. 1 oz. 37 1 doz. 39 39 2.80 Alcohol Isopropyl 1 pt. 39	24 doz. 12 .25 .25 1.60		2 doz. 2.00 1.87 13.80
1 dec. 2.60 V	12/doz. 12 35 35 2.30	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Acceptic Acid Tabs. 250 mg. 1000
Akohol Rubbing Comp. 8 az.	Darol Cold Capsules 20s 12 doz. 12 49 49 3.00	N Octusen Forst Powder 21/4 oz. 12 doz. 12 .75 .75 5.40 Solution 59 (Antiseptic) 1 pt. 1 doz60 .59 4.20	Bax Capsules 100s
Akohel Rubbing Comp. 1 pt.	Darol Syrup for Coughs 8 oz.	Oint, Ammon. Merc. N.F. 5% 1 oz.	
1 dos. 30 49 3.90	1 doz .75 .75 4.50	12 doz. 12 .40 .39 2.30	

							SE BONES EXT.	INV.	PRODUCT SHE	P BONUS EXT.	INV.	Name Size	(1. july 19)	
NV.	MODUCT	SHP BONU	EXT. INV.	PRODUCT	SHIP BONUS EXT.	PRODUCT	Se Sonus Car.		Name Shipper Shelf Reteal Fed Man. List	*		Shipper Shall Rotal Full Min. List DRY PACKAGE LINE		
	Name Sine Sine Shipper-Shall-Restation Name Unit VITAMIN PRODUCTS			Name Size Shipper Shall Rotal Full Man, Ust		Name Shall-Retail Full Man. List			DRY PACKAGE LINE			Currented Retail Price		
				TOILET PREPARATIONS	WET PACKAGE LINE Suggested Retail Price			Acid, Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P. 1 lb.			Pot. Permangan., Crys. U.S.P. 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .25 1.60			
4	Bexel Caps. (Vis. B. Comp.) 40s	1		Shavami (Br. Sh. Cr.) tubes 4% oz.	•	Calamina Lo (Phonola.) N.F. 402			1 doz69 . 4.10.9			Pot. Permangani, Crys. U.S.P. 2 oz.		
	1.dez; 1:00 .98 7.80 Bexel Caps. (Vit. B Com.) 100s		•	6 dez. 6 .60 .53 3.60 Shavami (Brushl, Sh. Cr.) Jar 81/2 oz.		Campbox (Spirit of) N.F. 1/2 02.		*(syst 8.	Acid, Boric, Powd. U.S.P. 1 02.			Dullium Good N.F. Brown 1 lb.		
	1 dez. 2.25 1.98 16.00		300	1 doz75 .69 5.20		□ 12 doz. 12 .20 1.40			Acid, Boric, Powd. U.S.P. 2 oz. 2 doz. 25 1.45			1 doz. 1.29 9.40 Psyllium Seed, N.F., Blonde 1 lb.	9	
	Bexel Caps. (Vit. B Comp.) 250s 1 dez. 4.50 4.23 34.00		0	Shaving Botion 4 oz. 3 doz45 .45 3.10		Camphor, (Spirit of) N.F. 1 oz.		1.	Acid Boric Powd. U.S.P. 4 oz.	0		1 dez98 /.wy		-
	Bezel Caps. (Vit. B Comp.) 500s 1-dez. 8.50 7.79 62.80			Soothe Skin Hand Cream 41/4 ca. 1 doz. 40 .59 4.40		Camphor, (Spirit of) N.F. 2 oz. 6 dez. 12 60 4.20			2 doz33 2.00 Acid, Boric, Powd. U.S.P. 8 az			Pumice, Providered, N.F. 4 oz. 2 doz23 1.50		
A W	Bexel Multiple Caps. 50s 12 doz. 12 4.25 4.25 33.60			Soothe Skin Lotion 8 oz.		Cascara Sag. (Arom. Ext. of) 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .50 3.80			1 doz49 3.00			Rochelle Salt, N.F. 1 oz.	0	1100
	Bexel Multiple Caps. 100s					Carrara Sag. (Arom. Ext. of) 4 or.			Acid, Boric, Powd. U.S.P. 1 lb. 1 doz69 4.15			Rochelle Salt, N.F. 2 oz.		
N	1 doz? 7.50 7.50 40.00 Bezel Muk, Caps w/B1z (Children's) 103			Take for Men time 31/4 oz. 6 doz. 12 .25 .21 1.60 Tawn Brushless Shave 1/4 oz.		Chloroform Liniment, N.F. 2 or			Acid, Ozalic, Technical 1 cs. 12 doz. 12 .25 1.50			2 doz30 2.10		
-	6 doz. 12 2.79 2.79 19.50			12 doz. 12 .10 .10 .80		6 doz. 12 .33 2.25			Acid, Salicylic, U.S.P. 1 oz. 2 doz25 1.65			2 doz50 3.40*		
	Bexel Special Formula Caps. 40s 6 doz. 12 3.00 2.98 22.00		1 - 14/1 - 1	Tawn Brushless Shave 41/4 oz.		12 daz. 12 .30 2.00	a second vertical visit of		Alur Ammonia, Powd. N.F. 2 ce.			Saltpeter, N.F., Small Crys. 2 oz. 12 doz. 12 .18 1.25	1,	. 0
	Bexel Special Formula Caps. 100s			Tawn Hair Dressing 1/2 02.	0	Glyceria, U.S.P. 2 oz. 6 doz. 12 .53 3.60		Action 1997,	Alum, Ammonia, Powd. N.F. 4 oc.			Salepeter, N.F., Small Crys. 4 oz. 1.80		
9	Bexel Syrup (Vit. B Comp.) 4 oz. 1 doz. 1.00 .98 7.80			Tawn Hair Dressing 5 oz.		Glyceria, U.S.P. 4 cz. 2 doz. 89 6.00			Alum, Ammonia, Powd. N.F. 1 lb.		1	Saltpeter, N.F., Small Crys. 1 lb. 1 doz59 3.90		
	Cytamin Caps., (A.B.C.D.G.) 256		1 2 2 2	Tawn Deodorant Cologne 1/2 oz.		Giveerin & Rose Water 4 on			1 doz45 3.00	0		Senna (Comp. Pd. of), N.F. 1 02.		
•	Hali. Li. Oil Pl. Caps., 5,000A 100s	STATE CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN		Tawn Deodorant Cologne 5 oz.		Glycerin & Rose Water 8 on.	0		Borax, U.S.P. 4 oz. 2 doz17 1.20	***		Senna (Comp. Pd. of), N.F. 2 02	>	4.0
•	12 doz. 12 1.25 1.19 9.00 Half. Li. Oil, Pl. Cape., 5,000A 250s			1 doz75 .75 5.00 Tawn Lather Shave 4 oz9		1 doz63 4.20			Borax, U.S.P. 8 oz. 1.88		`	2 doz25 1.75 V Senna (Comp. Pd. of), N.F. 4 oz. V		
	6 dez. 12 2.35 2.29 18.00			1 dez50 .49 3.50		12 doz. 12 .17 1.22		Ð	Borax, U.S.P. 1 lb.			2 dot35 2.00	4	•
	Oleo Vitamin Conc. A&D 6cc. 1 doz85 .79 6.00		, ,	Tawn Lotion 1/2 oz. 12 doz. 12 .10 .10 .80		lodine (Strong) N.F. 1 oz. 12 doz. 12 .29 1.90			Calomel, N.F. V4 cor.	0	,	Senna Leaves, N.F. 1 oz. 6 dos. 12 .20 1.25		
٠	Phos-Cal Caps. w/Vitamin D 100s 1 doz. 1.00 .98 7.60			Tawn Lotion 5 oz.		lodine (Tinct.) U.S.P. 1/2 oz. 12 doz. 12 .17 1.22			24 doz. 12 .25 1.70			Sodium Bicarbonate, U.S.P. 4 oz.		
	Phos-Cal w/Vitamin D 250s 1 doz. 2.00 1.98 15.00			Tawn Shaving Set No. 50 Discontinued		lodine (Tinct.) U.S.P. 1 oz.			2 doz17 1.20 F	D		Sodium Bicarbonate, U.S.P. R oz. 2 doz25 1.50	7	
	Phos-Cal w/Vitamin D and Iron 100s			N Tawn Men's Set #51 (brushless)		Merc. (H.W.D.) 2% Aqu. Sol. 1/2 oz.			Copper Sulfate, U.S.P. 3 oz.			Sodium Bicarbonate, U.S.P. 1 lb.		
	Phospho Vitamin B 8 oz.			1 doz. 100 1.00 7.90/\(\text{N}\) N Tawn Men's Set #52 (lather)		Merc. (H.W.D.) 2% Aqu. Sol. 1 oz.			Cream of Tartar, U.S.P. 1 02.			Sodium Fluoride 8 oz.	0.	eg et symber
	Phospho Vitamin B 1 pt.			1 doz. 1 1.00 1.00 /7.90/ Tawn Men's Sets No. 110		12 doz. 12 .25 1.75 Oil, Camphorated, U.S.P. 1 oz.			Cream of Tartar, U.S.P. 2 02.			// 2 dox40 230		and the second
	1 dez. 2.00 1.69 12.80			Discontinued A		12 dez. 12 .20 1.20 Oil, Camphorated, U.S.P. 2 oz.		1	Cream of Tartar, U.S.P. 4 02			2 dex65 4.20		
1	Phospho Vitamin B gals. Discontinued			Tawn Men's Set No. 200 % doz. 1 2.00 2.00 14.80	•	A doz 12 .30 2.00			Epsom Salt, U.S.P. 4 oz.			Sulfur (Flowers of), N.F. 4 oz. 2 doz23 1.50		
	Supermalt (11fL oz.) 1 fb			Tawn Shampoo 1/2 oz. 12 doz. 12 = 10 .10 .80		Oil, Camphorated, U.S.P. 4 cs. 2 doz50 3.30			2 doz. , 15 1.00 5		0.	Sulfur (Flowers of), N.F. 8 oz.		
. 0	Thiamine Hydro. Tabs. 5 mg. 100s 2 doz. 1.50 1.09 8.40			Tawn Shampoo 5 cz.		C Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 1 oz.			2 doz. 25 1.80 V	•		Sulfur (Flowers of), N.F. 1 lb. 1 1 doz53 3.30	1 100	
	Thiamine Hydro. Tabs. 10 mg. 100s	A		Tawn Shaver's Special	*	C Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 2 on.	V		Epsom Salt, U.S.P. 1 lb. 1 doz37 2.25			Turmeric, Powdered 1 oz.		
	2 doz. 2.50 1.69 13.20 / Thiamine Hydro. Tabs. 25 mg. 100s			1 doz. 1 1.00 1.00 7.90		C Oil, Castor, U.S.P., 3 oz.			Flaxseed Meal, N.F. 2 oz. 1.30	•		12 doz. 12 .20 1.40 Wax Compound 1 lb.	4	
	2 doz. 3.00 2.69 18.00 Thismine Hydro. Tabs. 50 mg. 100s.			6 doz: 12 1.00 1.00 7.80 Tawn Shaving Soap (Refill)		C Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 4 oz.			Flaxseed Meal, N.F. 4 oz. 2 doz. 30 2.10	in the line		1 doz. 12 .69 4.65		ution that teny
	2 doz. 5.00 4.69 33.00			6 doz. 12 .50 .50 0 3.50		2 doz			Flaxseed Meal, N.F. 8 oz.					
2	Thiamine Hydro. Tabs. 100 mg. 100s 2 doz. 9.00 8.49 60.00		in the Ha	Tawn Tak 1/4 oz. 12 doz. 12 .10 .10 .80		2 doz. 1.15 7.65	g g		Flaxseed Meal, N.F. 1 lb.				. \	
	Vita. "A" Cap. 25,000 U.S.P.U. 30s V			Tawn Talc 4 oz.		C Oil, Castor, U.S.P. 1 pt. 1 doz. 2.07 13.86	02		Flaxseed Meal, N.F. 1 al. 1 doz. 75 5.40 Flaxseed Whole, N.F. 2 oz.					
	Vita. "A" Cap. 25,000 U.S.P.U. 100s 6 doz. 12 4.00 3.69 31.00			Tawn Men's Travel Kit #105		C Oil, Citronella, Ceylon 1 oz.	T 2 2 C		2 doz20 1.30 Flaxseed Whole, N.F. 4 oz.					
	Vita. "D" Cap. 50,000 U.S.P.U. 100s	1.25		N Tawn Deluxe Travel Kit #106			0		2 doz30 2.10				0.	. 04
	6 doz. 12 3.00 2.39 17.10 Wheat Germ Oil Caps. 50s 0			% doz. 1 5.89 5.89 48.00√ Tawn Ladies Travel Kit		Oil, Eucalyptus N.F. 1 oz.	5		Flaxseed Whole, N.F. 8 oz. 2 doz50 3.40					
	2 doz75 .69 5.00 Wheat Germ Oil Caps. 100s		6	7 doz. 4.89 4.89 3.33 ea. Theatrical Cold Cream 1 lb.		Oil. Eucalyptus N.F. 2 oz.			Flaxseed Whole, N.F. 1 lb. 1 doz. 75 5.40					0,
	1 doz. 1.50 1.17 9.00 Yeast Tablets (Brewers) 250s			1 doz85 .79 7.00	129	6 doz. 12 .50 3.8 Oil, Wintergreen, Syn. U.S.P. 1 o	101		Fuller's Earth 2 oz. 2 doz15 1.10			Denotes Items Discontinue		
	2 doz75 .69 5.50			Violet Borated Talcum can 13 2 3 doz. 6 .45 .45 3.30		12 doz. 12 .25 1.0			Fuller's Earth 4 ca.			Denotes Home Temperari	y Withdrawn	
	TOILET PREPARATIONS	2		Witch Hazel, N.F. Dist. Ext. 4 oz. 19		0 002	707		Henry Leaves Powdered 1 oz.	9		C Denotes Price Changes		
	Bay Rum, West Indics 4 oz. 3 doz: .35 .35 2.20			Witch Hazel, N.F. Dist. Ext. 8 oz. 1 doz40 .35 2.25		Paregoric U.S.P. (Nar. *reg.) 1/2 12 doz. 12 20 1.	251		2 doz20 1.30 V	/		N		
	Bay Rum, West Indies 8 oz. 2 doz. 60 .55 3.90			Witch Hazel, N.F. Dist. Ext. 1 pt.		Paregoric U.S.P. (Nar. Freq.) 1	ο α οο		2 doz50 3.30		2	Denotes New homs		
	Bay Rum, Domestic 4 oz.		1.4	1 doz. 60 .53 3.80 Yodera jar ¼ oz.		Peppermint, (Ess. of) U.S.P. 1/2		9	Henna Leaves, Whole 1 oz.				0	
	3 doz30 .29 1.90 Bay Rum, Domestic 8 oz.			Yodora tubes % oz.		Peppermint, (Ess. of) U.S.P. 1 o	2	/ · .	Milk Sugar, U.S.P. 1 lb. 1 doz98 7.60					
	2 doz50 .45 -2.80		***********	6 dez. 12 .35 .33 2.60		Turpentine (Rect. Oil of) N.F.	2 oz.		Mustard, Powdered, N.F. 2 oz. 2 doz20 1.20			V I i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
	1 doz60 .57 3.60			Yodora jar 2 oz. 2 doz60 .59 5.20		6 doz. 12 .20 1 Turpentine (Rect. Oil of) N.F.	.23		Mustard Powdered N.F. 4 oz.					1
	Brace 4 oz. Temporarily Withdrawn	0		Yedora Deodorant Powder 4 or. 4 doz. 12 .50 .49 4.00		2 dez30	.90	4	Manuari Providered N.F. 8 OE.	3				//
				WET PACKAGE LINE Suggested Retail Price		DRY PACKAGE LINE Suggested Retail Price			1 dez40 / 2.80 :			0		4.
	Hairtone Rose Hair Oil 4 oz.			Ammon., (Arom. Sp. of) U.S.P. toz.		Acid. Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P.	.95	•	Orris Ropt, Powdered, N.F. 1 oz.	7				1.
	Hairtone Rose Hair Oil 8 oz. 2 doz. 60 53 3.40	0		12 doz. 12 .25 1.80 Ammon., (Arom. Sp. of) U.S.P. 2 oz.	1	Acid. Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P.			12 doz. 12 .25 1.70	1		0		
	Lanolin tubes 1 oz.		7.	6 doz: 12 .45 3.30 Arnica, (Tincture of) N.F. 1 oz.	N. I	Acid. Boric. Gran. Crys. U.S.P.	4 cz / '		Plaster of Paris 8 oz. 2 doz25 1.70	1. /.	1.			
	12 doz. 12 .30 .29 2.00 Magnesia Tooth Paste tubes		* * · · ·	12 doz. 12 45 3.30		Acid. Boric, Gran. Crys. U.S.P.	2.00	,	Plaster of Paris 1 lb. 1 dos35 2.30	7			•	
Ý -	Discontinued			Benzoin, (Comp. Tinc. of) U.S.P. 1 oz.		2 doz	3.00	1.			1 . 1.5			

Rapides DrugoCompany, Limited, Third & Lee Streets, Alexandria, Louisiana.

H. B. Cohen Drug Company, 243 East 35th Street, New York 16, New York.

Commons Brothers, 33 West 26th Street, New York 10, New York.

Essex Drug Company, 175 West 165th Street, New York 52, New York.

Fulton Drug Company, 45 Fulton Street, New York 7, New York.

118 D. Kaltman & Company, 3200 Jerome Avenue, New York 58, New York.

J. J. Perry Drug Company, 1091 St. Nicholas Avenue, New York 32, New York.

R. D. A. Drug Corporation, 740 Broadway, New York 3, New York.

M. Schulgasser, 439 West 50th Street, New York 19, New York. Ira J. Shapiro Company, 45 West 11th Street, New York 3, New York.

Z. C. M. I. Wholesale Drug Division, 1665 Bennett Road, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Morphy Drug Company, Council Bluffs, Iowa.

Lincoln Drug Company, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Scott & Gilbert Company, 300 Mission Street, San Francisco, California.

Tacoma Wholesale Drug Company, Tacoma, Washington.
Missoula Wholesale Drug Company, Missoula, Montana.

Charles Hubbard Son Company, Incorporated, 211 West Water Street, Syracuse, New York.

E. C. McKallor Drug Company, Water Street, Binghamton, New York.

Tampa Drug Company, Tampa, Florida.
 Johnson Drug Company, Tampa, Florida.

Publix Super Market, Lakeland, Florida. McRoberts Lane Drug, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

120 ANNEX TO ITEM 19

Customer	Amount.	
Bissettes Drug Store, Wilson, North-Carolina.	\$4, 033, 00	
Gallagher Drug Co., Dayton, Ohio.	6, 929, 00	
Hook Drug, Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana	3, 912, 00	
Peoples Drug Stores, Washington, D. C.	18, 846:00	
Rea & Derich, Northumberland, Pa	1, 157, 00	1
Read Drug & Complent Co., Baltimore, Maryland	3, 418, 00	1
Sun Ray Drug Co., Philadelphia, Pennu	17, 197, 00	
Wirtmann & Teah, Inc., Łock Haven, Penna	305.00	
John H. Wood & Co., Phiindelphia, Penna.	0 110,00	

Curlome	- 1	imount	
Army and Air Force Exchange Service, Baltimore, Maryland		356.00	
Buckelews Variety, Lancaster, Penna		103, 00	
Dayton Economy Drug Co., Dayton, Ohio.	2	974.00.	
Mar-Matic Sales Co., Baltimore, Md.		300, 00	
University of Pa., Philadelphia, Penna.	./-	.174.00	
Walsmith Variety, Reheboth Beach, Del.		180.00	
Westinghouse Corp., Baltimore, Md.		182, 00	

121 United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Admission of facts

[Title omitted.]

[File endorsement omitted.]

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, the defendant in the above entitled action, by Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni &

Downey, its attorneys, admits the following facts:

The following wholesale drug divisions of the defendant compete in the sale of McKes on products and other drug products with the wholesalers listed in Annex to Item 7 of the answers to the first set of interrogatories in those places where the trading areas of such wholesalers overlap the trading areas of these wholesale drug divisions: New York, N. Y., Brooklyn, N. Y., Cairo, Ill., Pittsburgh, Pa., Detroit, Mich., Huntington, W. Va., Akron, Obio.

Dated December 22, 1953.

Hodges, Reavis, McGrath,
Pantaleoni & Downey,
Attorneys for Defendant.
By Denis B. Sullivan.

Received a copy of the foregoing Admission of Facts this 29th day of December 1953.

ALLEN A. DOBEY, Attorney for Plaintiff.

122 ° United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Stipulation of facts.

Filed May 16, 1955

[File endorsement omitted.].
[Title omitted.]

It is hereby stipulated and agreed that the following facts may be taken as true for the purposes of this que only but without

any concession by the defendant that such facts are material and relevant:0

1. Kiefer-Stewart Drug Company of Indianapolis, Indiana operates throughout the state of Indiana, in eastern Illinois, and western Ohio, and competes with a McKesson wholesale drug division in a part of the territory of each of said states.

2. The southeastern Illinois sales territory of Charles Leich and Co. of Evansville, Indiana extends as far as Mt. Vernon, Illinois and in this sales territory Charles Leich and Co, competes with the McKesson wholesale drug divisions located at St. Louis, Missouri, and Cairo, Illinois. Charles Leich and Co. also competes with the McKesson wholesale drug division located at Nashville, Tennessee in northern Tennessee, inasmuch as the sales territory of Charles Leich and Co, extends to within 40 miles of Nashville.

3. Pennsylvania Wholesale Drug Co. of Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania competes with wholesale drug divisions of McKesson in the Elmira and Binghamton, New York area. The sales

territory of the Pennsylvania Wholesale Drug Co. covers Broome County, New York, the areas surrounding Elmira and Binghamton and the area between these two cities. Approxi-

mately 60 retail accounts are involved in this competition with McKesson.

4. Smith, Kline and French of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania is in competition with wholesale drug divisions of McKesson with respect to approximately 100 retail accounts in the territory indicated below:

Location of McKesson Wholesale Drug

Northern New Jersey Counties of Newark, New Jersey. Sussex, Morris, Warren, and Somerset.

Pennsylvania Counties of Somer- Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. set, Indiana, and Cambria.

Pennsylvania Counties of Tioga, Rochester, New York. Bradford, Warren, McKean,

and Potter.

The Mercer Wholesale Drug Company of Trenton, New Jersey, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Smith, Kline and French, Inc., competes with the McKesson wholosale drug division at Newark, N. J. in the following New Jersey counties:

Mercer Middlesex Hunterdon

5. Kauffman-Lattimer Co. of Columbus, Ohio competes with wholesale drug divisions of McKesson in the counties indicated below:

124 Akron and Cleveland Area Competition by Counties

Hancock Huron Coschocton
Seneca Richland Stark
Wyandot Ashland Tuscarawas
Marion Wayne Harrison
Crawford Holmes

Cincinnati Area Competition by Counties

Miami Clinton Pike
Montgomery Fayette
Clark Highland
Gwene Ross

Huntington Area Competition by Counties,

Kentucky West Virginia Ohio Scioto Wayne Boyd Cabell Jackson Logan Lawrence Raleigh Meigs Lincoln Athens Washington Kanawha

6. Owens and Minor Drug Company of Richmond, Virginia competes in the western part of the State of Virginia with the McKesson wholesale drug division located at Roanoke, Virginia.

7. Most of the customers served by each of the above-mentioned wholesalers are located in areas where there is no competition from any McKesson wholesale drug division. In those areas where there is such competition this stipulation is not to be construed as indicating the intensity of such competition except as of indicated above.

ALLEN A. DOBEY.

Attorney for Plaintiff.
HODGES, REAVIS, McGrath,
Pantaleoni & Downey,
Esqs.,

Attorneys for Defendant. Per Denis B. Sullivan. 25 United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Stipulation of facts

° Filed May 16, 1955

[File endorsement omitted.]
[Title omitted.]

It is hereby stipulated and agreed that the following facts may be taken as true for the purpose of this case only but without any concession by the defendant that such facts are material and relevant:

In some instances some independent wholesalers and some of the McKesson wholesale divisions have filled single orders from retailers for McKesson products amounting to \$1000 or more, but such instances have been rare.

ALLEN A. DOBEY:

Attorney for Plaintiff.
Hodges, Reavis, McGrath,
Pantaleoni & Downey, Esqs.,
Attorney for Defendant.
By Denis B. Sullivan.

127 United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Deposition of Wilbur E. Dewell

Filed May 16, 1955

[Title omitted.]

Deposition of Wilbur E. Dewell, taken by the Plaintiff, pursuant to notice dated February 9, 1955, held at the United States Courthouse, Foley Square, New York, on Thursday, February 10, 1955, at 9:30 a. m. before a Notary Public of the State of New York.

APPEARANCES

Richard B. O'Donnell, Esq., Special Assistant Attorney General, for the Plaintiff United States of America, by Allen A. Dobey and William F. Rogers, Esqs., Trial Counsel for Plaintiff. Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, Esqs., Attorneys for Defendant, 20 Pine Street, New York, N. Y., by Denis B. Sullivan, Robert Thurn and L. C. Ehrhadt, Esqs., of Counsel.

128 WILBUR E. Dewell, having been first duly sworn by
Benedict De Buff, a Notary Public of the State of New
York, testified as follows:

Examination by Mr. Doney:

. Q. Mr. Dewell, would you state your full name!

A. Wilbur E. Dewell.

Q. By what company are you employed?

A. McKesson & Robbins, Inc.

Q. What is your position with that company?

A. I am general manager of the Manufacturing Division of our company, a vice president and a director of the company.

Q. Do your duties as vice president include any duties in connection with any other division of the company other than the Manufacturing Division?

A. No.

Q. How long have you held the position of vice president and general manager of the manufacturing division?

A. Well, now-

Q. Approximately.

A. Well, as long as it is approximately-

Q. Approximately.

A. I believe it is 1936; I believe it was.

Q. I am going to ask you a number of questions in which I use the term "McKesson," and when I say "McKesson," I will mean McKesson & Robbins, Inc., in order to avoid a repetition of the term. I will say "McKesson," and you will understand that to mean McKesson & Robbins, Inc.

A. And not the Manufacturing Division?

Q. That is right. When I refer to the Manufacturing Division, I will refer to it specifically.

A. All right.

Q. First, I would like to have you tell us a little bit about the origin and growth of McKesson. Did McKesson originate as a manufacturer or as a wholesaler?

Mr. Sullivan. We are getting a bit confused now. Here you are talking about McKesson—

Mr. Dobey. The corporation-

Mr. Sullivan. And you said before when you would refer to the Manufacturing Division, you would refer to it as such. Are you talking now about the origin of the Manufacturing Division or the origin of the Wholesale Division?

Mr. Dobey. I want to know when the corporation originally began business, whether it began business as a manufacturer or

as a wholesaler, or as both.

The WITNESS. To the best of my knowledge-

130 Mr. Sullivan. To the extent of your knowledge, of course.

The Wirkess. To the extent of my knowledge, it started as a manufacturing division—a manufacturing operation 122 years ago.

Q. Approximately when did it go into the wholesale field?

A. Approximately, as McKesson & Robbins, Inc., I think it was around 1928 or 4929.

Q. Since it went into the wholesale field originally in 1929, has the operation of the corporation expanded in the wholesale field?

Q. What is the relative size of the manufacturing operation of McKesson as compared with the wholesale operations of McKesson at the present time?

Mr. Sullivan. You mean unitwise, or dollarwise?

Mr. Dobey. We will make it dollarwise.

Q. For example, what approximately today are the total annual dollar volume sales of all products made by the Manufacturing Division?

A. I think you have the answer to that in the original statement, and I don't carry those figures around in my head, but I am quite sure that I gave that information to counsel back

in 1951 or 1952, and I have not the exact figures in my head at the moment.

Q. I am not interested in the exact figures, and I don't have the figures in the record, in any event. What were your annual sales for your last fiscal year for your Manufacturing Division, approximately?

A. Around \$11,000,000.

Q. And what were the annual sales of the wholesale drug divi-

sions for last year, approximately?

A. Well, I would be guessing pretty much on that because the consolidated total sale figures include liquor and heavy chemicals, that phase of it, so that information can be gotten accurately, so I don't know whether I should guess at it.

Q. Could you tell me the approximate dollar volume sales of the wholesale drug divisions of drug products for last year?

Mr. SULLIVAN. If you know.

The WITNESS. Well, I don't, that is why I don't want to give a figure here that is going to be kicked around, and I would have to get that from our controller.

Q. Would you care to answer this question: Do the wholesale drug divisions—this dollar volume of their sales—is it two,

132 three, four times your manufacturing sales.

A. Oh, it is considerably more than the manufacturing sales; yes.

Q. Several times more?

A. Oh, yes.

Q. What would be your approximation how many times more,

conservatively!

A. Well, I sometimes think that instead of guessing at this, that if that is a question that must be answered, sometimes it must be answered by a controller.

Mr. Dobey. Maybe your counsel would be willing to state for

the record.

Mr. Sullivan. Mr. Dewell has given you the approximate gross dollar volume of sales of the Manufacturing Division. Next month you will have here Mr. Nolen, who is much more familiar than Mr. Dewell with the operations of the whole drug divisions, and I suggest that you question him.

Mr. Dobey. If you prefer, I have no-

Mr. Sullivan. The point I am trying to make is that Mr. Dewell's activities are confined almost exclusively to the operations of the Manufacturing Division. On the other hand, Mr.

Nolen's activities are confined presently to the operations

133 of the Wholesale Division.

Mr. Dobey. Yes, but he is a vice president and a member of the board of directors of the corporation, and as such, I think he would have a notion of the approximate dollar sales of the Drug Division.

Mr. Sullivan. Why approximate it when you can get a more definite figure when Mr. Nolen is here on his examination?

Mr. Dobey. If we approximate them, we realize that the ac-

curate figures are not necessary-are not accurate.

Mr. Sullivan. Well, I think the best approximation that you can give, Mr. Dewe", is that it is several time greater than the gross sales of the Manufacturing Division.

The WITNESS. Yes.

Mr. Dobey. All right.

Q. Have the wholesale drug division sales in recent years been increasing in proportion to the sales of the manufacturing division, or decreasing?

A. Let's see if I get that -I want to be sure if I get the real

sense of the question." Will you state it again?

Q. Maybe I can state it a little differently: Has the manufacturing division been growing more rapidly from sales volume standpoint than the wholesale drug divisions, or is it vice versa?

Mr. Sullivan. If you know.

The WITNESS. As a matter of fact, I don't know, and there are several reasons why I don't.

Q. You don't know !

A. I don't know.

Q. Very well. You mentioned the figure of \$11,000,000 approximately \$21,000,000 as your annual sales of products by the manufacturing days on?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you including in that figure your shipments of McKesson products to the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. What is the approximate dollar volume of your sales of McKesson products direct to retailers?

A. I think that question has been answered.

Mr. Sullivan. Well, we have answered that question partially in the interrogatories. In the interrogatories we gave you the names of retailers to whom the manufacturing division sells

directly, which are located in the trading areas of the independent wholesalers with whom no McKesson division is in competition. A think we gave you in the interroga-

tories the names of some sixteen retailers.

Now, is your question addressed to these retailers?

Mr. Dobey. No, my question is the total sales by the manufacturing division direct to retailers, approximately

Mr. Sullivan. To all retailers including those?

Mr. Dobey. All retailers in the United States!

The WITNESS. That question—when you say "retailers," you mean that we sell out of the manufacturing division independent entirely of any wholesale?

Q. Yes, independent of your wholesale drug division sales.

A. Or any wholesale drug division?

Q. Yes, independent of-what you sell direct.

A. Are you talking about wholesalers or just McKesson wholesale?

Q. No, independent of any wholesalers, what you sell direct from Bridgeport.

A. Oh, I would say approximately somewhere between eight

and ten percent.

136 Mr. Sullivan.

Mr. Sullivan. For what period?
The Witness. Of the yearly period.

Q. Eight and ten percent of your total sales?

A. That is right, that we sell direct to retailers.

Q. What approximate dollar volume would that be?

A. Well, it would be eight to ten percent of the figure I gave you.

Q. About a million dollars or a little more?

A. Yes, in that neighborhood.

Mr. SULLIVAN. Or less.

The WITNESS. Or less.

Q. You have given us the figure of \$763,767 as epresenting the total sales of your manufacturing division to independent wholesafers for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1952. - Are your sales direct to retailers greater than your sales to independent wholesalers?

A. I haven't the figures with me. When the figures were given to you that was the case.

Q. For that year!

A. For that year, but I don't have with me figures that, I think, is 1951 or 1952 when I was asked for that information at the time.

Q. At the present time can you tell me whether your sales direct to retailers are greater dollar volumewise than your sales to independent wholesalers!

A. No, I can't actually,

- Q. How many vice presidents are there in the manufacturing division?
 - A. Three.

Q. How many in the wholesale drug divisions?

A. I would be guessing; there is an elective and there is an appointive, so I don't know whether you are talking about those elected by the stockholders or what, so I would rather not answer.

Q. Any vice presidents of the company.

Mr. Sullivan. Well, I believe, Mr. Dobey, there are quite a number of vice presidents of different ratings and groups.

. The WITNESS. That is right.

Mr. Sullivan. There are vice presidents and there are regional

vice presidents, and there are

Mr. Thurn. Well, there are also so-called elective fice presidents, so-called appointed vice presidents. Actually, the bylaws provide for two classes of officers, and so you do get a very complicated question.

Q. Do you think I should save that for Mr. Nolen?

A. Yes, I would say that.

Mr. Ehrhardt. I don't think Mr. Nolen would know.

Mr. Dobey. We can find that out, and it can be asked of Mr. Nolen. 8

Mr. EHRHARDT. Oh, yes, it can be found out,

Q. Who is your immediate superior?

A. My immediate superior?

Q. Yes.

A. The president of the company.

Q. You have no superior in the manufacturing division?

A. No.

Q. Is there any officer of the wholesale drug division who exercises a control over operations of the manufacturing division?

A. That exercises control?

Q. Over the operations of the manufacturing division.

A. I am the manager of the manufacturing division.

Q. What is the approximate number of employees of the manufacturing division t

A. Oh, it will vary from 480 to 525, depending on the seasons

of the year.

Q. Now, I would like to direct attention to Paragraph 4 of the answer in this case. In Paragraph 4, McKesson admits the allegations in Paragraphs 4 and 5 of the complaint—and

this is the part to which I am directing your attention specifically—that the conduct of its business as a wholesaler of drugstore merchandise is separate and distinct from and on an entirely different functional level than the conduct of its business as a manufacturer of drugs and drug products. Both the wholesale drug divisions and the manufacturing division are part of one and the same corporation, of course, are they not?

A. Yes.

Q. The wholesale drug division of course, does not have a separate president?

A. No.

Mr. Sullivan. Well, now, Mr. Dobey, there is one president.

Mr. Dobey. Right.

Mr. Sullivan. For the whole company.

Mr. Dobey, Right.

Q. Does the president have supervision and control over both the wholesale drug division and the manufacturing division?

A. As the office of the president would have; yes.

Q. Are there any other officers other than the president 140 of the corporation who performs duties with respect to both the manufacturing division and the wholesale drug divisions?

Mr. Sullivan. Well; of course, there is a board of directors,

there is one board of directors.

Mr. Dobey. I am referring to officers, not members of the board of directors.

The WITNESS. No.

Mr. EHRHARDT. Well, there is the chairman of the board.

The WITNESS. The chairman of the board is an executive officer.

Q. No others!

A. No others.

Q. Are there any employees of McKesson who perform duties for both the manufacturing division and the wholesafe drug division?

A. I don't know that I understand the

Q. Any employees whose duties involve work for both the manufacturing division and the wholesale drug division?

A. I want to be sure that I understand that question. In the daily routine of hourly workers and officers, the answer is "No".

Q. With respect to advertising work for McKesson products, is that done by the manufacturing division or the wholesale drug divisions, both?

A. All advertising as it relates to our products is done by the

manufacturing division and so paid.

Mr. Sullivan. When you say "our products," you mean the -manufactured products?

The WITNESS. Yes; I am speaking of the manufacturing

division.

Q. Would that include promotion work with doctors?

A. The advertising?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes; I want to be sure that I understand that. We use the journal of the American Medical Association in which they advertise our products, if that is the question.

Q. Well, you also send literature directly to them?

A. Yes, we do, and samples.

Q. And all that comes from the manufacturing division?

A. That is correct.

Q. I would like to direct your attention to Paragraph, 10 of the answer, wherein referring to the different divisions of Mc-

Kesson, the answer states: "The functions, purposes and objectives of each are different, and except insofar as it is necessary to formulate overall policy for each and generally to supervise and control their activities, the operations of each are conducted separately and independently of the others by different staffs and officers and employees in different and, to a considerable extent, entirely separate establishments."

Mr. Sullivan. You preface that by saying the different divisions of McKesson, and the answer says that it conducts three separate and distinct businesses. I assume that that was just

Mr. Doney. That is right, you are right?

What I want to question Mr. Dewell about is the insertion: "Except insofar as it is necessary to formulate overall policy for each."

· Q. What officers formulate overall policy for both the wholesale drug divisions and the manufacturing divisions?

A. Well, to the best of my knowledge, it would be the chair-

man of the board and the president.

Q. And those same officers, the chairman of the board and the president, are the officers who supervise and control the activities of both the wholesale drug divisions and the manufacturing division?

A. I think the answer to that would be yes.

Mr. Sullivan. Generally to supervise.

143 Mr. Dober Yes. • • The Wirness, Yes.

Q. Now, according to this paragraph, "The operations of each are conducted separately and independently of the others by different staffs of officers and employees in different, and to a considerable extent, entirely separate establishments."

With respect to that statement, "to a considerable extent entirely separate establishments," to what extent are the operations of both the manufacturing division and the wholesale drug divi-

sions conducted in the same establishments?

Mr. Sullivan. I think you are assuming that—something that is not implicit in the answer. It says that the three separate and distinct businesses, referring to the wholesale drug business, the wholesale liquor business, and the manufacturing business, are conducted——

Mr. Dobey. To a considerable extent.

Mr. Sullivan. To a considerable extent in separate and distinct establishments.

Mr. Dobey. Now, I want to know to what extent the manufacturing division and the business of the wholesale drug divisions are conducted in the same establishments.

Mr. Sullivas. Well, the manufacturing division, of course, has an establishment of its own.

Mr. Dober. Let the witness answer, if he can answer the

question.

The Wirness. I maswer it with this reservation, that I hope I understand exactly the trend of the question. We have a building in Connecticut in which there is nothing done and no other officers or people employed except those directly interested in the exploitation, and the manufacturing and handling of the manufactured products. There is no wholesale function, there isn't even a wholesale division in it. So it is as entirely as can be separated by miles and by intent.

Q. That covers everything except the answer to my question. The statement here—"The operations of each are conducted separately and independently of the others," and et cetera, "and to a considerable extent entirely separate establishments"—implies to me that to some extent the operations of each are not conducted in these entirely separate establishments. And my question was to what extent are they conducted in the same estab-

lishment?

Mr. Sullivan. Perhaps I can clarify what you have in mind there.

Mr. Dobey. All right.

Mr. Sullivan. That was directed principally to the businesses of wholesaling drug products and to the business of wholesaling liquor products. There are, in McKesson's operations, several areas where the wholesaling of drugs and the wholesaling of liquor is conducted under the one roof.

Mr. Thurn. Although in segregated premises.

Mr. Dobey. And that is why that reads as it closs?

Mr. Sullivan. That is correct.

Mr. Dobey. Very well.

Q. Does the manufacturing division sell McKesson products to any other manufacturer of drug products?

A. To any other manufacturer?

Q. Any other@nanufacturer?

A. To the best of my knowledge, no.

Q. Now, the manufacturing division does sell McKesson products direct to retailers as we previously mentioned, does it not?

A. It does.

2 Q. Now, how do you reconcile the practice of the manu-146. facturing division, that is the practice of selling McKesson products direct to retailers, with the statement contained in Paragraph 4 of the answer, to the effect that the conduct of McKesson's business as a wholesaler of drugstore merchandise is on an entirely different functional level than the conduct of its business as a manufacturer of drugs and drug products?

A. Well-

Mr. Sullivan. I don't understand the question, Mr. Dobey.

The Witness. I don't understand that either.

Mr. Sullivan. I don't see that it requires any reconciliation. We simply state here that in the conduct of its business as a whole-saler of drug merchandise, it is separate and distinct from and on an entirely different functional level than the conduct of its business as a manufacturer.

I suggest that you clarify your question. Are you-

Mr. Dobey. Well, your answer states, and I assume—maybe the wording of the answer is not your language, Mr. Dewell, but the answer states that the conduct of your business as a wholesaler—

that is, your wholesale drug divisions—is on an entirely dif-

147 ferent functional level than the conduct of your business as a manufacturer. Yet you sell direct to retailers and your wholesale drugs divisions sell direct to retailers.

Q. Now, how do you maintain that your manufacturing business is on an entirely different functional level than your wholesale business?

A. Well, manufacturing is an entirely different function than wholesaling. That is my total answer. I mean that is historically so, I mean that is the industry.

- Q. Yet, selling McKesson products direct to retailers is done by both your manufacturing division and your wholesaling divisions is it not?
 - A. At different levels.
- Q. You both are selling retailers, both the manufacturing division and

A. Yes, yes.

Q. Well, is not that on the same functional level?

Mr. Sullivan. That ealls for a conclusion.

The WITNESS. I can't answer that. "Functional" to me means

Mr. Sullivan. It is our contention, of course-

The Wirness. Manufacturing and advertising is a functional phase, but manufacturing operation is not just the customers you sell, so I can't answer that question.

Q. Don't you include in your definition of "functional level"—

A. That is only part of the question.

Q. (Continuing.) The sale of products to retailers?

A. That is not as I understood the question. The functional level embodies the total operation, not just one piece of it, as I interpret it. Now, that was my answer at the time, and it is still my answer: In the total overall functional level it is different.

Q. Both in the manufacturing division and the wholesale drugdivisions, you supply retailers with their supply of McKesson

products?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, with reference to the manufacturing division when it sells direct to a retailer, are you not also performing the whole-saling function?

Mr. Sullivan. That calls for a conclusion.

The WITNESS. I can't answer that.

Mr. Sullivan. It is our contention that we are not; that is one of the issues in this case.

The WITNESS. That is a legal answer.

Mr. Sullivan. It is one of the questions in this case. It is our contention that as manufacturers we sell to wholesalers and retailers, and as wholesalers, we sell to retailers.

Now, it is our contention legally that that is at different functional levels.

Q. I am asking a fact question; this is a fact question: In supplying retailers with their stocks of McKesson products, does not your manufacturing division perform the same function that is performed by your wholesale drug division, namely, supplying retailers—

A. The answer to that is no.

Q. (Continuing.) With their stocks of McKesson products?

A. That is too technical for me to answer.

Mr. Dobey. It seems to be it is a clear-cut question.

Mr. Thurn. You can sell retailers on an entirely different basis; your market is different from a wholesalers market, even though he is selling to retailers. So you are calling for a legal conclusion that depends on a lot of facts that have not been brought in.

Mr. Sullivan. The fact has been established that McKesson is a manufacturer and it sells to retailers. Now, if you want to draw a conclusion that it is selling to retailers, that is your

prerogative.

150 Mr. Dobey. I am asking him the fact question—the manufacturing division did not perform the same function of supplying retailers with their stocks of McKesson products, that is performed by the wholesale drug divisions.

Mr. Sullivan. That I again state calls for a legal conclusion.

Q. Will you answer that question?

Mr. THURN. He has already answered it.

Mr. EHRHARDT. Let me see, Mr. Dobey-

Mr. Dobey. There is no point in arguing the point. We might as well go on. It is a factual question. The function of supplying retailers with McKesson products, the record shows, is performed by both the wholesale division—

Mr. Thurn. A manufacturer sells retailers who wholesalers do not sell, because they are retailers who can and do buy direct. So your word "function" is a loaded word that calls for a legal

conclusion.

Q. Both the manufacturing division and the wholesale drug divisions, in selling McKesson products to retailers, are providing retailers with their stocks of McKesson products for retail to consumers, are they not?

A. If I understand the question correctly, the answer would

e ves.

- Q. Does the manufacturing division solicit orders for the sale of McKesson products by the manufacturing division direct to retailers!
 - A. Solicit orders for sale of our products direct to retailers?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. What methods of solicitation are employed?

A. Well, I can't enumerate them all, except that which is usual and customary in the industry.

Q. And what is that?

A. Well, it covers many, many fields. It covers salesmen, the use of trade publications, mailing pieces, display activities and that sort of thing.

Q. It includes salesmen!

A. Oh, yes.

Q. How many salesmen of the manufacturing division are there, approximately, that you have who contact retailers, soliciting orders for McKesson products?

A. There again, the whole question would have to be clarified.

We have got two types of salesmen soliciting, some who sell

direct to a retailer, and others who turn orders over.

152 Q. Well, suppose we limit it.

A. To the retailers?

Q. To the ones who sell direct to retailers.

A. That is right, we do, we have men who call on them.

Q. Approximately how many?

A. Around eight or nine.

Q. Are those eight or nine salesmen who solicit orders for sales of McKesson products by the manufacturing division direct to retailers, the same salesmen who solicit orders for sales to independent wholesalers?

A. No, they are not.

Q. They are not the same—they don't call on the wholesale trade?

A. No, it is a different type of trade, a different level of trade.

M. Sullivan. I assume you are confining these questions entirely to the salesmen employed by the manufacturing division?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

Q. I think you understood that?

A. Yes, that is as I understood it.

Q. In what territory does the manufacturing division solicit orders for McKesson products for direct sale by the manufacturing division to retailers?

A. Well, I won't attempt to answer all of them here, out of my head, because I am not director of sales. We have a sales manager. But I can give you roughly, Detroit, Pittsburgh, Kansas City, Chicago, Los Angeles. Those are areas which they have solicitation.

Q. Of retailers?

A. Of a retailer which in many cases are multiple. When I speak of Chicago, that is Walgreen, 500 stores; that is one call. Mr. Sullivan. Then, of course, you have the salesmen who contact the retailers whose names were given to you in the answers

The WITNESS That you have there. But this is a double

question.

Q. I am covering your total. That was limited.

With respect to the specific cities you mentioned, do the salesmen there have a definite territory assigned to them—the salesmen who contact retailers for the manufacturing division! A. The situation varies, according to the requirement of the

Q. Do you include the entire United States in your solicitation of retailers by the manufacturing division!

Mr. Sullivan. For direct sales?

Q. For direct sales.

A. Well, when you say do we include it—we attempt to sell many people who don't buy from us, so, I mean the question is-I can't answer it because we-

Q. I am asking you, do you solicit! I am not asking you about

your sales, I am asking you about your area of solicitation.

A. Yes, we try to sell everybody, not only here, but export as well.

Q. Throughout the United States?

A. Sure, that is our function, It is desirable accounts that we

Q. Do salesmen of the manufacturing division solicit orders from the same retailers solicited by salesmen of the wholesale drug divisions?

A. The intent is not to. Now, I can't sit here and say that it won't happen.

Q. You try to avoid it?

A. That is right. We are trying to have a definite schedule and not have double solicitation.

Q. Do salesmen of the wholesale drug divisions solicit orders from retailers for McKesson products on behalf of the manufacturing division?

A. The same function they would for any manufacturer.

Q. No, I am referring to-my question isn't clear.

I am referring to soliciting orders which they-for direct sale by the manufacturing division to retailers-

Mr. Thurn. By salesmen of wholesale divisions?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

The Wirness. No; they do not return us any direct business.

Q. Then a salesman for the wholesale drug division solicits orders for the wholesale drug division, and not for the manufacturing division at all?. •

A. That is not only true with McKesson wholesalers, but other wholesalers. No other wholesalers send us orders to fill direct. I wish they would, but they don't do it that way.

Q. Do the manufacturing division salesmen call on all retailers of drug merchandise within their territory!

Mr. Sullivan. What salesmen are you referring to? Mr. Dobey. Salesmen of the manufacturing division.

Mr. Sullivan. All salesmen, or just those who solicit orders. for direct sales?

156 Mr. Dobey. Those who solicit orders for direct sales.
The Witness. Do they call on all retailers?

Q. Yes.

A. No, that number that we have couldn't possibly—I mean that answers itself.

Q. Does the manufacturing division sell McKesson products direct to any retailers who desire to buy direct from the manufacturing division?

A. That takes in so many qualified statements—there is credit,

there is any number of-

Q. Assuming the credit is all right.

A. Assuming that the account is desirable in every sense, the

answer is yes.

Q. What are the standards which a retailer must meet in order to buy McKesson products direct from the manufacturing division?

A. Well, let's see if I can answer that. I think what you are trying to ask me—and I am going to try to answer what I think you are trying to ask me rather than the way you state your question—you are trying to ask me what is a desirable account. A desirable account may be one day something, and the next day, it won't be; and I can't tell you when, as, and if. That

is about the best answer I can give you.

157 Q. If I was a retailer and wrote to the manufacturing division, and said I wish to buy direct from the manufacturing division, what questions would you ask me before you decide what you would sell me direct?

A. Well, I probably would start with your credit. That would

be about the first thing.

Q. Assuming my credit is all right, what is next?

A. Then the next thing is if you were—to what extent you would cooperate with us, and you would answer that one, so you tell me to what extent you would cooperate with us, and then I can answer your question?

Q. What do you mean by "cooperation"?

A. I am not asking you anything; you are asking me to do something.

Q. I am going to sell your products to consumers.

Mr. Sullivan. I think you are being confused here, Mr. Dewell. The Witness. I think I am.

Mr. Sullivan. What he wants to know, if I understand his question, the manufacturing division sells direct to some retailers?

The WITNESS. Yes.

Mr. Sullivan. Other retailers it does not sell directly to?

The Witness. Well, that becomes then a level of quantity purchase.

Mr. Sullivan. How do you determine the ones that you will sell direct to as distinguished from the ones that you will not sell direct to?

The Witness. Well, normally, the credit is number one and then the other is what facilities do they have for taking in and handling the quantities required by direct. There is a certain requirement on dollar purchases in order to buy on a direct basis which is customary in the industry.

Q. Are there any other requirements other, than the quantity

requirement for direct buying?

A. Well, no.

Mr. Thurn. I think again you are being confused on the question of—Mr. Dewell expressed it before when he said, "What can you do for us," meaning pushing products and things like that.

The WITNESS. Yes, sure.

Mr. Thurn. So his answer should include, "There are other, things in it."

The WITNESS. Yes.

Q. Assume I meet the quantity requirement and assume my credit is good, what more would you want from me to buy direct from the manufacturing division?

A. Well, we would probably check on the character of you in your community, your standing in the community; we would take a look at it. We would probably get something about your reputation for fulfilling commitments.

Q. Anything else!

A. And then we probably would exercise our rights to determine whether you were the type of account we would like to have and work with, and then there would be commitments that you would make as to what you would do.

· Q. Assume you decide I was a desirable account, what com-

mitments would I have to make in order to buy direct?

A. Well, you would have to meet our terms and requirements which are published.

Q. Well, what is the substance of them?

Mr. Sullivan. You mentioned volume before.

The WITNESS. Yes, there is a volume requirement.

Q. What else?

A. The character of the individual at stake.

Q. Anything else?

A. Not that I can think of.

M. EHRHARDT. May I ask a question: Is there judgment involved on the part of the manufacturing division as to how the retailer will do with the manufacturing division's products?

The WITNESS. As to what he will do?

Mr. Ehrhard. Let me just rephrase that. I think it will clarify it: Are there rigid requirements which if met, means you will sell, or is there an absence of—is there more to it than just rigid requirements!

The WITNESS. Well, I can't even answer that question, I mean

as to rigid requirements: the thing is so far over my head.

Q. Let me ask you this question: Assuming I was a desirable retail account from the quantity standpoint and the credit standpoint, what commitments would you ask me to make?

A. Well, I think I have answered your question by saying that we generally solicit business from retailers that we want to sell merchandise. Now, if we solicit you only, we want to sell you.

Q. A moment ago you referred to the term "commitments to

be made by me."

A. Well, you restated the case. Supposing you send us an order; well, those things don't happen; I mean you are talking about something that doesn't happen.

Q. No, I am talking about the term "commitments" you.

referred to, to be made by me.

A: Well, in the event you did, then we would take a look, but people don't just sit down and send us orders, so I can't answer a question that doesn't exist.

Q. My question is solely with respect to the word "commitments." A moment ago you said there would be commitments to be made by me as a direct buyer.

A. Well, I think I have answered it.

Q. No. If you have, I haven't understood it.

A. Well, I haven't understood the question, so we are back where we started.

Q. What do you wish me to promise to do, what do you want

me to promise?

A. We want you to pay for the merchandise promptly. We want you to put it on display, present it to the public, and we want you to pay some sort of attention to your clerks to get them to sell our merchandise; we want you to promise that you will do that, and then we want to be sure that you are not one of these fellows who buy and want to return everything so often.

Now, that comes after experience—if you got to write about it, and send it back, and were a problem child, then we don't want

to fool with you any longer, period.

162 Q. Any other commitments?

A. I think that is it.

Q. What is the minimum quantity requirement for the sale by the manufacturing division of McKesson products direct to a retailer?

A. \$1,000.

Q. Is that per year or per order?

A. That is per order.

Q. If the retailer is to buy direct from the manufacturing division, each order must be for \$1,000?

A. To get the complete discounts; yes.

Now, if he wanted to buy from us on the same basis that he would buy from a wholesaler, no matter who the wholesaler is, he would buy from us exactly on the same terms as he would buy from the wholesaler, and we would honor that order.

Q. Are the sales of McKesson products by the manufacturing division direct to retailers made at McKesson's published net

wholesale prices?

A. Ask the question again, because

Q. Are the sales of McKesson's products by the manufacturing division direct to retailers made at McKesson's published net wholesale prices?

63 A. Up to the direct requirement of \$1,000 for quantity

purchases.

Q. With respect to the \$1,000 order or above, is that made at a discount below the seller's published net wholesale prices?

A. Net wholesale prices; yes, it is.

Q. How much lower?

A. Five percent.

Q. Is this true: If I am a retailer buying direct from the manufacturing division, and I submit an order to the manufacturing division in excess of \$1,000, I can buy the merchandise at a five percent lower price than I can buy the merchandise from the wholesale drug division?

A. That is right.

Q. Is the same thing true if I submit an order direct to the manufacturing division in excess of \$1,000, I can buy that merchandise at a price five percent lower—

A. Yes, sir.

Q. (Continuing.) Than the price at which I can buy the merchandise from independent wholesalers of McKesson's products?

A. That is right.

Q. Well, the manufacturing division, then, allows retailers who purchase direct from the manufacturing division a five percent discount on orders over \$1,000, over and beyond the discount allowed to retailers who buy from the wholesale drug divisions or independent wholesalers?

Mr. Sullivan. He has answered that question, I believe, several

times.

The WITNESS. Yes; I have answered it a dozen times.

Q. Is the answer yes?

Mr. SULLIVAN: He has given the answer.

The Wirness. Well, let's see, I don't know, check your notes; you are confusing me.

Mr. Dobey. Would you read that back.

The WITNESS. You are confused on what is a retailer or what is not a retailer.

(The record was read back by the stenotype reporter.)

Q. You answered that question yes?

A. That is the same question.

Mr. Sullivan. The same, yes; and the same answer.

The Witness. I can't say any different in the question; I can't double talk.

Q. Well, the answer is yes?

A. It is to the first question.

Q. And you say the second question-

165 A. I don't remember your second question.

Q. He just read it back to you.

A. Well, I still don't remember it. It is yes to the first one he read.

A. Well, I will give a simple illustration so that the record

will be perfectly clear on this point: 3

If People's Drug Stores submits an order to the manufacturing division in excess of \$1,000, People's Drug Store can buy the McKesson products at a five percent cheaper price than a retailer who purchased the same order in the same quantity from an independent wholesaler; is that correct?

A. That isn't the same question.

Q. I wasn't trying to make it the same question. O

Mr. Thurn. Mr. Dobey, there is an assumption in there; the assumption is that the retailer would purchase from the wholesaler in that quantity.

Mr. Dobey. That is right.

Mr. THURN. All right,

Mr. Ehrhardt. Then isn't the question, is the assumption correct?

The WITNESS. The assumption is that if that retailer sent—I of don't know what the wholesaler is going to do—the assump-

that People's would. You are assuming that I assume what the other fellow is going to do. If you as a retailer sent that same \$1,000 order to me that People's sent to me you would buy it at the same price.

Q. If I was allowed to buy it direct.

A. That is right. If you were qualified to buy it direct.

Q. No. I am talking about a retailer who does not qualify to buy direct, or is not—at least does not buy direct from you, he buys from the wholesale drug division, or he buys from an independent

wholesaler, and he purchases McKesson products; he submits an order in excess of \$1,000. If he can buy direct from you he gets a five percent discount!

A. That is right.

Q. And that he is you said sure, did you, you said yes?

A. No-

Mr. Thurn. Mr. Dobey, he didn't say before—he said that any order that was sent in would be honored.

Mr. Ehrhardt. You say he didn't say, or he did say?

Mr. Thurn. He did say. So the assumption that this other retailer couldn't buy direct is incorrect. What those other questions were directed at is the type of customer, retail direct customer that those salesmen aggressively seek to sell direct to.

The WITNESS. That is right. .

Mr. Thurn. Do you see the difference? Anybody can send in an order and have it filled for over \$1,000. But the manufacturing division has direct customers. The ones it seeks are those who will do a terrific merchandising job.

Mr. Dobey. And they get a five percent discount?

Mr. Thurn. So does any retailer who wants to send in an order get it.

Mr. Dobey. To whom?

Mr. Thurn. To the manufacturing division.

Mr. Dobey: If the manufacturing division will sell that retailer direct?

Mr. THURN. Mr. Dewell testified earlier if he sent in the order, it would be honored.

Mr. Sullivan. And if it was for over \$1,000, he would get a five percent discount; that was his testimony.

Mr. Dobey. Now, we have got to get this straightened out.

Q. I am a retailer who does not buy direct from the manufacturing division because I don't meet your standards, whatever they are.

Mr. Sullivan. Wait a minute, Mr. Dobey, you are assuming something again. The previous question with respect to the type of retailers solicited by the manufacturing division for direct sales is one thing. You asked him what requirements there are for that retailer to meet. Now, any retailer who wants to send an order direct to the manufacturing division will get that order filled. And if it is for more than \$1,000, he will get that five percent discount you are talking about.

The WITNESS. You see, you have got it all confused in your

own mind.

Q. No; it is not confused in my own mind.

A. I didn't say five percent gets—I didn't say he gets five percent.

Q. Yes; hive reent additional discount.

A. So it stational, it isn't five percent. As long as we are going to clear it up, let's clear it up.

Q. If he buys direct from the manufacturing division with

respect to an order over-

A. You are talking now about our whole discount program as was published, so why should we waste time here.

Q. Will you answer the question?

A. I can't understand them.

Mr. Sullivan. He will answer the questions if you will make them explicit.

Mr. Dobey. I am afraid they are being very explicit; I am

afraid that he is the trouble.

Q. If a retailer submits an order to the manufacturing division for McKesson products in excess of \$1,000, does he receive a discount of five percent over and above the discount he would get if he bought those products in the same quantity from a McKesson wholesale drug division?

A. The answer is yes.

Q. And is the same thing true if he bought the products, the

same quantity; from an independent wholesaler?

A. That is supposed to be so, but I can't answer—there are things that go on in the industry that I can't answer and assure you a hundred percent of. He is supposed to. That is based on a supposition.

Q. When you say, "He is supposed to," you mean if he lives up

to your Fair Trade price schedule, is that correct?

A. That is correct.

170 Mr. Sullivan. But there is no assumption that that same retailer who placed the order with a wholesaler or with a wholesale division could not have placed the order direct with the manufacturing division.

Mr. Dobey. I will cover that now.

Q. Can any retailer, any retailer in the United States who wishes to buy McKesson products on an order in excess of \$1,000, submit that order direct to the manufacturing division and have it filled?

A: There is a lot of things that might cause it not to be filled, so I can't answer that question.

Q. Well, the answer is no, then; not any retailer can do that?

A. I didn't say it was no. I said there are so many other factors, that I can't answer the question.

Q. Are those the factors we discussed before?

A. It seems to me we covered it.

Q. Whether the retailer was a desirable account for the manufacturing division?

A. I think we have a right to sell for any or for no reason,

so I have no reason.

Q. And you would not sell McKesson products to any retailer-

A. I wouldn't say that.

Q. (Continuing.) Who submitted an order in excess of

A. I wouldn't say that; no, I won't say that; that is not what

I said.

Q. That is not your practice, is it, to sell to any retailer who submits an order to the manufacturing division in excess of \$1,000?

A. It is what?

Q. It is not your practice to do that?

A. What does that mean legally [to counsel]?

Q. I mean you do not do that?

A. Is that so!

Q. Do you?

A. I don't know of an instance where it has happened, so Ican-

Mr. Ehrharder. I would like to object to it on the grounds that I think the witness is being confused. I think the witness testifled before, which is true in accordance with market practices, that it just does not happen that a retailer sends in an order for \$1,000.

The WITNESS. I can honestly say that we have never turned

one down.

Q. You have never turned an order down from a retailer that was in excess of \$1,000-

A. Because of practice. That was your question, you said practice, because we have not had any, to my knowledge.

Q. You mean that no retailer has ever submitted direct to the

manufacturing division an order in excess of \$1,000?

A. Not to my knowledge, so I don't know-when you say what we do-we did not do anything, because it has not occurred.

Mr. EHRHARDT. Could we go off the record just a minute.

(Discussion off the record.)

Q. You do get orders in excess of \$1,000, of course, from your direct retailer contracts!

A. That we do, yes.

Q. And that is a frequent occurrence?

A. Oh, yes.

Q. Did this extra five percent discount on orders in excess of \$1,000 filled by the manufacturing division—did that exist at the time the complaint was filed in May of 1952?

A. Yes.

Q. How long have you had that five percent extra

A. Well, six months after the Robinson-Patman bill became a law.

Q. What was it prior to then; was it more than that?

A. I don't remember the actual terms at that time.

Q. Does a retailer buying McKesson products direct from the manufacturing division receive or enjoy any advantage over a retailer purchasing McKesson products from a wholesale drug

division or from an independent wholesaler?

Mr. Sullivan. Wait a minuted We have already shown you that some retailers, if their orders are in excess of \$1,000, get the five percent discount. And he has already testified that other retailers who purchase direct from the manufacturing division are sold at the same prices that they would be charged by a whole-sale division or by an independent wholesaler.

Mr. Dobey. Right.

Q. Do the direct buying retailers receive any advantage other than the five percent on quantity orders?

A. No.

Q. From the time the complaint was filed on May 27, 174 1952, until the present time, has there been any change in that five percent discount on quantity orders on direct sales?

A. Well, you are not stating the case right yet; it isn't five percent.

Q. Five percent over and above the other discounts.

A. If you will now state your question again.

Q. Has there been any change with respect to the allowance of the five percent additional discount on orders over \$1,000, from the time the complaint was filed in May of 1952 until the present time?

A. No.

Q. Has the quantity limitation or the quantity requirement

A. It has not changed since the Robinson-Patman law was

made effective.

Q. Does the manufacturing division do any purchasing of drug products on behalf of the wholesale drug divisions other than ingredients of McKesson products?

Mr. Sullivan. Wait a minute. That assumes that they do

some purchasing on behalf of the drug divisions.

Q. Does the manufacturing division do any purchasing of drug products on behalf of the wholesale drug divisions?

175 A. No.

Q. Does the manufacturing division sell drug products other than McKesson products direct to retailers?

A. The manufacturing division?

Q. Yes. A. No.

Q. I would like to direct your attention to the first sentence of Interrogatory 19 of the supplemental interrogatories

Mr. Sullivan. You mean of the answers?

Mr. Dobey. No, the question first, and then we can follow with the answers.

Q. We ask you to state whether the manufacturing division solicited orders for McKesson Products—

Mr. Sullivan. I am sorry, what-

Mr. Dober. Of 19, of the supplemental. Have you got the supplemental?

Mr. SULLIVAN. I have it, thank you.

Q. (Continuing.) Whether the manufacturing division of McKesson solicited orders for McKesson products from any retailers from whom any of the wholesalers listed in the Annex to Item 8 solicited orders. And then the first sentence of the answer

19 to that interrogatory is: "Yes, but except for orders received from retailers to whom the manufacturing divi-

sion sold directly, the orders would have been turned over to wholesalers located in the territory where the officers or em-

ployees worked."

Where the order is obtained by a salesman of the manufacturing division, on what basis do you decide whether to turn that order over to a wholesaler of McKesson products in the territory or to make a direct sale of McKesson products by the manufacturing division to the retailer?

Mr. Sullivan. Now, wait a minute. I have to object to that question. Your question is addressed to a wholesale division. This says that orders are turned over to wholesalers located in the territory where the officers or employees work. It doesn't say turned over to the wholesale divisions.

Mr. Dobey. No; I didn't mean to say that; I don't think I did.
Mr. Sullivan. Well, in your subsequent question, you followed
it up on what basis you turn them over to wholesale divisions.

Mr. Dobey. I will rephrase it.

Q. On what basis did the manufacturing division of McKesson decide whether to turn over such orders to wholesalers of Mc-

Kesson products in the territory, or to make a direct sale.

177 of McKesson products by the manufacturing division to
the retailer?

Mr. Sullivan. That was answered in the interrogatories, that question. The answer was: "Yes, but except for orders received from retailers to whom the manufacturing division sold directly, the orders would have been turned over to wholesalers located in the territory where the officers or employees work."

· You are asking the same question as that answered in that

interrogatory.

Mr. Dobey. No; the answer may be the same, and I suspect it is, but the question is not.

Q. This is where a salesman goes out, of the manufacturing division, and he gets an order from a retailer. Now, how do you decide whether you are going to turn it over to a wholesaler?

A. Well, the retailer decides that. In other words, the customer has a lot of privileges, you know; he can decide where he wants to buy and usually does; that is the practice. If he says he wants to go to Jones or Brown or Smith, he goes to Jones, or Brown or Smith. The decision is entirely with the purchaser.

Q. Supposing he indicates no preference!

A. You are supposing something that never happens.

178 so I can't answer that. That hasn't come up.

Q. If your manufacturing division salesman obtains an order from a retailer in a trading territory where there is a wholesale drug division, and also an independent wholesaler, does your answer still apply: The order goes wherever the retailer says he wants it to go?

A. Wherever the retailer says he wants it to go,

Q. And is your statement there also true: You have never heard of a case, you have never had a case, so far as you know, where the retailer did not have a preference?

A. My answer is still the same. I have never heard of that with us or anybody else, where the retailer doesn't know who he

wanted it through.

Q. Are your manufacturing division salesmen under any instructions to endeavor to persuade the retailers upon whom they call to buy direct from the manufacturing division rather than—

A. No; they are not. The persuasion is to buy. The first thing that we have got to do is to convince somebody that they want our merchandise. After that, they have the decision as to where it comes from. That is a practice that is as old as the hills, and the simplest thing. In other words, you have a choice of the drug-

store you go to, and you go over there.

Q. Didn't you state earlier that your manufacturing division salesmen as far as possible, avoid calling on retailers that are also called upon by salesmen of your wholesale drug divisions?

Mr. Sullivan. You were talking in that question about those salesmen who solicit the retail accounts of the manufacturing division.

Mr. Dobey, Yes.

Mr. Sullivan. You were not talking about the salesmen who

are otherwise employed by the manufacturing division.

Q. You stated with respect to salesmen who solicited retail accounts for the manufacturing division, that so far as possible, you try to avoid their calling upon the same retailers called upon by salesmen of the wholesale drug division?

A. We try to avoid such duplication as much as possible.

Mr. Sullivan. Or by any other wholesaler, isn't that true!

The WITNESS. That is true; that is true.

Q. Now, with respect to the last statement, if there is a whole-sale drug division and an independent wholesaler in the same trading territory—strike that. I understand your qualification.

Mr. Sullivan. Well you see, you keep talking about the whole-

sale.

Q. You state that they endeavor to avoid calling upon retailers who are called upon by either the wholesale drug division or an independent wholesaler; is that correct!

A. That is correct. In other words, our man that goes in to see People's in Washington, never sees anybody else but People's; that is his job. So he doesn't run into this condition that you are talking about.

Q. You don't know that an independent wholesaler in Washington also tries to sell People's?

Mr. Sullivan. To the extent that we know, of course.

The Witness. Well, I don't know that. Buyers don't tell you everything.

Q. Do you endeavor to avoid having your salesmen call upon retailers in the same trading territory as independent wholesalers?

Mr. Sullivan. Which salesmen?

Q. Any salesmen of the manufacturing division.

A. Now, wait a minute; let's start over again.

Mr. Thurn. Could we go off the record for a moment?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, sure.

(Discussion off the record.)

Q. Manufacturing division salesmen who solicit retailers for a direct sale by the manufacturing division are not instructed to avoid retailers who might be solicited by independent wholesalers; is that correct?

A.. They are not instructed to avoid !

Q. Yes.

A. I don't know how to interpret that.

182

Q. Well, I will state it affirmatively: Your manufacturing division salesmen do solicit retailers for direct sale by the manufacturing division, who are located in the trading territories of in-

dependent wholesalers, do they not !

A. Well, now, I have got to—that needs qualifying. Let's go back to—You try to simplify a question, and I will simplify one for you. You mentioned People's in Washington. The man that sells People's in Washington travels out of Connecticut. He goes down there once out of every six weeks. When he is through, he comes back. They have a warehouse that has an entirely different function than all the others in town.

Q. Where is People's warehouse! A. It is in Washington, I suppose.

Q. By the way, do you ship all People's McKesson products to People's in Washington?

A. To their warehouse, not to individual stores.

Q. We got off the track, and I will try to get on it again, and take an illustration.

A. No; that kind of a salesman is different; that fellow does not go around to all the retailers. So, when you say "try to avoid," I don't know what you mean. He just doesn't go to these at all.

Q. Well, take Philadelphia; you sell to the Shoemaker & Busch, a wholesaler, Smith, Kline & French, a wholesaler, and Krub Wholesale Drug, Philadelphia.

A. That is right.

Q. Those are all wholesalers who buy direct from the manufacturing division?

A. That is right.

Q. Do you also solicit orders from a retailer in that area for direct sale by the manufacturing division?

A. Sun Ray Drug; yes.

Q. Does Sun Ray Drug operate a chain of retail drugstores?

A. They do.

Q. What is their territory generally?

A. Well, Philadelphia and that area immediately adjoining there in Philadelphia. They are the same type of operations that People's are in Washington.

Q. What is the territory of People's in Washington, generally,?

Mr. Sullavan. To the extent that you know, of course.

The WITNESS. Well, as far as I know, I don't know where they are located a hundred percent. I just know their main offices and that is where we do our main business with them.

Q. Do you know generally where their retail operations are!

A. No; if I knew that I would be the best posted drug man in the world. I don't know where they have ell got stores.

Q. Approximately how many direct retail buying accounts does the manufacturing division have?

Mr. SULLIVAN. You mean at the present time or-

Mr. Dobey. At the present time.

The WITNESS. At the present time, I would have infteen or sixteen.

Q. Fifteen or sixteen !

A. Yes.

Q. I would like to direct your attention to Answer 2, the first sentence of Answer 2 to the set of interrogatories.

Mr. Sullivan, Will you wait until I get them, please?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, sure.

Q. This sentence reads: "None of the wholesalers to whom the manufacturing division of defendant sold McKesson products

competed with the manufacturing division."

Is not that answer incorrect, in view of the sale of McKesson products by the manufacturing division direct to retailers located in the same trading area as independent wholesalers to whom the manufacturing division sold McKesson products?

Mr. Sullivan. No, that answer is

Mr. Dobey. I asked the witness.

The Witness. I don't know. I don't know what that-

Mr. Sullivan. That calls for a conclusion, too.

The WITNESS. I don't know.

Mr. Sullivan. Again you are raising the question as to whether the manufacturing division is in competition with the wholesalers when they sell to retailers. We claim we are not. We give

you the facts, we state what the facts are. We tell you that we sell to wholesalers, we tell you that we sell to re-

tailers. We tell you the areas in which we sell to retailers and we tell you the areas in which we sell to wholesalers.

Now, whatever conclusion you want to draw from those facts

is your prerogative.

Mr. Dobey. The question is whether you are also in competition.

Mr. Sullivan. You are asking him whether an answer to an interrogatory is correct; it calls for a conclusion.

Mr. Dobey. You made the answer-

Mr. Sullivan. And we maintain it is correct.

Q. You state here that none of the wholesalers to whom the manufacturing division sold McKesson products competed with the manufacturing division; that is your answer.

Mr. SULLIVAN. That is right.

Q. Now, isn't that incorrect, when you bear in mind that the manufacturing division sells direct to retailers such as these—this Sun Ray Dragstore in Philadelphia—and you also sell to wholesalers in that same area? Now, aren't you competing with those wholesalers?

186 Mr. Sullivan. We say no. Q. What is your answer?

A. We say no.

Q. What do you say?

A. I say no.

Q. With respect to your direct sale to retailers, are all orders from a particular customer shipped to one location?

A. L can't answer that.

Q. In the case of People's, you said that was true!

A. Well, that is supposed to be absolutely the case. But when you say, could it occur otherwise, there could be a drop shipment, should they want something mailed.

Q. To one of their retail stores?

A. An individual item, I don't know. That happens to every drug manufacturer in the world.

Mr. Sullivan. There are, of course, occasions when any customer of any manufacturer asks for drop shipments.

The WITNESS. That is right.

Mr. Sullivan. To be made at different places.

The WITNESS. Some are needed for a certain place quick, and they ask for it by telephone; I would never hear of it. And to sit here and say it didn't happen—it happens to all drug manufacturers.

Q. So that on occasion-

A. I just can't answer that, period.

Q. Haven't you already said that it does happen, that you do it occasionally?

A. I say it could happen.

Q. You say it could happen, and you don't say it did happen?

A. I say it could happen, and I say it does happen. It is a practice for me to rush something by mail; well, what are you going to do? You are going to take care of it, if you have to get out of bed to do it.

Q. And you would ship to a particular retail store instead of to the warehouse?

A. Not as a general practice, as an exception.

Q. As an exception?

A. Yes; if it was an emergency of some kind, we would do

anything to please the customer.

Q. Do you have any discounts applicable, depending upon whether the shipments goes to one location, or whether the shipment goes to a variety of locations, in the case of your direct buying retailers.

A. Well, that comes under your Robinson-Patman bill, and it

is so set up on that basis.

Q. I am asking you whether you have a different discount applicable to these direct buying retailers dependent on whether you ship to one location or to several locations.

A. Well, we ship to one location; that is our practice.

Q. If you did make one of these emergency shipments, there is no different discount; is that correct?

A. You mean a dollar's worth of something?

Mr. Sullivan. That depends upon the facts of each particular order.

The Witness. What I would do generally in a practice like that, if People's called for one item, we would give it to them. It wouldn't be a question of whether they got twenty off or five off or two off. There is nothing illegal about that. If they have an emergency, that is what generally happens, so would most any other pharmaceutical house.

Q. I am simply asking if you have a different discount struc-

ture.

Mr. Sullivan. If I understand the question, it is this: Are the discounts that McKessons gives determined in any way upon the place or area of shipment?

189 Mr. Dobey. Whether the shipments go to one location, or whether they go to retail stores at a number of locations

owned by one concern.

The Witness. Well, they go to one place, only, to get these discounts. We would not make, in the case of People's, delivery to every store as a practice, and give them the same discount that they get by doing their job themselves out of their own warehouse. So it goes to their warehouse, and where it lands from there, we don't know. They perform that function.

Mr. THURN. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)

Q. With respect to the five percent additional discount on orders over \$1,000, which we have discussed at some length, what is your reason for granting that additional discount?

A. For the reason that that single location performs exactly the same function that a wholesaler would in getting from his

warehouse into a retail outlet.

Q. And on that type of transaction, you feel that the retailer is entitled to something for performing what you consider a whole-sale function?

190 A. That is right. Robinson-Patman felt that that is in line with their bill and approved the practice.

Q. You mean it is permissible under the Robinson-Patman

A. That is correct.

Q. Are you basing that five percent on the fact that you have less freight cost because it all goes to one location?

A. To us?

Q. Yes.

A. Our handling cost and the savings of the distribution. In other words, we don't have the cost of the individual shipments where you absolutely have a higher freight rate, you have express, and there is a dozen things that enter into what the costs are to get merchandise to a given place. So we don't put a requirement on it; if you shipped everything parcel post, well, you swould soon go broke on that basis.

Q. I would like you to direct your attention to the fact that retailers whose purchases aggregated less than \$50 were excluded from—Annex to Item 19 of the answers to the supplemental in-

terrogatories-

Mr. Sullivan. Well, let's see what Interrogatory 19 is, and what the answer is.

Interrogatory 19 of the supplementary interrogatory?
Mr. Dobey. Yes.

Q. It says excluded from the list—this is the list [handing document to the witness]—are retailers whose purchases aggregate less than \$50. And that is the list of customers to whom the manufacturing division made direct retailer sales, whose addresses are believed to be located in the probable trading areas of the wholesalers listed in the Annex to Item 8. That is the answers

in the first set of interrogatories.

This is it, if you would like to see the answers annexed to Item

8 [handing paper to the witness].

A. Which is annexed to what?

Q. Annexed to Item 8 is a list of wholesalers whom you say are not in competition with wholesale drug divisions of Mc-Kesson.

Mr. SULLIVAN. We don't say that.

The WITNESS. We don't say that at all.

Mr. Dobey. Well, whose trading territories do not overlap the trading territory of the wholesale drug division, isn't that true!

Mr. Sullivan. We say that this Annex to Item 8 is a list of the wholesale drug accounts of the manufacturing division who

are located in trading areas, as to which we believe there is

192 , no competition with any wholesale drug division.

Mr. Dobey. That is right. Now, then you list the retailers in Annex to Item 19, who, you say, are located in the probably trading areas of the wholesalers listed in Annex to Item 8.

Mr. Sullivan. Because of their addresses.

Mr. Dobey. Yes. Now, then, you said excluded from the list are retailers whose purchases aggregated less than \$50.

Q. Now, my questions are solely designed to clear at that matter of exclusion from the list of retailers whose purchases aggregate less than \$50. How many retailers approximately would you have on this Annex to Item 19 if you did include retailers whose purchases aggregated less than \$50, direct buying retailers? A. I couldn't answer that, because as a practice, I don't think there are those who make a practice of buying from us in quantities that small.

Q. Well, the thing-

A. When you say how many more, I haven't any idea.

Q. The thing I don't understand, and perhaps you can give me some light on it, is that I thought that your manufacturing division, generally speaking, sold to retailers who purchased in quantity?

A. That is right.

· Q. And yet, here, apparently, there is a list of retailers from the manufacturing division whose purchases were less than

\$50 for an entire year.

193

Mr. Sullivan. Your interrogatory asked for the names of retailers in the period from June 30, 1950, to July 1, 1951, who were located in trading areas of the Annex to Item 8, wholesalers to whom the manufacturing division sold directly in that area. And in answer to that question, we submitted this list, Annex to Item 19, but excluded from it those retailers whose purchases were less than \$50. This was not intended to be the retail accounts of the manufacturing division who are listed regularly by the manufacturing division and to whom the manufacturing division sells regularly.

It includes those, and also other retailers to whom the manufacturing division might have sold in that period of time,

Mr. Dobey. It includes all those who did, in fact, buy during that period of time?

Mr. Sullivan. It includes all retailers who did, in fact, buy from the manufacturing division in that one-year period, excluding only those who who bought in quantities of \$50 or less.

Mr. THURN. Off the record for a moment.

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Dobey, First I asked him how many there were, and he said he didn't know. And my next question was, in substance: Isn't it true that the manufacturing division, generally speaking, sells only to retailers who buy in quantity.

Q. And you said that was true?

A. That is true.

Q. Now-

Mr. THURN. Off the record.
(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Thurn. The answer is that these retail men would often go around with wholesale representatives and there might be products that the wholesale representatives just don't have in stock or don't handle. Some of those people don't handle a full line, they handle particular products, and some of these retailers want other products that wholesalers in the area don't handle.

Mr. Dobey. That would be true, you mean, with respect to sales-

men who were soliciting?

Mr. Thurn. For this other class of salesman, who were detailing it, and they might go in the retail store, and the wholesaler might not have the stock to fill the order.

Mr. Dobey. Why don't you put that on the record?

The WITNESS. I can give you an illustration-

Q. Let me give you a question: Would you explain how it comes about that there are retailers purchasing direct from the manufacturing division, whose purchases in that period aggre-

gated less than \$50 for the entire period?

A. Yes, sir. The answer to that is that in many areas our wholesalers don't carry every item which we manufacture. If a druggist wants those items, and he can't get them from his whole saler, then as an accommodation, we ship them, rather than to have him be without. And that is an actual occurrence that happens to any manufacturer. But we don't solicit that business. That is actually sent to us because the other fellows want it.

Again, we would go broke trying to solicit \$20 a year customers to do business with. We just couldn't do it. But neither can we refuse, when we are interested in getting our products to the public, even if we lose money on an occasion to see that they have it. That is our right, and it is what we should do as an

aggressive manufacturer.

Q. Those orders would be obtained by the second group of salesmen who are not soliciting orders for direct sale?

A. Either that, or it happens this way: That a retailer would order them from his supplier, and when the invoice came in, these are the items he couldn't get, but he wants them, so he sends up to us and says, "We can't get them from the wholesalers," and we know that, so we pack them up and away they go. But they don't get any twenty percent on it.

Q. The salesmen who solicit orders for direct sale by the manufacturing division do not contact retailers whose probable pur-

chases are going to be small?

A. That is right.

Q. I would like to call your attention to Paragraph 4 of the complaint. There we say the defendant is one of the largest

wholesalers of drugstore merchandise in the United States, "operating through more than seventy divisions located in 35 states. It conducts a nationwide business dealing in wholesale drugs, pharmaceutical, surgical and medical supplies, toilet articles and sundry other items customarily sold in drug stores."

And then Paragraph 4 of the answer says, "We admit the allegations in Paragraphs t and 5, with the explanation that the conduct of its business as a wholesaler," and so on-what I am directing your attention to is the implicationperhaps it is more than an implication-from Paragraph 4 of the complaint and your admission in your answer, I get the impression that you are admitting that you conduct a nationwide wholesale drug business. Now, is that true?

Mr. Sullivan. I suggest that perhaps you hold that question for Mr. Nolen, who is more familiar with the operations of the wholesale drug business. Mr, Dewell is simply in charge of the

manufacturing aspects.

Mr. Dobey. All right.

Q. Does the manufacturing division solicit direct retail contracts for sale by the manufacturing division on a nationwide basis?

A. It seems to me the answer to that is yes; if I understand the question correctly, we are definitely national manufacturersinternational.

Q. Approximately how many different drug items are manufactured by McKesson?

A. Well, there is

Mr. Sullivan. The list of those was attached to the interrogatories.

The WITNESS, And there is always this confusion: 198 Do you mean individual products or the sizes?

Q. The list of them, not the sizes.

A. By the time you get into sizes, there are three hundred or four hundred, or more. There are 225 individual items.

Mr. SULLIVAN. But for a more accurate number you can count them.

Mr. Dobey. I am interested only in the approximate number. I am not concerned whether it is 225 or 224.

Q. Now, I call your attention-

Mr. SULLIVAN. May I ask what annex that is?

Mr. Dobey. Annex to Item 9.

Q. I call your attention to a clause on this Annex to Item 9, which says, "Merchandiser, be sure to check Dealer's stocks on all items listed below," which is the list of items [handing document to witness].

In that connection, is a-

A. Yes, "Be sure to check dealer's stocks"-

Q. Is a retailer buying direct from the manufacturing division required to carry a complete line of McKesson products?

A. The requirement is that they do have a full 199 representative line. Now, for me to say that they won't be out of some item sometime would be looking too near to perfection, because we don't work that way on this number

of items.

Q. I wasn't referring to being temporarily out of stock on an item, but are they required to carry a complete line?

A. No; it is not a requirement that they have everything that

we make.

Q. Is there any requirement that they carry any definite per-

centage of the total number of items that you make?

A. No. The requirement is that they buy according to the amounts that—if they bought one item, well, then in the category of the discount schedule, they would get the discount, anyone of 225.

Q Do you ask a direct buying retailer, before you sell him

direct, if he will carry a full line of McKesson products?

A. Well, let me answer it this way: We try very hard to have them carry a full line, but we don't always succeed.

Q. Do you undertake to get a commitment for him to carry a full line?

200 A. Yes, we do.

Q. Are you successful?

A. In some instances only, but there is no premium offered for that.

Q. Is a retailer buying direct from the manufacturing division required to submit to any inventory inspection by a McKesson salesman?

Mr. Sullivan. You mean a regular retailer account?

Mr. Dobey. A retailer buying direct from the manufacturing division, yes.

Mr. SULLIVAN. You are not talking about the \$50 retailer?

Mr. Dobey. No.

Mr. Sullivan. Well, let's confine it then to the retailer you are talking about. Are you talking about the retail accounts to whom the manufacturing division sells regularly and directly!

Mr. Dobey. Yes, that is right.

The WITNESS. And the question was-

Q. Is such a retailer required to submit to an inspection of his stock, of his inventory of McKesson products by one of your salesmen?

A. Is he required to?

201 Q. Yes. A. No.

Q. Is a wholesaler purchasing McKesson products from the manufacturing division required to carry a complete line of Mc-Kesson products?

A. The answer to that is no, that is not a requirement.

Q. Is he required to carry any definite percentage of your total number of products? This is a wholesaler.

A. No.

Q. Or, do you have a set of requirements which a wholesaler must meet in order to buy McKesson products direct from the manufacturing division?

Mr. Ehrhardt. Excuse me, did you say wholesaler?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, I am on wholesalers now.

The WITNESS. Do we have any requirement that they must fulfill in order to buy from us?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

Mr. Sullivan. That is rather a broad question. ment"-he has got to be a wholesaler and he has got to be in good standing.

Q. Well, enumerate the requisites that you have.

A, I think the first answer would be, do we need him in 202 " that community to be sure that our customers get the service; that is the first question we would ask ourselves. Then if we do need him, well, how badly do we need him? From that point it goes on. In other words, do we need him? If we don't need him, all the requirements in the world don't answer the question.

Q. Also, assuming you need him and want him, do you have any

requirements that he must meet before you will take him?

A. No: I think if he is a dealer in good standing in the community, that is normally being sold by other manufacturers, we would probably go along and use that as a measure of whether we would or won't. If he is generally accepted as an account of that character, and who is all right, why, that would be -we would follow the practice of the industry.

Q. I was interested in your statement that the primary ques-

tion is, do you need him.

A. That is right.

Q. How does it come about that you sell to wholesalers, independent wholesalers in the same trading territory as wholesale drug divisions of McKessons?

A. I think that would be answered by the need.

Q. Do you mean that your own wholesale drug division is not sufficient to meet the needs of the area in that respect? 203 A. I think that would be the answer.

Q. What would be the deficiency that would be involved?

A. Well, lack of contact with the retailers. My guess, and this is only a rough guess, right here in this island that we are sitting on, called Manhattan Island and Brooklyn, there is around 3,500 to 4,000 druggists, and we need all of the wholesalers that we have listed here, in order to see that they are serviced. No wholesaler in New York calls on all of them, you can be sure of that. And to what extent, I can't tell you, but none of them call on all of them; it takes all of them to get the solicitation in a market like this, from the east side to the north side, and everywhere else, so we do need them.

Q. Do you mean in particular areas, for example, in Philadelphia; your wholesale drug division could not cover the retailers in that area?

A. Krull down there, as I remember, years ago, covered less than twenty percent of the retailers in the town, so what are you going to do, you are going to sell—it gets back to need, it depends upon our requirement.

Mr. Sullivan. May I ask a question: Does McKesson have a power wholesaler in Philadelphia?

204 Mr. Dobey. Three independent wholesalers.

Q. You don't have a wholesale drug division-

A. No.

Q. What about Pittsburgh?

A. Well, we have a wholesale division there.

Q. And you have an independent wholesaler?

A. That is right.

Q. Does a wholesaler buying McKesson products direct from the manufacturing division buy McKesson products at a lower price than a wholesaler buying McKesson products from a Mc-Kesson wholesale drug division?

A. Let me see if I got that. Does a wholesaler buying direct from us buy for less than they would buy from a wholesale drug

division?

Q. Yes.

A. Whether it be McKesson or any others?

A. No, your own wholesale drug division.

A. They would buy for less, buying direct from us.

Q. Than they would from your wholesale drug division?

A. Yes.

Q. How much less?

A. Let me see. I think the areas where they supply them on the courtesy basis, I think they supply them at 16% from 205 list. And if we sell them direct, we sell them at 25 off list.

Q. Is there any other advantage, to your knowledge, to a wholesaler in buying direct from the manufacturing division rather than buying from one of your whole-sale drug divisions?

A. Well, that answers itself. They are in business for profit, and of course, they take advantage of that situation.

Q. Is there any other advantage that you know of?

A. No: I don't know of any other.

Q. Does a wholesaler buying direct from the manufacturing division get a better price from the manufacturing division than a direct-buying retailer?

A. Yes.

Q. What is the difference!

A. Five percent.

Q. The wholesaler gets five percent above what the directbuying retailer gets?

A. That is right. The direct-retailer buyer who qualifies with a \$1,000 order. Now, let's keep the record straight on that.

Q. Yes. And the wholesaler would give the five percent beyond that?

A. Yes, he would get twenty-five.

Q. Approximately how many manufacturers are there. who make a line of drug products competitive with the McKesson line?

A. Altogether too many.

Q. Well, one would be too many?

A. That is right.

Mr. Sullivan. I don't know what you mean by line; do you mean exactly the same line?

Mr. Dobey. Substantially the same.

Mr. Sullivan. There may be manufacturers, for instance, who manufacture competitive products that are competitive with five or six of the products that McKesson makes.

Mr. Dobey. I understand that. My question is with respect to

the entire line.

The WITNESS. Well, to have an intelligent answer, would take a ream as long as this, to get a definition of what a competitor is, but I would assume that, say a number of products produced under a given roof, would be almost a competitor of ourson any field, if that is the question?

Q. I am not going to a manufacturer producing one particular product that is competitive with your products. I am referring

to a line of products.

A. Well, there are manufacturers that make 2,000; there 207. are manufacturers hat make fifteen. Now, the question is, are we competitors with them! I mean it is a question that you can't answer.

Q. How many would you say that you made?

A. I would say that every drug manufacturer in the country is a competitor of ours.

Q. Roughly, how many are there who carry as complete a line as your company?

A. Well, let's see. There must be ten or fifteen whose lines are

much more complete than ours—at least as complete.

Q. At least as complete, or more complete?

A. And more complete. And then when we get the individual manufacturers, we have products where—and my answer still stands, we are competitive to everybody in the drug industry.

A. That makes one item competitive to yours?

A. Yes; a deodorant, or powder that are nationally competitive or known.

Q. Are there any drug manufacturer competitors who operate their own wholesale drug divisions?

Mr. SULLIVAN. If you know.

208 The Witness. Well, I don't know. It would have to be sectional, and to that point I don't know. I don't know of any national, so the point is I don't know.

Q. You don't know of any national manufacturer who sells

across the nation?

A. That is right. That is another question that Mr. Nolen can answer better than I can.

Mr. Dobey. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)

Q. Do you know of any drug manufacturer, competitors of McKesson, who operate their own wholesale drug divisions and

also sell their products to independent wholesalers?

Mr. Sullivan. I object to that question. It assumes that the manufacturing division of McKesson operates wholesale drug divisions. If you want to, ask the question, do you know of any other company that operates a manufacturing division and also a wholesale division:

Mr. Dobey. I think McKesson-

Mr. STLLIVAN. You said "manufacturing division"—do you know of any other manufacturing division.

Mr. Dobey. No; I said are there any-well, I will preface it

rather than go back to it.

Q. Do you know of any other company, other than 209 McKesson, that operates both a manufacturing division and a wholesale drug division, and sells its manufactured products both through its wholesale drug divisions and through other wholesalers, independent wholesalers.

Mr. THURN. Excuse me, you can in this particular industry?

Mr. Dobey. Yes; the drug manufacturing industry.

Mr. Sullivan. If you know. The Witness. I don't know.

Q. Throughout your answers to the interrogatories, you have referred to the sale of McKesson products by the manufacturing

division of McKesson to the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson. Why do you refer to these intercompany transfers of Mc-Kesson products as sales, when the manufacturing division and the wholesale drug divisions are all part of the same corporation?

Mr. Sullivan. I object to that question. It certainly calls for a conclusion, and it is based on statements that are not correct. You will have to rephrase your question if you want it answered.

Mr. Dobey. Do you mean if he answers the question over your

objection?

Mr. Sullivan. Yes; I'do object.

Mr. Dobey. I think the question is quite clear.

Mr. Sullivan. It is not; you are assuming something, 210 and from that conclusion you ask a question.

Mr. Dobey. Well, isn't it a fact that throughout the inter-

rogatories-

Mr. Sullivan. It is a fact that throughout the interrogatories the reference is made to the fact that the manufacturing division sells to the wholesale division.

Mr. Dobey. Sells McKesson products.

Mr. Sullivan. McKesson products, that is right.

Q. Now, why do you refer to those intercompany transfers. Mr. Sullivan. Now, there, "intercompany transfers," I object to that. Why do you refer to those transactions as sales, if that

is your question.

Mr. Dobey. My question was all right as it is. " Q. Why do you call those sales?

A. Well, that is a matter of interpretation. In my language they are sales.

Q. Why do you define them as sales?

A. Because I don't know of any other word that fits it.

Mr. Sullivan. The question was asked and answered in the interrogatories. You asked, if I remember correctly, in the interrogatories, whether the wholesale drug divisions pay for the merchandise they obtain, and if so, by what methods and means, and you got your answer.

Q. Do the manufacturing division and the wholesale drug divi-

sions maintain separate banking accounts?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know whether each wholesale drug division maintains a separate banking account?

Mr. SULLIVAN. If you know,

The WITNESS. That is a question.

Q. You don't know?

A. I don't know, I wouldn't.

Mr. THURN. I think you do know. You may not realize that,

Mr. Dobey. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

Q. As a director you probably approve opening and closing new bank accounts?

A. Yes; that is right.

Q. When you ship McKesson products to a wholesale drug division, does the wholesale drug division send you a check to pay for the products?

Mr. Sullivan. That question was answered in the

212 interrogatories.

Mr. Dobey. I want to know how the check reads.

The WITNESS, I don't know.

Q. Haven't you ever seen one of the checks from the wholesale drug division, if you get a check?

Mr. Sullivan. The answer to the interrogatories stated that

the check was sent to the home office of the defendant.

Q. I want to know how does the check read, that is my question. How does the check read—pay to the order of whom?

Mr. Sullivan. If he knows. The Witness. I don't know.

Q. You don't know? Is that not simply the case of the company taking money out of one pocket and putting the money in

another pocket?

Mr. Sullivan. That calls for a conclusion. I object to that. Don't answer it.

Mr. Dobey. I think he has already answered it.

Q. Didn't you say no?

Mr. Sullivan. You can draw whatever conclusions you want. Why don't you ask that question of Mr. Nolen.

213 Mr. Dobey. Well, I will, but I thought I could get it from Mr. Dewell. He gets the checks.

Mr. Sullivan. He gets the checks from the wholesale divisions?

Mr. Dobey. Received by the manufacturing-

Mr. SULLIVAN. Where does it say that the interrogatories?

Q. Does the manufacturing division get the checks?

A. You have got the answer here. The procedure is in the answers here, that is exactly how it operates.

Q. Does the manufacturing division get the checks?

Mr. Sullivan. You mean checks from whom?

Mr. Doney. The wholesale drug division.

Mr. Sullivan. The answer is the checks were sent to the home office of the defendant for the reason that after the manufacturing division bills the wholesale drug division, the manufacturing division transfers the accounts receivable to the home office. The manufacturing division, of course, charges the home office for the amount of receivables so transferred to the home office. Proceeding in this manner simplifies the necessity of sending mul-

tiple checks by the various divisions, since there are other charges which the wholesale divisions have to pay to the home office.

Many bills are sent by the manufacturing division to the wholesale divisions, but bills of sales are not customary in the drug industry?

O. Are all McKesson products manufactured at Bridgeport?

A. Let me see. I am trying to think of one exception—there is one exception-with the exception of one item. And that is peroxide, hydrogen. We don't have a plant, it is bottled for us, and it is bottled under our label. That is clearly Food & Drug, so we are distributors of that rather than manufacturers.

Q. From Bridgeport, are McKesson products sold and shipped across state lines to wholesalers and retailers located throughout

the United States?

Mr. Sullivan. That was also answered in the answers to the interrogatories, I believe.

Mr. EHRHARDT. Well; the answer is ves. Mr. Sullivan. Yes; the answer is yes.

Q. Are those interstate shipments a day-to-day occurrence with the manufacturing division?

A. Yes.

(Whereupon, at 12:00 noon, a recess was taken to 1:00 P. M.)

215

AFTERNOON SESSION

FEBRUARY 10, 1955, 1:00 P. M.

WILBUR E. DEWELL, resumed.

Examination by Mr. Dobey (continued):

Q. I inquired as to the number of salesmen for the manufacturing division who solicited direct retail accounts and my recollection is that I did not ask you how many salesmen you had who solicit retail orders for filling by independent wholesalers or by wholesale drug divisions, approximately.

A. Well, around thirty-eight to forty, somewhere.

Q. Thirty-eight or forty? .

A. Yes.

Q. I would like to show you Annex to Item 9, which is a list of McKesson items, and showing Fair Trade prices, and ask you who gets the discounts shown under the heading "Terms" there [handing document to the witness]?

A. These go to retailers.

Q. Those are the discounts to the retailers?

A. That is right.

Q. And are those Fair Trade Prices for the independent wholesalers as well as your own wholesale drug divisions!

A. Well, is that a question of law?

Q. I didn't think so, no. Are those the Fair-

216 A. Those are the published terms.

Q. Published wholesale prices?

A. That is right.

Q. And are those applicable both to your wholesale divisions, and your independent wholesalers?

A. Yes, that is right.

Q. Now, the quantity order five percent additional discount allowed to direct buying retailers is not a part of your Fair Trade price system, or Fair Trade price schedule, is it?

A. (to counsel.) Have you got the full sense of the question?

I don't know that I have it-

Q. Well, I will ask you that again, because

A. Well, the reason I raised the question whether it is a legal question or not is that our direct accounts are not wholesalers, so I am confused on the question.

Mr. SULLIVAN. You mean your direct retailers?

The Witness. Our direct retailers are not wholesalers, so I can't understand what you are—

Q. What I am saying is: These prices here, these discounts apply to your wholesale drug division sales to retailers?

A. That is right.

Q. And to the sales of independent wholesalers?

217 A. That is right.

Q. But the five percent discount, additional five percent to direct retailers on quantity orders over \$1,000, that is allowed only by the manufacturing division?

A. That is right, to the-

Q. To the direct buyers?

A. To the direct buyers where they have their own warehouse.

Q. You do not permit the independent wholesalers to allow that additional five percent discount on sales to retailers, do you?

A. (to counsel.) Is there a ceiling over which Fair Trade is not effective?

Q. I meant in Fair Trade states.

A. Well, I am talking, too, about Fair Trade states.

Mr. Ehrhardt. Well, yes; the answer is yes, your question to me.

The WITNESS. That the Fair Trade does apply, the \$1,000, because that is not a wholesale transaction, that is what I am trying to—that is a retail transaction. And the retailer is Fair-Traded that retail.

Q. I will try to ask it a different way: If an independent wholesaler sells an order in excess of \$1,000 to a retailer, he is required by your Fair Trade agreement to observe those discounts shown on Annex to Item 9, is he not?

A. Well, it would be, if such a thing occurred, but I just don't believe it ever has.

Q. But that is the fact, isn't it, that he would be

A. If it occurred; yes.

Mr. Sullivan. Isn't that all academic; the fact of the matter is that it just doesn't happen?

The WITNESS. Yes; it just doesn't happen.

Q. And you are, therefore, selling to your direct buying accounts at a price below the price which you permit your independent wholesalers to sell to retailers, are; ou not?

Mr. Sullivan. On what accounts?

The WITNESS. That again is a-

Mr. Dobey, Retailers.

Mr. Sullivan. You mean retailers who purchased in quantities of \$1,000 or more?

Q. Retailers who buy direct from the manufacturing division in quantities over \$1,000, you sell to such retailers at prices lower—

A. I gave you the discount at which we sell them, of course.

Q. The answer is yes; isn't it?

A. Yes.

Mr. Sullivar. He has answered that question.

Q. I would like to direct-your attention to the last sentence of Answer 14 to the supplemental interrogatories, which refers to sales of McKesson products by wholesale drug divisions of McKesson to other wholesalers, and it states: "Purchases by these wholesalers from the various wholesale drug divisions were insignificant, and were principally of a courtesy nature to enable the wholesalers to fill demands they had for McKesson products."

Will you explain what you mean by "sales of a courtesy nature"?

A. Well, I think perhaps that question, too, could be answered better by Nolen, because in some areas I remember in the past—I don't know now, and I wouldn't want to be quoted, but off the record, if you want to take it that way, I will give it to you, my opinion on it—

Q. The difficulty is, that is your language, because you supplied the information on those first two sets of interrogatories. Now, if you wish to tell me now that your information came from Mr.

Nolen, I would be glad to reserve my question.

Mr. Stulivan. Well, of necessity, Mr. Dewell was not personally familiar with all the details of the information you requested in your interrogatories, and much of that information had to be obtained from other sources in the company.

The information was obtained and passed on to Mr. Dewell and

was incorporated in the answers.

Now, that particular question that you are asking has to do entirely with the operations of the drug divisions as such, and if I may make a suggestion, I suggest that it be reserved until Mr. Nolen is here.

Q. Did your information on the subject come from Mr. Nolen?

A. As far as I know, yes. This thing is two and a half years

old, or three years old now, and I haven't read it.

Q. I am afraid that I cannot drop the subject entirely, because apart from the interrogatories, I have Exhibit 6, which is a letter which you wrote [handing document to the witness] to wholesalers which purchased McKesson products in your wholesale drug divisions.

A. Being a strictly legal question, on this-

Q. You wrote that letter?

A. I signed this letter and studied it over, and worked it out in accordance with the legal phase that seemed to be available to us under Fair Trade.

Q. In the second paragraph, this letter says, "You have in the past secured your McKesson manufactured products from one of our authorized distributors and in turn have supplied your retail accounts, so that you may continue this practice."

Doesn't your language there, the language of "practice," indicate to you that these wholesalers were purchasing McKesson products from your wholesale drug division on a regular customer

basis?

Mr. Sullivan. I think it is clear from our answers to your interrogatories, that the wholesale drug divisions do sell to other wholesalers.

Q. Isn't it clear, from your language here, that they purchase on a regular customer basis? Do you want to read it [handing document to the witness]?

A. Well, if they purchase at all.

Mr. Sullivan. What constitutes a regular customer?

The Witness. Yes, what constitutes a regular customer? I can't answer that

Q. It is not a mere purchase, is it? It is not a mere courtesy sale, is it?

A. In many cases, I would think so.

Q. Well, what is your definition of a "courtesy sale"!

A. Well, my definition, entirely apart from wholesaling, or any otherwise, is that I have something that I have that a customer needs, and I let him have it or no as I decide, out of courtesy.

Q. You mean it is considered a part of the definition that the

wholesaler is not buying the item for stock purposes?

A. That is what I would indicate it as, yes; that he picked it up and sent it out immediately without even putting it in his own stock.

Q. Well, don't you think that your language here, referring to the practice of securing McKesson manufactured products "from one of our authorized distributors" indicates here that-

A. I have no-

Mr. Sullivan. Don't you think, Mr. Dobey, that the document speaks for itself? It says: "You have in the past secured your McKesson manufactured products from one of our authorized distributors, and in turn, have supplied your retail accounts, so that you may continue this practice," and the practice referred to obviously is the practice in the past of securing McKesson manu-

factured products from one of the authorized distributors.

223 Q. Isn't it the implication-

Mr. Sullivan. I object to any question with respect to an implication.

Mr. Dobey. His implication.

Mr. Sullivan. The exhibit speaks for itself.

Q. Was it not your intention to refer to the practice of wholesalers buying McKesson products for stock purposes from whole-

sale drug divisions?

Mr. Sullivan. I object to that question. It speaks for itself. It says, "You have it he past secured McKesson manufactured products from one of our authorized distributors," and it goes on to say, "So that you may continue this practice," meaning, of course, the practice of securing McKesson manufactured products from an authorized distributor. That does not mean that they would secure it for stock purposes or that they secure it solely for the purpose of filling an order already obtained, or for the purpose of filling anticipated orders. .

The WITNESS. Or using it for their own personal use.

Mr. Sullivan. For whatever purpose they buy, and have bought from an authorized distributor, the letter was simply directed to enable him to continue that practice, whatever their purpose might be,

Q. Was your information as to the practice obtained from Mr.

Nolen or from the wholesale drug divisions?

A. Well, I don't remember that. I actually don't remember.

Q. Was it based on information obtained from someone else other than your own knowledge?

Mr. Sullivan. Yes: of course it was.

Mr. Doney. I asked him. I didn't ask you.

The Witness. If you ask me where the source of information was, I don't know.

Q. You don't remember?

A. That is the truth.

Q. Do you remember that it was not based on your own per-

sonal knowledge?

A. Based on my personal knowledge that it might have happened once or many times, I don't know. I want to be as cooperative as I can, but I am simply not putting my foot in my mouth here on things—the letter, as I see it, is subject to interpretation by judges and by attorneys, and it stands for itself.

Q. I want your interpretation. You wrote it.

A. I wrote it. You can interpret it. There it is, you can interpret it as you see fit.

Q. Well, when you refer to a wholesaler sale as being principally of a courtesy nature, what would be the nature of those sales that were not of a courtesy nature? Would it be for stock purposes?

A. That could be for stock, or for a demand item, where they had to have it to fill an order. It has all the meanings that all the drug industry has. If you know the definition of that, you have

got your answer.

Q. In the last sentence of Answer 14 to the supplemental interrogatories, you state that, "Purchases of McKesson products by other wholesalers from the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson were quite insignificant."

Mr. Dobey. Have you found that, Mr. Sullivan?

Mr. Sullivan. Yes.

Q. Now, in the third sentence of Answer 18 of the supplemental interrogatories, you say: "It is believed that for any year, including the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952, the aggregate sales by the wholesale drug divisions to other wholesalers, would amount to about \$200,000."

Now, I ask you if you consider \$200,000 worth of sales of Mc-Kesson products as insignificant; and if you do, why do you

consider them insignificant?

A. Maybe the word was

Mr. Sullivan. Wait a minute, you are asking the question out of context. It was stated that the purchases by the wholesale divisions—the purchases by other wholesalers from the various wholesale divisions were insignificant, and were principally of a courtesy nature to enable wholesalers to

salers from the various wholesale divisions were insignificant, and were principally of a courtesy nature to enable wholesalers to fill demands they had for McKesson products. And then it was stated in Answer, 18 that the amount for all the wholesale divisions amounted to around \$200,000 in any year.

Now, how you want to interpret the word "insignificant" depends upon-

Mr. Dobey. Depends upon factors-

Mr. Thurn. The word "insignificant" applies only to each wholesaler, not to the aggregate, in the context of the inter-

rogatory.

Mr. Dobey. Well, you do not—I got the contrary impression, there, Mr. Thurn. But if that is your statement of what it intended to imply, I will accept that.

Mr. THURN. Well, the interrogatory speaks for itself.

Mr. Sullivan. As worded in the interrogatory, the answer is correct; the answer as worded indicates that the purchases were insignificant, and that is all we pointed out.

Q. Well, you don't consider the aggregate of \$200,000

worth of sales as insignificant, do you?

A. I don't even know what "insignificant" means, now, by this time.

Q. Do you consider \$200,000 worth of-

A. I would consider, if I were a manufacturer and I had only \$200,000 worth of manufacturing the business in the United States, I would get out. And that is what the whole thing refers to, because in a sense, a manufacturer couldn't live with a \$200,000 volume. So you can call it insignificant, or unimportant, or whatever word you want to use, but no manufacturer would stay in business.

Q. But it is not to be sneezed at.

* A. Well, it isn't a thing I would go out and work for. Maybe that answers it.

Q. What is the source of your belief that sales of this character

would amount to about \$200,000 for the period in question?

A. I have forgotten where we turned to to get our information at that time. This is back in—this went back to—I would have to have time to look it up to see who I contacted to bring it up to date.

Mr. Sullivan. I think it is quite obvious that the information upon which that was based was obtained from the wholesale drug divisions who submitted their figures as to the sales they made to other wholesalers in that one-year period.

The WITNESS, Well, he asked who gave it to me, that is, an

individual.

Mr. Sullivan. Do you mean the person who passed it on to him?

Mr. Dobey. Where he got it, the source.

Mr. Sullivan. If I may be permitted to answer that question, it was obtained from information derived from the wholesale divisions.

Q. Does McKesson impose any restriction upon the territory within which are the retailers to whom independent wholesalers of McKesson products may sell such products?

A. No; we do not.

- Q. Do you ask independent wholesalers of McKesson products to refrain from soliciting orders from retailers to whom you sell direct?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you request independent wholesalers of McKesson products to refrain from soliciting orders for McKesson products from any particular retailer or any particular class of retailers?

A. No.

Q. Now, I direct your attention to Exhibit 2 [handing document to the witness], and I also direct your attention to Exhibit 6 [handing document to the witness], and I believe the interrogatories show—the answer to the interrogatories shows that Exhibit 2 was sent to those wholesalers to whom the manufacturing division sold direct?

A. That is correct.

Q. And Exhibit 6 was sent by the manufacturing division to those wholesalers who purchased McKesson products from other wholesalers?

A. That is right.

Q. Now, there is a time lag there between—Exhibit 2 went out on June 1st, and Exhibit 6 went out on June 8th, and what was

responsible for the time lag on those two exhibits?

A. My answer to that is that this was a very important subject at the time, this whole Fair Trade subject, and we employed what we thought was the best counsel in the country to help us, to advise us what to do in something that we believed in, Fair Trade, so this is a much more intelligent surmise than mine.

Q. Well, at the time you sent out Exhibit 2, is it correct that you did not know the wholesalers to whom the wholesale drug

divisions were selling McKesson products?

30° A. That is true, we did not know.

Q. The manufacturing divisions did not know, you did not know!

A. That is correct, we did not know.

Q. Now, at or about the time that you sent out Exhibit 2—that is the first one—the one you sent to the wholesalers who purchased from the manufacturing division, did you request Mr. Nolen or someone at the wholesale drug divisions to send you a list of the wholesalers to whom the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson sold McKesson products?

A. Yes. We didn't have it, and we couldn't send this out unless we got it from some source. I don't know whether it was Nolen—this was all sent out from our office, and we requested the list because that list was necessary, at the advice of our counsel, that

they should be covered.

So, the only way we could cover them was to get some evidence to make the list.

Q. At the time you sent out the first exhibit, Exhibit 2, did you know that the wholesale drug divisions also sold McKesson products to other wholesalers?

A. Yes, I did; but I didn't know who they were.

Q. Now, who made the decision to adopt the form of manufacturer-wholesaler Fair Trade Agreement which is attached as Exhibit A to the first set of interrogatories?

A. Our counsel.

Q. What officer of the company, or officers of the company, approved that form?

A. This form, after being passed on by the counsel?

Q. Yes.

A. I did.

Q. You did?

A. Yes. Counsel said, "This is it, and as near as we can advise you how to keep Fair Trade effective on your products."

Q. Did you discuss the matter with Mr. Nolen before you adopted that form?

A No; we did not.

Q. Did he participate in the decision to use that form?

A. I don't know; not with me, he didn't.

Q. Before you adopted the form, had the form already been

approved by the president of the company?

A. The president of the company and our counsel and myself worked this out and moved as fast as we could in the protection of our rights under Fair Trade at that time.

Q. To try to maintain Fair Trade prices after the Schegmann

decision.

232 I believe you may have answered this question: Referring to Exhibit 5, the second sentence [handing document to the witness]: "Send Mr. Dewell at Bridgeport a list of wholesalers."

A. That is right.

Q. Did you request Mr. Nolen to furnish you with that list?

A. Well, we requested the wholesale division to supply it.

Q. Did Exhibit A, manufacturer-wholesaler Fair Trade agreement represent the form of manufacturer-wholesaler Fair Trade agreement in effect on May 2, 1952, when the complaint in this case was filed?

A. I don't know.

Mr. Doney. Would counsel be willing to stipulate that it was?
Mr. Sullivan. I will stipulate that Exhibit A was the form of
manufacturer-wholesaler Fair Trade agreement used at the time
this action was common ed.

Q. Now, my next question is: Was this form, including Paragraph 3, still in effect at the time the answer was filed on September 6, 1952!

A. I don't know.

Mr. SULLIVAN. Nean't answer that.

233 Mr. Dobey. Well, Mr. Sullivan, maybe of I direct your attention to Paragraphs 22 and 23 of the answer—

Mr. Sullivan. Wait until I get the answer.

Mr. Dobey. You call attention to the enactment of the McGuire Act, and you point out that this act contained a new provision permitting agreements "requiring the vendee to enter into contracts or agreements prescribing minimum or stipulated prices."

Does that help you to recall whether Exhibit A was in effect

at the time the answer was filed?

Mr. Sullivan. Well, I will say this: Exhibit A was replaced as a result of and shortly after the enactment of the McGuire Act. Now, if this Exhibit A form of contract was still in existence when the answer was filed, it was certainly in the process of being replaced.

Mr. Dobey. Your answers are not clear enough to help me. Maybe F can ask a few more questions, and then maybe we can

leave it as a stipulation.

Q. When was Paragraph 3 of Exhibit A deleted from your

manufacturer-wholesaler form of Fair Trade contract?

Mr. Sullivan. Just a minute, please. I think Mr. Ehrhardt submitted an affidavit in opposition to your motion for summary judgment.

234 Mr. Dobey. Yes, but he didn't state at what date the new

form of contract was employed by McKesson.

Mr. Ehrhardt. That certainly can be ascertained.

Mr. Sullivan. Yes, that can be ascertained. I think it is quite clear that with the enactment of the McGuire Act, this third paragraph of the Exhibit A contract became unnecessary.

Mr. Doney. Well, I am afraid it is not quite clear.

Mr. Sullivan. And new contracts were either in the process of being prepared or were prepared shortly after that.

Mr. Ehrhardt. There is something right in the papers—

- Mr. Dobey. On the form which is attached to Mr. Ehrhardt's affidavit, there is the notation, "Form W-4/53." That is the new form.
- Q. Does this No. 4/53, up here at the top, does that indicate the date that form was adopted [handing document to the witness]?

A. Form W-4/53. That would be

Q. Does that indicate the date that form was adopted?

A. I don't know. [To Counsel.] Do you know?

235 Mr. Ehrhardt. Oh, I think so. Now, whether there was one before that, we would have to check. 4/53, that is April '53. What is the date this form went out!

Mr. Dobey. Well, would you mind checking, and then we will

put the exact date in the record.

Mr. Ehrhardt. I think it is a question of fact. Let's stipulate that it is a question of fact.

Mr. Dobey. When we examine Mr. Nolen-

Mr. Ehrhardt. Well, I don't think it is for Mr. Nolen-this is a Bridgeport thing. Let's get that—that would be the date when the McGuire Act contract went out.

Mr. Dobey. The date you eliminated Paragraph 3?

Mr. EHRHARDT. Yes.

Q. Now, who made the decision to eliminate Paragraph 3 from this form of contract, from the manufacturer-wholesaler Fair Trade contract?

A. It was made on the advice of counsel. We just answered it. It seems to me that the McGuire Act changed the whole legal aspect of it, and things were moving so fast we just couldn't do anything else but follow the advice of counsel. And I hope we had good counsel.

Q. At the time you did decide to eliminate that Paragraph 3, you of course knew that this lawsuit was pending,

- did vou not?

A. I don't remember that. I don't remember whether the lawsuit-against-

Q. Against McKesson.

Mr. Sullivan. We will stipulate that at the time the change was made, this lawsuit was pending.

Mr. Dobey. To the knowledge of the-

Mr. Sullivan. Well, naturally it was to the knowledge of Mc-Kesson & Robbins.

Are you trying to create the inference, however, that it was changed because the suit was pending! If you are trying to create that, I will tell you no, that it wasn't changed because of the pendency of this law suit.

Mr. Dobey. You are not on the witness stand, so I will ask some

questions of Mr. Dewell.

Q. At the time Paragraph 3 was eliminated, you knew that the government's suit against McKesson was pending in the courts, did you not?

A. No; I did not.

Q. You did not?

A. No; as I recall now, no; I didn't associate the two in any way, shape, or form.

Q. Did you know that a suit had been filed against

237McKesson ! A. I don't know that I did, actually, no.

Q. Was the elimination of Paragraph 3 first approved by the president of the company?

A. It was approved by counsel.

Q. Before you approved it, was it approved by the president of the company?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Prior to eliminating Paragraph 3, did you discuss the effect of the elimination of that paragraph on this suit against McKesson with any officer of McKesson?

Mr. Sullivan. What was that in reference to suit?

Mr. Doney. Did he discuss the effect of the elimination of this paragraph on this suit with any office of McKesson?

The WITNESS. No, I did not.

Mr. Sullivan. You mean Paragraph 3 of the contract involved in this suit?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

Q. Has McKesson brought any suits to enforce Fair Trade Prices, suits against retailers or wholesalers who were cutting the Fair Trade Prices?

A. I don't recall any.

238 Q. Are you aware that the courts of Florida and Michigan have held that Fair Trade Laws are valid as to signers, but unconstitutional as to non-signers?

Mr. Sullivan. I object to that; that is a legal question, and calls for a legal conclusion. As to what the courts of the State of

Florida have decided is something-

Mr. Dobey. Well, it goes to the question of the mootness of this provision because you have got states in which you cannot get anybody except signers, so you can't contend that you drop Paragraph 3, because now in the McGuire Act/we can get them as signers, because you can't get a lot of them as nonsigners.

Mr. Sullivan. But your question is directed as to whether or not the Fair Trade statutes of certain states allow certain things

to belone.

Mr. Dobey. I am asking him as to what his knowledge of the matters is.

The WITNESS. Well, my knowledge is limited from the legal angle.

Q. Are you aware that there are states in which you can-

A. Not Fair Trade.

Q. (Continuing.) You can Fair Trade as to signers, but not as to nonsigners?

239 A. No; I don't think those states

Q. Well, de you know that there are such states?

A. I know there are states where there is no Fair Trade, which are Missouri and Texas.

Q. Do you know that there are now states where you can legally Fair Trade as to people who sign an agreement, but you can't ·Fair Trade to nonsigners?

Mr. SULLIVAN. Assuming there are such states.

The WITNESS, I don't know.

Q. You don't know?

A. If you asked me those states, I couldn't enumerate them.

Q. In deciding to remove Paragraph 3 from your manufacturerwholesaler Fair Trade agreements, were you influenced to any extent by the fact that this lawsuit was pending?

A. To the best of my memory, no.

Q. In your answers to the interrogatories, you stated that you discontinued Fair-Trading in Georgia, following the decision of the Supreme Court in Grayson-Robinson Stores, Inc. v. Oneida, which was decided on February 24, 1953. On January 6, 1954, a new Fair Trade law became effective in Georgia. After January 6, 1954, did you resume Fair-Trading of your products in Georgia?

Mr. SULLIVAN. If you know. The WITNESS. I don't know.

Mr. Thurn. Mr. Dobey, before you ask your next question, could you suspend for a few minutes; I have to make a phore call.

Mr. Dobey. Surely. (Short recess taken.)

Q. Did you still Fair-Trade your products in Michigan?

Mr. Sullivan. Mr. Dobey, we have stated in our answers to the interrogatories that McKesson & Robinson Fair Trades in every state where Fair Trade is permissible, in the manner and to the extent where Fair Trade is permitted. Does that answer your question?

Mr. Dobey. No; the question is "Are you?", and "To what

extent?"

The WITNESS. I don't know.

Q. You don't know whether you Fair Trade your products in Michigan?

A. I would state that we do if the law permits us to.

Q. Well, that does not answer my question. I have the same question with respect to Florida, Utah, and Nebraska.

A. I can't answer that.

Mr. Sullivan, May I direct your attention to the provisions of the contracts themselves, which provide that Fair Trade agreements apply to each and every sale, to the extent that the agreement is la vful under the Fair Trade Act of the state in which the sale is made.

Mr. Dobey. That is a nice clause, but that still does not answer the question of what you are doing in any of these states.

Mr. Sullivan. Are you inferring that we may be Fair-Trading

contrary to the laws of these states?

Mr. Dobey. You may say the law is one thing after you have a lower court decision, and you have got nonsigners and signers. I don't know what you are doing in each state. But this witness cannot answer that question, so we will have to undertake to get the information another way.

Mr. Sullivan. I question the relevance of the whole line of inquiry. That is not the theory upon which your complaint is based, that we are violating the Fair Trade laws of any state. The theory of your complaint is that we are violating the Sherman.

Act in that we do not come under the exceptions provided.

by the Tydings-Miller Act. That is the issue before this court. The issue before this court has nothing to do with whether we may or may not be violating the laws of any state, but we will take that up at the appropriate time,

Mr. Dobey. We would like to get that information, and I sug-

gest that you consider it.

Mr. SULLIVAN. We will think about it.

Mr. THURN. What states are those?

Mr. Dobey. Florida, Michigan, Georgia, Utah, Nebraska. And in each state would be the question of whether you are Fair-Trading as to both signers and nonsigners, and in each state the question of when you quit Fair-Trading as to either signers or

nonsigners, or both,

Q. I would like to direct your attention to the last sentence of Exhibit 7, which is a letter you wrote to wholesalers who purchase direct from the manufacturing division, and you conclude the letter by saying, "We wish again to emphasize the opportunities which you now have to make sales of our merchandise based on the dealer gross profit protected as it is by Fair Trade agreements."

Now, the Fair Trade agreements you referred to at that time were these manufacturer-wholesaler form of agree-

ment, Exhibit A?

A. No; it was the retailer Fair Trade. We are talking about the gross profit to the retailers, that was protected by the retailer Fair Trade prices.

Q. You are referring to the retail dealers Fair Trade?

A. That is right.

243

Q. Well, your letter is to wholesalers.

A. I know, but they sell to the retailers. That was a very popular subject at that time.

Q. You are not referring to the wholesalers' profit?

A. No, to the retailers' profit.

- Q. The wholesalers' profit is protected by your wholesaler Fair Trade agreements, too, isn't it, in that other wholesalers can't, undercut?
 - A. No; that is not what this letter is about.
- Q. But my last statement is true, is it not, that wholesalers' profit is protected—the independent wholesalers' profit is protected by the Fair Trade agreements, in that some other wholesaler cannot undercut?

A. That is the result.

Q. And when you enter into those Fair Trade agreements, you, also protect your own wholesale drug divisions from being undercut by the independent wholesalers, do you not?

A. I would assume that it would have that effect, yes,

Q. At the same time, are you not protecting the manufacturing division on its sales direct to retailers, by requiring minimum prices at which the independent wholesaler can sell?

A. If that is the intent of Fair Trade, that is what we do.

Q. I am very much interested in this point that you allow direct retailers a five percent discount on quantity orders of \$1,000 or over, which the independent wholesaler cannot allow under his Fair Trade agreement. With respect to those Fair Trade agreements with the wholesalers, are you not also protecting the manufacturing division from competition on its direct sales to retailers, particularly from the independent wholesalers?

Mr. Sullivan. Wait a minute. I am trying to get—that is a big, long-that assumes facts which are not in existence. The manufacturing division sells direct to certain retailers, and to those retailers to whom it sells directly and who purchase in

quantity in excess of \$1,000, the manufacturing division allows an additional five percent discount. But you are basing your question on the assumption that these retailers would have purchased from some wholesaler rather than from the

manufacturing division.

Q. The fact is that if they do purchase from a wholesaler, the wholesaler is prohibited by his agreement with you from granting them any such discount?

Mr. Sullivan. The fact is that they don't purchase from a

wholesaler, if you want the facts.

Q. And one of the reasons is that they get that extra five percent discount, is it not?

Mr. Sullivan. That doesn't necessarily follow.

Q. Isn't that one of the reasons why the retailer will buy direct from the manufacturing division, to get the five percent discount?

A. Well, I can only answer it this way: I don't know what prompts you to make a purchase when you go in the store."

Q. The price is a factor, isn't it?

A. I don't know, I don't know that it is or not. If you paid \$10 for a prescription, that couldn't be the price, so I can't read the mind of a customer.

Q. If you were a retailer and could buy direct at five percent

246 A. I don't think it follows at all.

Q. (continuing.) From the manufacturing division of McKesson—

A. I may be six weeks away from my source of supply; then it

wouldn't be worth five percent for me to lose my sales.

Mr. Thurn. Mr. Dobey, there is a legal question here. You have asked Mr. Dewell questions dealing with what could be done under this wholesale Fair Trade contract, whether wholesalers wouldn't be prevented from making these sales. We really think that is a legal conclusion, and that you have asked him to make a legal conclusion as to the effect of those contracts.

Actually, I think if you got into it, you would find that these were never intended to cover sales above \$1,000, that, in fact, wholesalers don't make sales above \$1,000, that, in fact, that determination has never been made by the company, because the issue has not been faced, and that I think that we might advise them, in that instance, that we do not believe, in view of the intention, and in view of the published price of \$1,000, that the

contract covers that transaction, and that Mr. Dewell is not

247 a lawyer and qualified to answer that.

Mr. Dobey. It is true, is it not, that the discount which the sellers publish, the net wholesale prices, which the independent wholesaler is required to follow, do not contain any provision for an additional five percent discount on orders over \$1,000, which the manufacturing division allows? There is no dispute about that, is there?

Mr. Thurn. There is no dispute about the fact that it does not

contain an express provision.

Mr. Sullivan. The reason it does not contain an express provision is that such sales are not made at the wholesale level.

Mr. Dobey. Of course one follows another. As long as you are allowing an extra five percent discount to a retailer, it would be foolish for the retailer to go to a wholesaler.

Mr. Thurn. This is a two-ended thing, too. Your wholesaler just does not have the room to make this type of sale, as a practical matter.

Mr. Dobey. Are you telling me that none of these independent wholesalers make any sales or could make sales of McKesson products for orders in excess of \$1,000?

The WITNESS. I doubt it very much.

Q. That is where the five percent applies; it applies to the order!

A. That is right.

Mr. Thuan. There is a question whether they could do it. This is Mr. Nolen's field, on what the wholesaler could do—

Mr. Dobey. You mean what the independent wholesalers could

do?

Mr. Thurn. No; he knows the wholesaling end of the business.

Mr. Ehrhardt. I think as a practical matter, they couldn't. They couldn't have enough merchandise in the warehouse.

Q. What area of solicitation, what geographical area do your

salesmen of the manufacturing division-

A. I have given you that this morning.

Q. I am asking now with respect to the salesmen who are soliciting, not for direct accounts, but for the wholesale drug divisions.

A. All over the United States.

Mr. Sullivan. You say for the wholesale drug divisions; you mean for all wholesalers?

.Q. All wholesalers, that is right.

A. All wholesalers, not just any segment of it.

Q. They cover the entire United States?

A. That is right.

Mr. Dobey. Those are all the questions I have.

(Short recess taken.)

Mr. Sullivan. I am afraid we have reviewed the field and boiled our cross-examination down to about one or two questions.

By Mr. SULLIVAN:

Q. Mr. Dewell, isn't it a fact that McKesson never contemplated its wholesale Fair Trade prices to apply to any wholesaler who might obtain an order for McKesson products in excess of \$1,000?

A. That is correct.

Q. Have you ever heard of a retailer purchasing in excess of \$1,000 of McKesson products from any wholesaler?

A. No; I have not.

Mr. SULLIVAN. That is all.

By Mr. Dobey:

Q. Did you not state that your own wholesale drug divisions would not grant or could not grant that five percent discount to a retailer?

Mr. Sullivan. He stated that they would not because they

never were faced with the problem.

250 The Witness. That type of order just does not exist.

122 UNITED STATES VS. MCKESSON AND ROBBINS, INC.

Q. Don't your wholesale drug division observe the same Fair Trade prices that the independent wholesalers observe?

A. That is right.

Q. Do you ever allow that list-

Mr. Dobey (to Mr. Sullivan). This may be more in the nature of new matter rather than following your cross examination—

Q. (Continuing.) That five percent discount to direct buying retailers on an order that is less than \$1,000?

Mr. Sullivan. I object to that question as not proper redirect examination. But if the witness can answer it, I—

The WITNESS. I am not sure that I got it—

Q. What I mean is, in selling direct to retailers, do you invariably insist upon the order being in the amount of \$1,000 or over before allowing the five percent?

A. Before allowing the full discount, that is right, on the direct.

basis?

Q. Yes; you insist on it?

A. Yes, that is right.

Q. Does that \$1,000 order have to go to one location?

251 A. That we have answered before.

Mr. Sullivan. That has been answered before.

Q. The answer is yes?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you ever advised the independent wholesaler of Mc-Kesson products that their Fair Trade agreements do not apply to sales to retailers where the order is in excess of \$1,000?

A. No.

Mr. EHRHARDT. What was the answer?

Мг. Довеу. "No."

Q. Have you ever given the independent wholesalers any instructions as to what their prices should be on any sales other than the Fair Trade prices which appear in the Annex to Item 9?

A. Oh, this schedule here, in fact, contains what we gave them

to sell from.

• Q. And that is all you have ever given them, what is contained in the Annex to Item 9?

A. That is correct.

By Mr. SULLIVAN:

Q. Isn't it a fact, Mr. Dewell, that all of your wholesale customers are aware of your practices of selling direct to retailers?

to the knowledge of his wholesale customers, unless——Mr. Sullivan. I will rephrase the question.

Mr. Dobey (continuing). Unless he sent them some instructions?

Q. Do you know of your own knowledge whether the wholesalers are aware of the prices and the price discount granted to the various groups of purchasers by the manufacturing division?

Mr. Dobey. I object that unless you lay the foundation to show some basis as to that the source of his knowledge on that subject would be.

Q. Would you answer the question, please?

A. The answer would be "yes," to your question."

Mr. Sullivan. I have no further questions.

By Mr. Dobey:

Q. Do I understand you to say that you know that there are independent wholesalers who are aware that McKesson gives the five percent discount to direct buying retailers?

A. Do I know of-

Q. Was that the substance of your previous testimony: That you do know that there are independent wholesalers who are aware of your practice of giving this extra five percent discount to direct buying retailers?

A. I would be amazed if they did not know.

Q. That doesn't answer my question. Do you know?

A. Of one? Yes.

Q. Which one?

A. I can't remember just now, but I know of one.

Q. Do you know any others?

A. No.

Q. You cannot remember the name of one?

A. No.

- Q. What is the basis for your statement that you know that this one knows about it?
- A. Well, let me—I will make a general statement: The wholesale drug business generally does not sell the type of retailers that we are talking about. I am not talking about anything other than the top-flight chain drug companies of this country, and every manufacturer—

Q. Sells them.direct ?

A. Sells them direct, pretty nearly every one, pretty nearly a hundred percent, and they also buy at a better price, and that is generally known by anybody who knows anything—

Q. In the industry?

A. (Continuing.) In the industry.

254 . Q. Isn't it true that the other drug manufacturers-

A. I don't know that they-

Q. (Continuing.) Also allow an extra five percent discount to retailers?

A. I don't know what they do, it may be more or it may be less.

Q. Isn't it the general understanding in the industry that they do get an extra five percent if they buy direct?

A. Well, it is the accepted theory that that is a true statement.

Q. In the industry?

A. That is right.

corrections.

And you would expect the independent wholesaler to know that?

A. I would expect them to know that, or else they couldn't run their business.

Q. Why would they need to know that in order to run their business?

A. Well, I would assume that it would be necessary for them to know what went on in the industry in which they are engaged.

Mr. Dobey. I have no other questions.

255 Mr. Sullivan. That concludes Mr. Dewell's deposition now. I assume that when the original transcript is prepared, it will be sent to us to be forwarded to Mr. Dewell for any

Mr. Dobey. We may as well have this discussion off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Sultivan. Signing of the deposition is waived, with the understanding that after we review our copy, if we have any corrections to make, we will note those corrections on our copy and advise you of them, so that you can note the corrections on your copy and also on the original.

Mr. Dobey. All right.

Mr. Sullivan. All objections as to relevancy, competency and materiality are reserved to the trial.

(Whereupon, the examination in the above-entitled matter was adjourned sine die.)

256 United States v. McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated

List of Corrections To Be Made in Mr. Dewell's Deposition

p. 19, 1. 9-last word should read "premises,"

p. 22, 1. 2 from bottom-delete "At the time."

p. 23, 1. 4 from bottom-change "hasn't" to "has."

p. 33, 1. 8—next to last word should read "account"; 1. 11, last word should read "account."

p. 34, 1. 13—fourth word should read "account"; 1. 17, sixth word should read "business."

p. 36, 1. 6 from bottom-"nee" should read "net."

p. 53, 1. 6-last word should read "accounts."

p. 57, 1. 7 from bottom-first word should read "accounts."

p. 62, 1. 15-last three "ofs" should be changed to "off."

p. 65, 1. 3 from bottom-"contracts" should read "accounts."

p. 67, 1. 13—change "contracts" to "accounts."

p. 107, last line-add "judgment" after "summary."

p. 114, 1. 11-delete "signed" and add an "s" to "Trade."

p. 117, 1. 4 from bottom-change "intent" to "result."

p. 421, 1. 1-delete "and."

258 United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Deposition of Herman C. Nolen

Filed May 16, 1955

[Title omitted.]

Examination of Defendant by HERMAN C. NOLEN, taken on behalf of plaintiff on Thursday, March 24, 1955, at 9:45 A. M., at the United States Court House, Foley Square, New York, New York, pursuant to notice, before Marvin P. Birnbaum, Notary Public in and for the State of New York.

APPEARANCES

Trial Attorneys for the Government: Allen Doby, Esq., John Hughes, Esq., Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downy (20 Pine Street, New York, N. Y.), Attorneys for Defendant, By John P. McGrath, Esq., Denis B. Sullivan, Esq., and Lawrence C. Ehrhardt, Esq., of Counsel.

259 HERMAN C. Nolen, called as a witness, having been first aduly sworn by Marvin P. Birnbaum, a Notary Public of the State of New York, testified as follows:

Examination by Mr. Dobey:

Q. Would you state your name, please?

A. Herman C. Nolen.

Q. What is your position with the McKesson organization?

A. I an an executive vice president in charge of drug merchandising.

Q. Are you head of the wholesale drug division?

A. Just the drug merchandising as such.

Q. You are excluding the liquor wholesaling?

A. Well, I am excluding not only liquor, but the operations as such.

Q. Would you explain?

A. Surely, my field has to do with the buying and selling of merchandise; the handling of personnel in the warehouses, and labor and operation standards and so forth, would be outside of my jurisdiction.

For instance, I would not have anything to do with negotiating a labor contract; or hiring warehouse employees, and things of

that kind.

Q. That is, you are not in charge of the actual operation of the warehouses?

A. That is right.

Q. How long have you held that position with the McKesson

organization?

A. I believe I was made executive vice president in the latter part of October 1953. I think that was when there was a reorganization.

Q. Are you a member of the board of directors?

A. Yes; I am.

Q. What was your position before you became executive vice president?

A. I was just vice president. I think—now, I am not sure about the title, because that changes from time to time—but I think vice president in charge of drug merchandising.

Q. How long had you held that position approximately?

A. These titles are a little hard to remember, but approximately since 1950, and prior to that time, I was vice president in charge of drug buying.

Q. Do you have with you Item 1 of the subpoena duces tecum, a copy of the seller's published net wholesale prices for McKesson

products in effect on May 27, 1952?

A. I don't know.

261 Mr. McGrath: We will produce it. The witness stands mute.

Mr. Sullivan. I produce this price list [handing document to Mr. Dobey].

Mr. Dobey. This is the same as Annex to Ivem 9 of our first set of interrogatories; is it not, Mr. Sullivan?

Mr. Sullivan. What is the date of that?

Mr. Dobey, This is revised October 1st.

Mr. SULLIVAN. Yes.

Mr. Dobey. First, would you mark this document as Exhibit

(Photostat marked "Exhibit G8" for identification, as of this date.)

Q. My question is: Under the terms, it says, "Line extensions of less than \$4 in original shipper indicated" with an asterisk; what does that mean?

A. Well, I think it requires two definitions, one of a "line extension," and the other of "a shipper." A line extension is an order The value of the line extension is computed by taking the unit price of the item times the number of items.

Q. The same item?

A. The same item. Are you clear on that!

262 Q. Yes.

A. There is a shipper?

Q. It says "an original shipper."

A. That is a shipping case; that is a packing. That is what the merchandise is shipped in. For instance, some merchandise is shipped in a shipping container and packed six to a case; some maybe twelve to a case; some may be a gross to a case, and that would be a shipping container, where you can ship-what we would call a shipping case.

Q. And if the item is an item that is marked with an asterisk, and the buyer buys less than \$4 of that item, of that single item, but it is in the original shipper, he gets ten percent off list, is that

right?

A. It has to be \$4, as I understand. Read that to me again.

Mr. McGrath. Read it yourself. It is right there under terms, the first line.

The WITNESS. It means that the value would have to be more than \$4 of that line extension before the discount would apply. If it were under \$4, it would not be subject to that discount.

Q. Look at the next line, Mr. Nolen. That says, "Line extension of \$4 or over, ten percent off list."

A. This one here is so blurred I can't read it.

Q. Take this one [handing document to the witness].

A. I see, "Line extensions of less than \$4 in original shipping container." Any shipping container then, would be subject to the ten percent discount, and on the next line, it says that any line extension of \$4 or more would be subject to the ten percent. discount.

Q. Whether it was in the same shipping container or not?

A. That is right.

Q. Did those discounts apply to the column headed "List"? He gets ten percent off list? Does that apply to that last column [indicating]?

A. Let me see—this is the first time I have ever looked at this

(examines document). That is the list price, \$7.40/is the list price.

Q. On the column before you get to the list price, you have, "Minimum." What does that "minimum" represent! Is that the minimum resale price or retail price?

A. As I said, this is the first time I have ever read this. I would think that that refers to the fair trade minimum price.

Q. Of the retailer?

264 A. The retail price of the product.

Mr. Doney. Can you help Mr. Nolen out on that?

Mr. EHRHARDT. Yes. The answer is yes.

Mr. Bosey. The column labeled "Minimum" is the retail fair trade price?

Mr. EHRHARDT. That is right, retail fair trade minimum price.

Mr. Dobey. All right.

Q. Now, before you get to that column, it says, "Shipper Shelf

Retail Full." What does that mean?

A. I imagine that would refer to the full list price of the product that the list price is one thing, and the fair trade minimum is

Q. Another

A. Something else, usually less, or always less, I would think.

Mr. Dobey. Can you confirm that?

Mr. Ehrhardt. I can confirm that that is generally true in the industry.

The WITNESS. I am pretty sure that that is what this means.

Q. In other words, for one pint of Alba-Gar, the full list price would be a dollar and ten cents?

A. That is right.

Q. And the minimum fair trade price would be a dollar, is that right?

A. That is right. That is what I would consider it.

Mr. Ehrhardt. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Dober. I should have left out the word "list" when I used that.

The WITNESS. Can I say this off the record?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

Discussion off the record.)

Q. My next question: When we get to an order for \$100 through \$249 assorted, there is a discount of ten and two and a half percent off list. Would you explain how that is figured, or what that discount would be on an order of \$250?

A. Provided it was all—this just runs to \$249, you said?

Q. You are right. Let's make it \$249.

A. I would assume the discount would be ten and two and a half on all merchandise which was sold in line extensions of \$4 or more or in original shipping containers.

Q. My question is: How is a discount figured? This is my understanding, and I want to be sure that this is correct: The ten

percent would be ten percent off \$249?

266 A. If that was the list price.

Mr. McGrath. That is the total of the order.

of this, because I never priced any orders, but I would think that is the way it is handled.

Q. Ten percent off the \$249 !

A. Yes.

Q. The two and a half percent would be two and a half percent

off what was lest after you took off ten percent?

A. I would wik so, but I am not sure. I don't think we add the ten and two and a half and make it twelve and a half. I think it is ten, and then two and a half off the balance. Again, I am not sure, because I have never been in the pricing.

Mr. McGrath. Do you know that? /

Mr. EHRHARDT. Yes; it is ten, and two and a half percent off the balance.

Mr. Dobey. The same would be true of the ten and five off an order of \$250 or more?

Mr. EHRHARDT. Yes.

Mr. Sullivan. At this point, Mr. Dobey, I think we ought to advise you that that price list has recently been amended, and I give you here a price list revised to March 15, 1955, together with a letter that was sent out by the manufactur-

ing division to all wholesalers [handing document to Mr. Dobey].

Mr. Doney. I think I better save this until after we have completed this [indicating].

Mr. Sullivan, I wanted you to have it now.

Mr. McGrara. Suppose we have it marked for identification.

Then you can come back to it any time you want.

Mr. Doney. Would you mark this as D-1.

(Letter dated March 21, 1955, and attached price list, marked

"Exhibit D-1" for identification, is of this date.)

Mr. McGrath. Let the record indicate that the handwriting in pencil on this letter was not on all the letters that went out, and therefore, that will be regarded as being deleted from the exhibit.

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

The second item of the subpoena called for a copy-

Mr. Sullivan. Of the net retail minimum prices for McKesson products published by the seller in effect on May 27, 1952. That is the same document as the exhibit that was just marked as G8.

Mr. Dobey. In other words, this second item, the net retail minimum prices for McKesson published by seller is the minimum shown?

Mr. Sullivan. They are set forth in the same document:

Mr. Dobey. Now, Item No. 3, a copy of the price and discount schedule at which the manufacturing division sold McKesson products direct to retailers in effect on May 27, 1952. Is this it [indicating]?

Mr. SULLIVAN. Yes; that is it [indicating].

Mr. Dobey. Would you mark this document as G9.

(Price and discount schedule marked "Exhibit G9" for identi-

fication, as of this date.)

Mr. Dober I think perhaps you can help me, Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Ehrhardt. With respect to Exhibit G9, which is the discount schedule at which the manufacturing division sold McKesson products directly to retailers, in effect on May 27, 1952, the list price as set forth in price list dated October 1, 1951, which would be Exhibit G8, less the following discounts: "Sales in quantities of \$1,000 and over—single shipment to one point—20% off list."

Are you sure that that is a flat twenty percent off the list, or is it a ten, five and five?

269 Mr. Ehrhardt. My understanding is that it is a flat

twenty percent off list, and not ten, five and five.

Mr. Dobey. The reason I asked that is because Mr. Dewell's testimony indicated to me that it was ten, five and five, and I think I would like to ask you to check that specifically.

Mr. Ehrhard. I would be happey to do so. Would you like me to do so right away, or during an adjournment sometime this

morning?

Mr. Sullivan. We will do that and advise you.

Mr. Dobey. Fine. Will you send me a letter on the point with the understanding that I can incorporate the letter in the record?

Mr. Sullivan, We will do that.

By Mr. DOBEY:

Q. Item 5 is a copy of the price and discount schedule at which the wholesale drug division of McKesson sold McKesson products to other wholesalers, in effect on May 27, 1952. Do you have that item?

Mr. Ehrhardt. Did we skip Item 4?

Mr. Dobey. I am sorry, I have. Do you have Item 4, which is a copy of the price and discount schedule at which the manufacturing division sold McKesson products directly to whole-salers?

270 Mr. Sullivan. We hand you that [handing document to Mr. Dobey].

Mr. Dobey. Would you mark this document as G10.

(Photostat of sheet entitled "Wholesaler-Retailer Fair Trade Agreement in Effect," marked "Exhibit G10" for identification, as of this date.) Mr. Dobey. This Exhibit G10, which is the prices on the sales of the manufacturing division direct to wholesalers, refers to discount of twenty-five percent from list. Can you tell me what list that is from? Is it from the same list that appears on Exhibit G8?

Mr. EHRHARDT. Yes, it is.

Mr. Dobey. Would the same thing be true with respect to Exphibit G9? Is the list price referred to there the same list?

Mr. Ehrhardt. Exhibit G9 is the one headed "Price and Dis-

count Schedule"?

Mr. Dobey. Sales by the manufacturing division direct to retailers. The list referred to there is the same list referred to in Exhibit G8?

Mr. EHRHARDT. That is right.

Mr. Dobey. Now, coming to Item 5, copy of the price and discount schedule at which the wholesale drug division of 271 McKesson sold products to other wholesalers.

Mr. McGrath. It is the same as G10.

Mr. Dobey. In other words, G10 provides for the discount?

Mr. McGrath. Same terms whether the sale is made by a whole-sale division or by the manufacturing division.

Mr. Doney. Except the discount is you mean it is from the

same list?

Mr. McGrath. No; I mean the terms are the same.

Mr. Dobey. I think you are misunderstanding it there, Mr. Mc-Grath. To wholesalers selling to other wholesalers, it is 16%.

Mr. EHRHARDT. That is right.

Mr. McGrath. Doesn't it say so?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, it does, but the terms to the wholesaler buying direct from the manufacturing division are twenty-five percent.

Mr. EHRHARDT. Yes, and it so states.

Mr. Dobey. Item 5 is the same as G10; is that right?

Mr. Sullivan. The information requested in Item 5 is set forth in G10.

Mr. Dobey. Item 6, copy of each form of sales agreement between McKesson and Robbins and retailers buying Mc-Kesson products direct from the manufacturing division in effect on May 27, 1952.

Mr. Sullivan. Let us take Items 6, 7, and 8 together.

Mr. Dobey. They are oral?

Mr. SULLIVAN. That is right.

Mr. Dobey. There are no written agreements covering the relationship of retailer and manufacturer and wholesaler?

Mr. Sullivan. That is correct, excluding, of course, as you un-

derstand, the fair trade contracts.

Mr. Doney. Yes, and invoices and bills.

Mr. Sullivan. Yes.

Mr. Doney. Item 9, such records or summaries as show the addresses of the wholesalers to whom the wholesale drug division of McKesson & Robbins sold McKesson products during the period July 1, 1951, to June 30, 1952, and the aggregate dollar volume of such sales of McKesson products to each such wholesaler during such period.

Mr. Sullivan. That is being prepared. It is not ready as yet. The reason for that is that the information must come from all the wholesale divisions and there are seventy-four of them.

They have been contacted, and are in process of sending in

their reports.

Mr. Dobey. May we have the same understanding that you will send that to me in the form of a letter which may be incorporated in the record?

Mr. SULLIVAN. Yes.

Mr. Dobey. Item, 10, do you have Item 10? That was the summaries or records showing the retailers to whom the manufacturing division sold direct during the same period and the dollar volume other than information already given.

(Mr. Sullivan hands document to Mr. Dobey.)

Mr. Dobey. Would you mark this document, which has been

submitted in response to Item 10 of the subpoena, as G11.

(Sheet entitled "Summary of sales made by the manufacturing division of McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, to retail accounts during the period July 1, 1951, to June 30, 1952," marked "Exhibit G11" for identification, as of this date.)

Mr. Dobey. Have you totaled the figures for your own infor-

mation?

Mr. SULLIVAN No, I have not.

Q. Mr. Nolen, with respect to G10, which provides a price to wholesalers on orders of \$100 or more, 16% percent and prevailing discount for cash on delivery, do you know if wholesale drug divisions sell allowholesalers at that same discount of 16% percent?

A. I wouldn't know exactly. I assume that they would follow

that suggested schedule.

Q. Do you know whether some of the other wholesalers who buy their McKesson-manufactured products from the wholesale drug divisions are sold at ten percent, some at fifteen percent, and some, perhaps at ten and five, instead of that 1624?

A. Well, I don't know. I would assume that they would follow that schedule. If it says in \$100 lots, 16%, I think that would be the discount at which they would sell. Now, if they bought less

than \$100, I don't know what they would do.

Q. If they bought \$100 or more, you don't know whether the wholesale drug divisions are in fact, uniformly allowing that 16% percent to all other wholesalers?

A. I would assume that they would. So far as I know, they would be following that schedule.

Q. But you don't know !

A. No. In fact, I am very much surprised that they do much

Q. What was that?

A. I said I am very much surprised that they do much selling.

Mr. McGrath. The witness would not know the details of

specific transactions unless they were brought to his attention.

Q. Have you issued any instructions to the wholesale drug divisions ordering them to allow the discount of 16% percent on orders of \$100 or more, to all wholesalers to whom they sell?

A. I would doubt very much whether I have issued any such

order. I don't think I would ever have occasion to.

Mr. McGrath. Well, the price list, exhibit 10, is an instruction. is it not?

The WITNESS. Yes. Well, that is not mine.

Mr. McGrath. It emanates from the manufacturing division? The WITNESS. That is the manufacturing division. We don't send it out.

Q. Have you given the wholesale drug divisions any authority to vary from that 16% percent in their sales to other wholesalers?

A. Not that I know of I know of no instruction of that kind.

276 Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions sometimes ask a drug manufacturer to make drop-shipments of drug products direct from the manufacturer to the retailer, without the drug products ever going through the warehouse of the wholesale drug divisions?

A. Yes; they do.

· Q. How frequently and under what circumstances are such

drop-shipments made?

A. Well, there are several. First, there would be those orders that are normally handled that way, such as polio vaccine, the new polio vaccine will be handled on a drop-shipment basis, because it is dated and has an expiration date, and the terms of the manufacturer specify that that is the way this be handled.

Sales are made frequently to governmental hospitals on a dropshipment basis in quantity on bid. These are made by the manu-

facturer in quantity and at our request.

Secondly, there are shorts. We may be out of stock of an item, and our retailer is in a hurry to get it. So rather than have the manufacturer ship to our warehouse and then we re-ship it to the customer, we frequently ask the manufacturer to ship directly to the retail drug store in order to expedite the delivery of that merchandise.

Qccasionally, when we are tied up because of labor difficulties, a manufacturer may permit us to drop-ship his merchandise to customers.

Mr. Doney. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

(The last question was read back by the stenotype reporter.)
The Witness. The manufacturer will allow us to sell his merchandise under a drop-shipment arrangement.

Mr. McGrath. If you change it to that statement, that would

make it clear.

The Wirness. That is what I mean. We don't do the drop-shipping. The manufacturer does the drop-shipping, but we have to ask his permission to sell his merchandise under those terms.

Q. You bill the retailer?

A. We bill the retailer. He, the manufacturer, bills us.

Q. The manufacturer bills you?

A. That is right.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions sometime ask the manufacturing division of McKesson to make drop-shipments of McKesson manufactured products direct from Bridgeport to retailers without the McKesson products going through the warehouses of the wholesale drug divisions?

A. Frankly, I don't know, but I would doubt if it is

very frequently.

Q. Why would there be that, apart from the hospital items

and apart-

A. Well, there are a number of reasons. First of all I doubt if the manufacturing division would do it for us because we are asking them to perform our function of handling, packing, checking the shipment of the merchandise, and I don't think that they would continue to do it for us and give us the discount that we are presently getting.

Q. Why would your own manufacturing division decline to do that for the wholesale drug divisions, whereas other manufacturers do permit you to drop-ship under the circumstances and

conditions you have indicated?

A. Well, wait a minute. They don't permit us easily. No manufacturer likes to drop-ship; generally speaking, except in the case of a thing like polio vaccine. No manufacturer likes to drop-ship because it is much more costly for him to drop-ship than to ship to us.

Q. Because of the quantities involved?

A. Weil, maybe the quantity, and maybe because the shipping charges are greater, and he has to pack and ship separately, usually in small quantities. For instance, we get much of

279 our merchandise from Bridgeport in carload lots, and if

they have to drop-ship for us, of course, they lose all that—they assume the added burden of handling.

Q. Do they drop-ship for you on occasion?

A. Well, frankly, I don't know. I think it is very rarely done for us. I just don't know. I mean I can't answer that, actually.

Q. Now, I want to show you a map. This map is Annex to Item 6 of the defendant's answers to the first set of interrogatories, and it is a map which shows the location of certain McKesson wholesale thrug divisions represented by green dots, and the location of "noncompetitive drug wholesalers" represented by red dots. Those red dots show the location of wholesalers listed in Annex to Item 8 of the answers to the government's first set of interrogatories.

According to Answer 8 of the answers to the government's first set of interrogatories by the defendant, the wholesalers listed in the Annex to Item 8-the ones indicated by the red

dots-

A. Does that include all of them listed in Item 8?

Q. Yes, it does. There are fifteen of them, I believe. tinuing.) Are wholesalers whose trading areas did not, to the best of McKesson's knowledge and belief, compete with wholesale drug divsions of McKesson in the sale of McKesson products and other products.

A. Who said that?

Q. That is in your answers to the interrogatories.

Mr. McGrath. Those statements were made on behalf, of McKesson.

Q. Now, my question is: Does any wholesale drug division of McKesson solicit orders from retailers in any of the cities indi-

cated by the red dots on the map?

A. Well, I would doubt if we have any salesmen covering those cities. I do not know, but I doubt if we have any salesmen going into Columbus, Ohio, or Indianapolis, Evansville, Richmond, Portsmouth, Washington, Philadelphia. But that does not mean we might not ship some merchandise there occasionally.

Q. Can you tell me positively that no McKesson salesmen of the drug divisions solicit order from retailers in any of those

cities shown by the red dots?

A. That is not a simple question to answer. I am sure we do not have any regularly stationed salesmen in these areas.

Q. Do you have any stationed in Philadelphia?

A. No, we do not.

Q. Do they go in there?

A. But occasionally a man might-F mean a druggist located in New York might be opening a store in Philao delphia, and he is a good friend of our salesman, and he says, "I am opening a store, will you help me get it open?" And

maybe we will get an opening order.

I don't know, I can't recall of any instance like that, but it could happen. I don't want to say that no one has ever gone in there. I know that a man has gone down to Washington occasionally to see about getting some government business, but he is not a regular salesman.

Q. I am referring to calling on retailers.

A. Yes. I do not think that we have any salesmen calling regularly in those cities. If any call is made, it is an unusual call,

Q. Can you tell me positively that you do not have any salesmen

who call regularly on retailers in any of the cities shown?

A. To my knowledge, we do not. I don't know about these up here [indicating]. Up in here, those are small towns. I doubt it. You are asking me

Mr. EHRHARDT. Excuse me. Will you identify the cities to which you referred—Scranton, Wilkes Barre.

The Witness. Also, what do you consider the Scranton area?

Just the city of Scranton itself?

282 Q. Now I am confining the question to the city itself.

A. I doubt if we have any salesmen going in there.

Q. But you do not know positively?

A. I would say I could not without checking with some 1200 salesmen.

Q. Do the McKesson wholesale drug divisions solicit orders by mail from retailers located in any of those cities shown by the red dots?

A. Well, I doubt if we solicit by mail from any retailers where our salesmen did not call, except for an organization that had

branches there.

For example, we sell the Sears, Roebuck store in Washington. That is mail order, but that is serviced, I believe, out of Newark. I don't think—we certainly would not have a salesman call regularly on them, but they send us an order occasionally.

But as far as retail drug stores go, I don't know of any sales-

men that go into those places.

Q. By mail?

A. By mail, yes. We don't as a general rule—we can't afford to service things down in that area.

Q. What about these areas [indicating]?

A. Even Indianapolis, the order size and so forth—we would not normally call on those cities. Maybe we should. I have often felt we ought to go into some of those areas, but as far as I know, we are not.

Q. You say the only way you could check that fact definitely as to whether your salesmen do call on those areas is by contacting all the salesmen !

A. Well, contacting our divisions concerned. See, our Cincincinnati division moves up into this territory [indicating]; Akron and Cleveland come down. Now, I don't know whether they ac-

tually get into Columbus or not. I would doubt it.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions engage in any form of solicitation of orders from retailers in those cities! I have covered mail.

A. Are we excluding organizations like Sears, Roebuck and Walgreen who might have branches in other places?

Q. We are not excluding them. If you solicit their local stores-if the wholesale drug divisions solicit their local stores.

A. Well, you are going to have to give me an out on that, because frankly, I don't know whether we call in Washington, for instance, on the Sears, Roebuck store or not. I know we have shipped them some merchandise. I believe we have shipped

them some merchandise, but whether the salesmen or anyone goes in there or not, I don't know. I think that is

handled outside of Washington.

Q. Suppose Sun Ray had a store in Scranton? Would your salesman call on the local store in Scranton?

A. I don't believe we sell Sun Ray.

Q. They are sold direct: I don't know whether the wholesale division sells them.

A. If they are sold direct by Bridgeport, we would not have anything to do with them.

Q. Now, Peoples?

A. Peoples buy some items, I believe, direct, and others they purchase from local wholesalers. Now, if Peoples had a store in-I am using Peoples only as an example—if Peoples had a local store, say in Columbus, Ohio, would your salesmen from your wholesale drug division call on that local store?

A. I am trying to think of an actual case. I don't know.

don't think Peoples has a store in Columbus.

Q. I don't think they have either.

A. We sell Peoples, I know, in this area right here [indicating].

Mr. McGrath. Indicating what area?

The WITNESS. Akron. I am sure we sell Peoples in the Akron area. I do not think we have any wholesale business 285 with Peoples in these areas [indicating].

Q. Or any other chain in those areas other than Sears, Roebuck?

A. Sears, Roebuck, and maybe Walgreen. I mean, I don't know whether we sell the Walgreen store or not. Their biggest store is in the Pentagon Building, I understand, and we may be selling that.

Q. Do you engage in any radio or newspaper advertising that goes to retailers in cities like Columbus and Indianapolis and Evansville?

Mr. Sullivan. When you say "you" - \(\square\)

Q. I am referring to the wholesale drug division.

A. Our wholesale drug division does very little newspaper advertising. About the only time we do any newspaper advertising is in connection with a so-called promotion. We have had a Christmas promotion, at which we have run advertisements, I believe, in the Columbus papers, but that is because Kauffman-Lattimer or some other druggist asked to cooperate with our promotion. We said, "Sure," and we have done that in some of these cities, when another wholesaler said he wanted to go along and paid his proportion of costs.

Q. Now, I will ask you whether McKesson wholesale drug divisions sell any drug products to retailers in those cities

indicated by the red dots.

Assuming there is no solicitation whatsoever, do you make any sales to retailers of drug products in the cities indicated?

A. It would be a very unusual case, I am sure, except for these chains that may have branches there, stores that may have branches there, that we may sell some place else. For instance, we sell Walgreen out of Chicago. They may have some branches here. We happen to sell J. C. Penney. If they have a store in Indianapolis, I don't know whether we sell that store or not.

Q. Do black lines around the borders of the yellow areas on this map represent the outer limits of the territory within which the wholesale drug divisions solicit orders for drug products

from retailers?

Mr. McGrath. How can he answer that question? He has not drawn it.

Mr. Sullivan. Now, Mr. Dobey, in submitting that map to you and outlining the trading areas of our wholesale divisions, we stated that to the best of our knowledge that was the outer limits of the trading areas, but we pointed out that those rading areas

were not defined definitively in any document or set up definitively in any other way; that it is thistorical growth, and to the best of our knowledge that is the outer limits of

the trading areas of our wholesale divisions.

Mr. Dobey. When you say "outer limits of the trading areas," do you mean the outer limits of the territory within which your salesmen solicit orders from retailers?

Mr. Sullivan. That is correct. The areas in which they concentrate their efforts in making sales.

Q. My question is: Is that the outer limits of the territory within which they do solicit orders from retailers?

Mr. Sullivan. To the best of our knowledge, it is.

The WITNESS. May I add something here? You must understand that these boundaries are flexible, that we are constantly moving around, making a little more territory, or we may pull You are not static, at any particular period.

Mr. SULLIVAN. There is nothing static in that a salesman is told he is allowed to go up to this line and then stop, that he can't go across the street.

Q. If you know, do the independent wholesalers who are located in the cities shown by the red dots solicit orders from 288 retailers within the yellow portions of the territories shown on the map?

A. I am sure they do. For instance, I know that Kauffman-Lattimer come down in here, and come in there [indicating].

Mr. McGrath. When you say "in here," the record does not . show where you are talking about.

The WITNESS. All right. They go into the northern part of Ohio and they go into the southern part of Ohio on the yellow.

Q. That was the next question I had, about Kauffman-Lattimer, and you have already answered it. Kauffman-Lattimer does solicit orders from some of the retailers in some of the yellow territory?

A. I think so.

Mr. Sullivan. We think they do, but of course, we have no knowledge of the trading areas of these other wholesalers.

Q. Does the Kiefer-Stewart Drug Company of Indianapolis solicit orders from retailers in any of the yellow territories shown on either side, above or below the yellow dot?

A. I know very little about Kiefer-Steward; where they operate, except in Indianapolis, I don't know.

Q. You do not know whether they go into the vellow territory?

289 A. No.

Q. In the case of Kauffman-Lattimer, you do know that they go into the yellow territory?

A. Yes.

Mr. SULLIVAN. He thinks they do.

Mr. Dobey. He says he knows that they do.

Q. What about Charles Leich & Company?

A. I know very little about Charles Leich.

Q. Do you know whether they go into the yelfor territory?

A. No, I don't.

Q. H. P. Gilpin has three locations, I believe, one Norfolk, one at Washington, and one at Baltimore. Does McKesson have a wholesale drug division-I see the map shows that McKesson has a wholesale drug division at Roanoke.

Does McKesson compete with H. P. Gilpin Company out of Roanoke in a portion of this Virginia territory?

A. I would doubt it; I don't know. I don't know what area

they cover.

Q. How about Richmond?

A. I don't know about that.

Q. Do you know whether your wholesale drug division or one of your wholesale drug divisions competes with the

Pennsylvania Drug Company whose office is at Wilkes-Barre? Does it compete in New York State, in portions

of New York State?

A. That is the first time I ever heard the name of the Pennsylvania Drug Company.

Q. I believe you said earlier you do not have any salesmen who

call on the trade in Philadelphia?

A. Not to my knowledge. I don't know. I don't think so.

Q. I understand that Smith, Kline & French of Philadelphia has a subsidiary, Mercer Wholesale Drug Company, at Trenton, New Jersey. Do you have salesmen who call on retailers at Trenton, New Jersey?

A. I think so.

Q. From what wholesale division?

A. Think from Newark.

Q. From your Newark division?

A. Yes.

Q. Do the red dots on the map indicate the location of the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson that are nearest to the green dots?

Mr. Sullivan. The red dots?

Mr. Dobey. I am sorry. Do the green dots indicate—
291 Mr. Sullivan. The green dots indicate the nearest wholesale division to the independent wholesalers represented
by the red dots.

Mr. Dobey. Thank you.

Q. Do you have any agreement with any of the independent wholesalers not to compete with the wholesaler in any particular area?

A. No, sir; we do not have any agreements not to compete with

anyone.

Q. What was your approximate total dollar volume of sales of drug products by the wholesale drug divisions for your past fiscal year?

A. Off the record just a second.

(Discussion off the record.)

The WITNESS. The last fiscal year would have to be March 31, 1954. That was \$338,000,000. Now, that is a little flexible, and

I don't know whether that is net or gross sales. You asked drug products. It may include fixtures and things of that type, but what we generally consider our drug volume, was \$338,000,000 in that fiscal year, I believe.

Q. That is exclusive of liquor sales?

A. That is exclusive of liquor

Q. When Mr. Dewell testified, I believe he said the manu-292 facturing division's sales for its last fiscal year were ap-

proximately ten or eleven million dollars. Now, you have told me that your sales for the past fiscal year were \$338,000,000.

A. \$338,000,000, I believe.

Q. Has that ratio of sales of the manufacturing division compared to sales of the wholesale drug divisions varied substantially during the past few years, or has it been about the same?

A. Frankly, I don't know, but I don't think it has varied sub-

stantially. I don't know.

Q. Is McKesson the largest drug wholesaler in the United States?

A. I believe so.

Q. Do you know of any other drug wholesalers of comparable size to McKesson?

A. No, not strictly drug wholesalers, no.

Q. Do you know of any other drug wholesalers who do business on a national basis?

A. Off the record a minute.

(Discussion off the record.)

The Witness. I dont know of anybody else that operates nationally.

One correction: Rexall-United Wholesale Drug, I believe, operate nationally.

293 Q. What is the relationship between those Rexall Drug Stores and the wholesale concern?

A. We have been trying to find that out for years. If we are going to get out of here in the next month, I don't think we want to get into that one.

Q. Do they own the retail stores?

A. Frankly, I wish you people would look into it, but I don't know.

Q. One of my questions was whether there is any drug wholesaler or any drug manufacturer who did also own retail stores, any one of size.

A. A manufacturer?

Q. Who also operates retail stores.

A. Well, Rexall does, Rexall-Liggett own retail drug stores. Walgreen own retail drug stores. Sun Ray own retail drug stores. Q. I am referring to wholesalers.

A. They are wholesalers. They are wholesale as well as retail.

Q. Do they sell to other retailers?

A. Sure.

Q. Which ones sell to other retailers?

A. Rexall, Walgreen, Sun Ray, Whelan.

Q. Can you tell me what approximate percentage of the total wholesale drug business in the United States is done by McKesson?

A. That would be—I think you would have to define first of all the wholesale drug business; and I think you people are in a better position to give that than we are. We can give you our sales, but I really wouldn't know.

Q. Have you seen any national figures on wholesale drug sales?

A: Yes; I have seen some figures on wholesale drug sales.

Q. Are they Bureau of the Census figures?

A. I assume Bureau of Census figures, but I don't recall them offhand, but it would be a very difficult thing for me to answer. I think the Bureau of the Census could give you a much better answer than I could.

Q. Do you have a trade association that gives out that type of total?

A. We have a trade association in the wholesale drug field that gives out the sales on their members, but it does not include all wholesalers.

Q. When Mr. Dewel testified, he stated, as I understand it, that the manufacturing division employed eight or nine sales-

men whose function it was to call on chain stores who purchased direct from the manufacturing division and solici-

ted orders from these stores, and that the manufacturing division endeavored to avoid duplication of solicitation by the wholesale drug divisions with respect to these direct buying retail customers. Is that correct to your knowledge?

A. I think he has answered the question far too simply. We do solicit business of a type, from practically every drug store in the

areas where we operate,

295

Q. Including the stores that buy direct from your manufacturing division?

A. If they will give us some orders, sure.

Mr. Sullivan: What kind of business are you talking about? Are you talking about McKesson products, or are you talking about all other products?

Mr. Doney. I am going to cover both aspects of the matter.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions advise their salesmen of the list of retail accounts buying direct from the manufacturing division? A. I wouldn't know, but I think those salesmen would know.

Q. They would know the account?

A. I think they would, I don't know. Most any salesman 296 would know what lines are sold direct, what customers buy or order certain lines directly.

Q. Are the wholesale drug divisions' salesmen instructed not to call on chain stores buying direct from your manufacturing

division?

A. No; not that I know of.

Q. Is it true that some of the direct-buying retail accounts of ... the manufacturing division do not purchase the complete line of McKesson products from the manufacturing division?

A. Would you state that again, please?

Q. Is it true that some of the direct-buying retail accounts of the manufacturing division do not purchase the complete line of McKesson products from the manufacturing division?

A. I would imagine that is true.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions sell some McKesson products to the direct buying retail accounts of the manufacturing division?

A. Sure. Whatever they order from us, we will sell them.

Q. Would those include McKesson products that the retailer does not buy direct? .

A. It might include either one.

Q. In the case of the ones that he does buy direct, your answer would be true, because of the fact that he may be out of a particular item?

A. If a retailer is out, he will buy that item from us, I am sure.

Mr. McGrath, Is it not a fact that all these questions depend upon the mood or the caprice of the particular retailer? There is no restriction. He can buy where he likes. Wherever he orders, the order will be filled; is not that right?

The WITNESS. That is right.

Mr. McGrath. You are asking him to substitute for the retailer in answering these questions. All he can say is that there is no restriction.

Mr. Dobey. I want to know what his wholesale drug divisions actually do.

Q. Do you testify that you do sell some McKesson products to retail accounts of the manufacturing division?

A. I don't know of first hand information, but I am sure we must, because we would sell them anything.

Mr. McGrath. There is nothing to stop them?

The WITNESS. Nothing to stop them.

Mr. McGrath, Either policywise or any other way? The WITNESS, No.

298. Q. Do salesmen of the wholesale drug divisions call on retail accounts of the manufacturing division?

A. They may, or they may not.

Q. Depending upon whether the retail account of the manufacturing division has a store in the territory of the wholesale drug division?

A. Well, that is one factor, and the second is the desires of the retailer. Some retailers would not let us call on them, but they still give us business. They don't want a salesman in the store.

Q. In other pords, in some cases, you may get orders from these direct buying retail accounts, although you do not call on them?

A. That is right. In some cases we would call on them.

Q. In some cases you do call on them?

A. Yes.

D

Mr. Dobey. Would you mark these two documents as G12 and G13; G13 is an enclosure to G12, but I am going to have it marked separately.

(Photostat of letter on letterhead of Peoples Drug Stores, dated March 10, 1955, marked "Exhibit G12" for identification,

as of this date.)

(Enclosure with Exhibit G12, being photostatic list of Peoples Drug Stores, marked "Exhibit G13" for identification, as of this date.)

Mr. Dobey. Exhibit G12 is a letter from Peoples Drug Stores, in which they forwarded a list which is Exhibit G13, of their retail stores showing the location of the stores and they say, "I am sure all of our stores carry in stock some McKesson & Robbins, Inc., merchandise since we warehouse a few of their items, and in addition our stores would naturally stock other items for which they receive calls by ordering from their local jobbers."

•Q. Is that the type of practice to which you were referring with respect to sales to local stores of an account buying direct

from the manufacturing division?

A. I would think so. I mean I don't know.

Mr. McGrath. Of course he has not been referring to anything.

He has been answering your questions.

The Witness. I think so. Most any drug store would buy any products for which a customer comes in, and if they have a call for instance, for an item, they would stock it and get it wherever they could.

Q. You would say that the practice reflected in that letter is not unusual?

A. I think that is right.

Q. It is customary; is that correct?

300 A. As far as I know, it is; yes.

D

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions endeavor to sell other products than McKesson products to the direct-buying retail accounts of the manufacturing division?

A. Oh, I am sure they do.

Q. Do they actually make such sales?

A. Sure.

Q. Are such sales sometimes made to the individual local stores or the chain of drug stores?

A. Yes.

Q. Is it true that the local stores of a chain of drug stores, as a matter of custom in the industry, have some local purchasing authority to buy drug items from drug wholesalers?

A. Oh, I am sure they must have.

Q. In other words, all the purchasing of drug products by the chains is not done at the home office?

A. No, of course not.

Q. You testified that your wholesale drug divisions solicited some chain drug store concerns, but that you did not call on them if they said, "We do not want any calls by your salesmen"?

A. Sure.

Q. Is it customary in the industry? In other words, 301 would the same thing be true of other drug wholesalers?

A. Oh, I am sure that there are certain customers that don't want you to call on them, and others that do want you to call on them.

Q. Would other drug wholesalers call on local stores of chains, as you do?

A. Of course, I would imagine so.

Q. Does the Sun Ray Drug Company have retail stores in Pittsburgh?

A. I don't know. You are talking about Sun Ray of Philadelphia?

Q. Yes.

A. I don't know. I think there is a Sun Ray, but I don't think it is the same Sun Ray; but I don't know.

Q. Do your wholesale drug divisions compete with the manufacturing division in selling McKesson products to retailers?

A. Oh, I would think so.

You mean to retailers—you are talking about these chains?

Q. Chains; yes.

A. Just like we are with any direct selling manufacturer.

Q. So in selling to the chains, you are really in competition with your manufacturing division, is that right?

302° A. That is right in one sense but not at the same func-

Q. I asked Mr. Dewell the question: How many vice presidents there were in the wholesale drug divisions, and I believe there was a problem about categories and regional vice presidents.

Can you answer my question?

A. I don't know exactly, but there are quite a few in the drug division.

Q. Approximately how many?

A. Well, I would say somewhere between seventy and a hundred in the drug end of the business.

Q. All with the title of vice president or regional vice

president?

A. Well, I don't think we have the title regional vice president any more. We do have a title of district vice president, but I would think there were somewhere in that neighborhood. I don't know.

Q. As I recall, Mr. Dewell stated that McKesson was originally

a drug manufacturer?

A. He knows much more about the history of McKesson than I do, but from what little I know, I think that is probably right. They started out as a manufacturer, but that is back in 1833,

and I don't even know if we had drug stores at that time. I really do not know, and I don't think many people in

McKesson know quite that far back.

Q. Is it true that the firm went into the wholesale drug business

about 1929 or 1930?

A. I don't know that. I am sure that we were in the wholesale business before that. I think in 1928 or 1929, we merged or consolidated with a number of others, a number of other wholesalers, but I think when we got into the wholesale business was before that.

Q. Approximately how many employees do the wholesale divi-

sions have, all types of employees, if you know?

A. I think McKesson altogether, in everything, has between nine and ten thousand employees, and I don't know just how you classify some of these employees, because we handle liquor in some of our wholesalers, but I would think in the wholesale drug end of the business there are probably in the neighborhood of 7,000. I could be a little off on that.

Q. Paragraph 4 of our complaint reads as follows: "The defendant is one of the largest wholesalers of drug store merchandise in the United States. Operating through more than 70 divisions located in 35 states, it conducts a nationwide business, deal-

ing at wholesale in drugs, pharmaceuticals, surgical and medical supplies, toilet articles, and sundry other items customarily sold in drug stores."

I am directing your attention to that portion of the paragraph which says, "It conducts a nationwide business." Then in paragraph 4 of the answer admitting those facts, and in paragraph 5 of the answer, the defendant states: "That it admits that in the conduct of its wholesale drug business the defendant sells drug store merchandise, including 'McKesson products,' principally to drug stores and other retailers located throughout the United States.

The question I am asking is: Do the wholesale drug divisions sell McKesson products and other drug products to drug stores and other retailers located throughout the United States?

A. Well, if you mean in every city, I would doubt that, but

substantially throughout the United States, yes.

Of course, that is principally concentrated in the areas where we are located.

Q. I was thinking of the map. Now, you remember there were areas in there-

A. That is right, but as I told you then, we do very little solicitation of business in those areas. We solicit in the areas largely outlined in yellow on that map. I am sure if you got that map

from us-

Q. Is it true then that there are areas of the United States which are not serviced by a wholesale drug division of McKesson?

A. If you mean in the normal sense of the term, that is right.

There are areas which we do not normally service.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions sell to retailers located in every state of the United States? Do you cover every state?

A. I don't think so. I am sure we do not cover Delaware, for example, and there must be some other states that we do not cover.

Q. Do the McKesson wholesale drug divisions solicit orders for McKesson products from wholesalers who buy direct from the manufacturing division?

A. To solicit orders-I don't think we would solicit orders

actively.

Q. From a wholesaler buying directly from the manufacturing division?

A. I don't think we would do that. If you mean by that, actual solicitation, going out and saying "Won't you buy through us?", I don't think we would do that.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions solicit orders from these wholesalers who buy direct from McKesson?

A. You mean from McKesson, Bridgeport?

306 Q. From the manufacturing division for drug products other than McKesson products.

A. No, that would be handled all about the same way. We are not engaged in subjobbing, as such.

Q. The business of selling to other wholesalers is not solicited

by your wholesale divisions?

A. That is right. It is handled largely as a convenience.

Q. Is it not true that some of the wholesalers who buy direct from the manufacturing division do not purchase the complete line of McKesson products?

A. I think that is true. A great many of our divisions do not

purchase the complete line.

Q. Do you try to sell those wholesalers the items of McKesson's

which they do not purchase direct?

A. I don't know. I think we would sell most any item they wanted.

Q. Do you actively try to sell them ?

A. No, I am sure we do not.

Q. With respect to the wholesalers to whom you do sell, and particularly the wholesalers that do not buy direct from your manufacturing division, do you solicit orders from those wholesalers?

A. If you mean by "solicit"-I doubt it.

307 Q. I mean try to get the business.

A. I doubt very much if we would actively solicit.

Mr. McGrath. They are competitors at the same level, is that right?

The WITNESS. Yes, but the only thing we would do is on a con-

venience basis.

Mr. McGrath. Just accommodation ?

The WITNESS. That is right.

Q. I am coming to that, and I would like to ask it direct.

What is the occasion for the sale of McKesson products by the

wholesale drug division to other wholesalers?

A. A wholesaler gets an order for merchandise, and on that order—maybe some orders for some Tartan, for example, which is a Bridgeport product. If he does not have it in stock, he will probably call us up and ask us if we can send it over to him, not to the customer, but to the wholesale house.

Q. You do that as a courtesy to him?

A. Yes. We may call him up on some items the same way.

Q. Are not these who esalers to whom your wholesale 308 drug divisions sell, competitors of the wholesale drug divisions?

A. Oh, I am sure—if they are wholesale druggists in that neigh-

borhood, then undoubtedly they compete with us.

Q. Would you explain why they do not buy these products, particularly McKesson products, direct from the manufacturing division?

It is hereby stipulated that the testimony when transcribed may be subscribed and sworn to by the witness before any notary public of the State of New York.

[Reporter's certificate to foregoing transcript omitted in printing.]

340 United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Stenographer's minutes of May 18, 1955

Before Hon. JOHN W. CLANCY, District Judge

New York, May 18, 1955; 11:30 o'clock a. m.

APPEARANCES

[Title omitted.]

J. Edward Lumbard, Esq., United States Attorney, for the Plaintiff; by Allen A. Dobey, Esq., E. Winsiow Turner, Esq., and John F. Hughes, Esq., Special Assistants to the Attorney General.

Hodges, Reaves, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, Esqs., Attorneys for Defendant; John P. McGrath, Esq., Denis B. Sullivan, Esq., Robert Thrun, Esq., and Laurence C. Ehrhardt, Esq., of Counsel.

341 The Clerk. United States of America vs. McKesson & Robbins, Inc. Gentlemen, are you ready?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

Mr. McGrath. Yes.

The Court. I don't think any openings are needed in this case. Do you wish to open?

Mr. Doney. No, sir.

Mr. McGrath. No, sir.

OFFERS IN EVIDENCE

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories, including Government Exhibits A and B, which are attached thereto and part of the interrogatories, and I also offer in evidence the defendant's answers to the plaintiff's first set of interrogatories.

Mr. McGrath. We have no objection.

Mr. Doney. The originals are with the Court.

(Government's Exhibit G1A received in evidence.)
(Government's Exhibit G1B received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence the plaintiff's supplemental interrogatories, including Exhibits G1 to G7 attached there-

A. I think you have to ask our manufacturing division about that. I mean I don't know,

Q. I thought maybe you would know.

A. No.

Q. Is not this true: That generally speaking the wholesalers to whom your wholesale drug divisions sell are carrying products, a line of products competitive with McKesson products, and they buy McKesson products from your wholesale drug divisions only as an accommodation to the customers when they have a specific order for a McKesson product?

A. I would think that is probably true. They may want to

sell-i think most of them would be an accommodation.

Q. Generally speaking, they are not pushing the McKesson line?

A. That is right.

Q. In Exhibit 5 which was written by you, you ask 309 your wholesale drug divisions to send Mr. Dewell in Bridgeport a list of wholesalers, showing name and address, to

whom you sell McKesson products. ^

Now, it has been a matter of argument, before, between counsel and with Mr. Dewell about the construction of that document. I got the implication from it that those other wholesalers to whom your wholesale divisions sold McKesson products were more or less regular customers of your wholesale drug divisions; that is, that they made repeated purchases from time to time of McKesson products from the wholesale drug divisions; is that correct?

A. Well, if you mean by "repeated purchases," a number of purchases, that is probably true: but if you mean any sizable volume of purchases, that probably is not true, because I am sure that our sales to other wholesalers of McKesson products is peanuts.

Q. You think it is true that those wholesalers who would be on that list, would be wholesalers who made repeated purchases

in small quantities?

A. I don't know, but that is probably true.

Mr. Sullivan. Do you mean by that that they came back on occasion sometime, and made other purchases?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

Mr. SULLIVAN. Sure, that is true. 310

Q. In other words, your list would not include a wholesaler who just purchased an item one time and then you never heard from him again for a long period of time?

A. That is right.

Mr. Sullivan. It could be he just did it onca.

The WITNESS. If he had done it just recently, if we had just made a sale to a wholesaler last week, the first time he ever purchased, he would probably be on that list.

Q. Is it not true that most, if not all these other wholesalers who purchase McKesson products from the wholesafe drug divisions carry a small inventory of McKesson products, not the complete line, but at least a few items in stock?

A. Well, I would think that most of them would probably

carry a few items, very fast selling items like Tartan.

Q. And possibly Albolene?

A. Well, I don't know about Albolene, but Tartan is one. I don't know that; I really do not know. They probably have Calox.

Q. My information is that they do; most of them do carry a small inventory of selected fast moving items of Mc-Kesson products.

A. They probably do.

Q. Of course, they would call you to get an item of which they were out, if a customer asked for it?

A. That is right.

Q. But also they stock some of your items, fast moving items?

A. I would imagine they would.

Q. You believe that to be correct?

A. I think so.

Q. Could we add Bexel? Isn't that a fast-moving volume preparation?

A. It is, but I don't know. I don't know whether you would

find that on inventories of other wholesalers or not. .

Q. We mentioned Tartan several times. Is it not true that some wholesalers buy Tartan direct from the manufacturing division at the higher discount?

A. They may. I don't know.

Q. I wondered if you know that it is true that many wholesalers can buy Tartan direct from the manufacturing division at the larger discount, who can't buy the other McKesson products from the manufacturing division?

312 A. I didn't know that. I don't know what their order

schedule is on Tartan.

Q. I believe you have already helped me on this question, and if you can, it saves the need for a substantial amount of testimony.

First, as background, most of these wholesalers who purchase from the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson are located in the same city as the McKesson wholesale drug divisions?

A. In the same area, I would think, in the same area; they may

be in a different city.

Q. I checked your list, annex to Item 14, and Annex to Item 19, and I found that both lists, most of them, the majority of them are in the same city.

A. That is right.

Q. And the other appear to be in outlying areas.

A. That is right, in the same area.

Q. Your wholesale drug divisions compete with those wholesalers?

A. If they operate in the same area, sure.

Q. Is there any substantial difference in the characters—not referring to moral character—of direct-buying wholesalers who buy direct from the manufacturing division and the wholesalers

who purchase McKesson products from the wholesale drug 313 divisions, insofar as the nature of their business operation is concerned?

A. I don't think they sell-of course, the difference between

wholesalers-I think they would be basically the same.

Q. Have any of the wholesalers who purchase from the wholesale drug divisions inquired of you as to whether they could buy direct from the manufacturing division?

A. They wouldn't ask us that. I mean, they have never asked me, but whether they have ever asked our divisions, I doubt if they would ask a question of that type to any one of our divisions.

Q. If you got such an inquiry, you would refer it to the manu-

facturing division?

A. We would probably do nothing.

Q. Because you would like to keep the business in the wholesale drug divisions?

A. Well, they are a manufacturer. We are not getting into

that.

Q. I didn't understand you.

A. They are a manufacturer. We are not—any wholesaler, he knows how to approach our manufacturing division.

Q. Do your wholesale drug divisions sell any McKesson mer-

chandise to retail grocery stores?

A. I frankly don't know, but I would doubt if they sell any considerable amount because as a general policy, we do not service grocery stores.

Q. What about Tartan? Do you service greeery stores on

Tartan?

A. I would doubt it. Accounts like that would not be profitable for use.

Q. I think I may have raised this point before: Apparently, according to my information, there are many wholesalers who buy Tartan direct from the manufacturing division at twenty-five percent discount, but they do not buy any other McKesson items.

A. That may be. They probably have a demand for Tartan and very little demand for anything else.

Q. Do you know of anything that prevents a wholesaler who can buy Tartan at the twenty-five percent discount, apart from the

volume requirement, from buying other McKesson products direct from the manufacturing division?

A. That is completely out of my province.

Q. Is there any particular class or classes of retailers handling drug store merchandise that are not solicited by salesmen of the wholesale drug divisions?

A. You mean by that syndicate stores, the Woolworth stores

and so forth?

315 Q. Any class; yes.

A. There probably are. I am sure there are.

Q. What classes would they be?

A. I mean normally, we do not call on syndicate stores, although we may sell some occasionally. We confine our activities quite generally to the drug trade.

Q. To drug stores?

A. The vast majority of our business is there, that is right, but if a department store has a drug department, we may solicit business from them.

Q. Do you have any announced policy or company policy of the wholesale drug divisions with respect to the extent of the retailers that you will solicit in the area of the wholesale drug divisions?

A. Well, if you mean are there any limitations—is that what you mean?

Q. Do you call on all retailers within the territory?

A. We try to call on most any drug store in an area that we think we can develop into a profitable account for us; but we probably would not call on other types of trade.

Q. You would call on all drug stores within the area normally?

A. I think so. Any arug store that we thought we could develop into a profitable customer, we would call on them.

Q. Do you know of any firm other than McKesson that not only manufactures its own line of drug products, but also operates its own wholesale drug divisions?

A. Well, Rexall. I don't know to what extent Whelan and

Walgreen manufacture, but they have their own products.

Q. Rexall manufactures, did you say ?

A. I am sure they do. They own some plants, I know. Then, of course, most of the pharmaceutical manufacturers or a great many of them, Parke Davis, Sharp & Dohme, Upjohn.

Q. Do they operate wholesale drug divisions?

A. They operate warehouses and so forth, wholesale their products to the retailer.

Q. Do they wholesale other products than their own manufactured products?

- A. I think mostly their own manufactured products, those manufacturers.
- Q. I think maybe the record is a little confused as to the vast group, Parke Davis—

A. They are our competitors.

Q. Is it true that they only operate warehouses for their own manufactured products?

A. Basically, but these manufacturers also make arrange-

ments to distribute other products to some extent.

Q. Do you know of any firm that manufactures drug products, operates its own wholesale drug divisions, and also sells its own manufactured drug products to other wholesalers?

A. Leaving the Rexall out of it—you mean just in the drug

field?

Q. Yes.

A. Except for pharmaceutical manufacturers, I am sure there are some. Except the pharmaceutical manufacturers and people like that, I don't know. General Electric—

Mr. McGrath. Of course, any transaction you conduct with another wholesaler, any one of these people might also conduct

with another wholesaler; isn't that right?

The WITNESS. That is right.

Q. Does each wholesale drug division maintain a separate banking account?

A. I think so.

Q. Is this maintained in the city in which the wholesale drug division has its office?

A. That would be the normal procedure, I am sure.

• 318 Q. It there a bank account at any location, including the home office, for the wholesale drug divisions collectively?

A. Really, I don't know.

Q. We have come up before with the question of the manufacturing division making "sales" to the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson products, and the wholesale drug divisions making "payment" for the products acquired from the manufacturing division, and there is a controversy between counsel as to whether that is a "sale" in view of the fact that it is an intercompany transaction.

I wonder if you can tell me how a check from a wholesale drug division covering products shipped to it from the manufacturing division would read; payable to whom or what?

A. I don't know.

Q. Or signed in what way?

A. I don't know.

Q. Is the purchasing of products other than McKesson products for sale by the wholesale drug divisions done by the home office in New York?

A. No.

Q. Does each wholesale drug division do its own parate

purchasing?

319 A. Yes. I mean, for all practical purposes, and on practically every transaction. The only thing we might buy in New York would be samples or something like that, but the day-to-day buying is all done in the divisions.

Q. By each division?

A. Each division separately.

Q. Each division does its own purchasing directly from the manufacturer?

A. That is right.

Q. Does each division make payment direct to the manufacturer!

A. Yes. Once in a while, you understand, there are always unusual circumstances where a manufacturer is in difficulty or he owes us some money, and we might collect the checks. But the day-to-day checks are sent out from the divisions.

Q. How many wholesale drug divisions do you have at present?

A. Seventy-four.

Q. Going back a moment to the Annex to Item 9, I think the answer to my question is rather obvious, but I think I should ask the question. Referring to this list [handing document to the

witness], to get the discounts shown on that list on assorted

orders, all the products which are calculated in determining whether the order is entitled to a particular discount, must be McKesson products, must they not?

A. That is right.

Q. In other words a mixed order?

A. No, McKesson; Eli Lilly wouldn't do it-

Q. That would not help you at all in this case?

A. No.

Q. Have any of the wholesale drug divisions received from any retailer a single order for McKesson products of \$1,000 or over during the past two years?

A. You are asking me something I don't know. I would doubt

it. That is a hell of a big order.

Q. Your statement is that you do not know?

A. I don't know about that-you mean \$1,000 of what?

Q. Of McKesson products in one single order.

A. I would doubt it. I don't know.

Q. You say you would doubt it. What about the case of a new drug store stocking for the first time?

A. It would be some drug store that would ever buy \$1,000 worth of McKesson items. Now even the Walgreen store in Washington, I don't think would have \$1,000 worth.

Q. You have some items in there that are pretty 321, expensive, haven't you?

A. Yes.

Q. Vitamins?

A. Yes, but you do not buy very many vitamins in one store at one time. That is really a big order.

Q. Wouldn't a new store, stocking for the first time, need \$1,000

worth of McKesson products?

A. Do you know how much of a stock a drug store carries?

Q. Total stock?

A. Yes.

Q. What drug store?

A. The typical drug store carries about five or six thousand of inventories of drug products. Average sales of a drug store are between \$50,000 and \$60,000—not the average sales. The average sales are about \$80,000. The margin is one-third, so the cost of the merchandise is a little over \$50,000. That is on the average store. I mean, you buy four times a year, so even the average store would carry \$12,000 worth of merchandise, including the soda fountain in the back room, and everything else.

Q. Don't you sell some chain stores McKesson products, chain stores that do not buy direct from the manufacturing

division?

322 A. Oh, yes, sure.

Q. Wouldn't you get some orders from them for McKesson products, single orders in the amount of \$1,000 or over?

A. It is possible.

Q. But you do not know !

A. I don't know. Most people buy for their individual stores from the wholesaler, and we don't get many orders—our average order is only \$45 for everything.

Q. What about a retailer who has half a dozen stores in one

city?

A. Still, our average order is small, because he buys every day.

Q. But he might buy McKesson products on a single order in the amount of \$1,000, might he not?

A. Of course, anything can happen, but I am sure—if you are

asking if he is likely to do it, I would say no.

Q. Let me ask you this: Do you keep invoices of your sales by the wholesale drug division to retailers?

A. I am sure they have a record of customers' purchases, sure.

Q. How far back would you keep them?

A. I don't know. I don't know how long we keep 323 invoices. Certainly not over two years, unless there is some controversy of some kind. Q. What I would like to get is specific information on how many single orders for McKesson products amounting to \$1,000 or over have been sold by the wholesale drug division during the past two years.

A. I imagine all we could do on a thing of that kind would be

to ask our divisions.

Mr. EHRHARDT. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Doney. We are going to need that information for the trial:

(Discussion off the record.)

Q. Do the McKesson wholesale drug divisions maintain a sufficient stock of McKesson products to enable them to fill a single order from a retailer for McKesson products for \$1,000 or more?

A. If the order specifies the products they have in stock.

Q. What would be the normal inventory of McKesson products carried by a McKesson wholesale drug division?

A. Pardon me, let me do a little thinking, off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

The Witness. Our inventory of McKesson products would be about \$15,000, I would think, in a normal division.

Q. For each wholesale drug division?

A. Not for each one; for the typical one.

Q. \$15,000 of McKesson products?

A. I would think so.

Mr. McCrath. Distributed into how many items?

The Wirness. Not all wholesale divisions carry all items. It is possible to have over 200 items, but I don't know how many items they would carry. It is considerably less than that.

Mr. Sullivan. Available for sale to how many customers?

The Witness. An average of 400 customers, I would think. You understand that my rapid figuring was done on the basis of sales, not on inventory figures, so I may be off.

Mr. SULLIVAN. So that is \$15,000 of inventory ?

The Wrrness. I was figuring three percent of our sales, six turns a year which is the normal. I think our turn is 5.7 or 5.8.

Q. But as to whether there have been any such sales, you do not know?

325 A. I don't know.

Q. Can you answer this question: If there were any such sales, would they have been made at a ten and five discount, or a ten and five and five discount?

A. I don't know. I would think that they would be made at the terms which are shown in our cost book sheet, which you had a moment ago, this one here [indicating].

I would assume they would be made at this schedule.

Mr. McGrath. Referring to Exhibit 8?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

The WITNESS. Ten and five plus cash discount.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions ever sell McKesson products below the prices shown in Exhibit 8 in fair trade states?

A. I don't think—unless there were a mistake of some kind. We do make errors, but other than that, I do think they would. deliberately sell them below the fair trade price.

Of course, what I am asking you is: Do the wholesale drug

divisions sometimes sell below McKesson's on fair trade list?

A. Not that I know of.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions sometimes sell Mc-Kesson products to retailers at the fair trade price shown on Exhibit 8 and at the same time give the retailer additional items free, additional McKesson products free?

A. We do have free goods deals which are available to any wholesaler handling the Bridgeport line. Now, I don't know how

they are covered in the fair trade contract.

Q. What I am asking you is whether the McKesson wholesale drug divisions sell to retailers at the fair trade prices and then give the retailer a deal whereby he gets a certain amount of Mc-Kesson products free along with those items, and at the same time not permit the independent wholesalers to do the same thing?

A. We can't prohibit the independent wholesalers from doing

anything.

Q. You have got a fair trade price schedule?

A. You are talking about our manufacturing division?

A. We don't have anything to do with other wholesalers.

Q. All right, I see your point. What I am asking you is: Do you sell to retailers at the fair trade prices and then give them additional McKesson products free?

A. We don't, unless it is part of the regular terms. I mean, we don't give anything from our division that any distributors of McKesson products-I say any distributors-I mean any one of these other wholesalers that handle the full line.

Q. What about the wholesalers that buy from you and do not handle the full line?

A. I think they can probably buy the deal if there is a free goods deal. I don't know. I mean, I would assume if there is one free, they probably would buy it. They would get it just like anyone else would.

Q. I will ask you specifically whether your Boston wholesale drug division does not sell to retailers at those fair trade prices and at the same time give them free goods; whereas wholesalers, other wholesalers who handle McKesson products, possibly not the complete line, are bound by those fair trade prices?

A. I don't know just exactly how that free goods is handled.

Q. Do you consider that the giving of free goods to the retailer along with other McKesson items that are sold at the fair trade price is a violation of this fair trade agreement, or would be a eviolation, if you were a party to it?

A. You are asking me what is a violation of the fair trade contract. Frankly, I don't know. That is a legal matter,

I think.

Mr. McGrath. He has already said that they would be part of the terms which would be available uniformly across the board to all distributors.

The WITNESS. Can we go off the record a second?

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Dobey. Back on the record.

Q. Let me ask you this: Do your wholesale drug divisions sell McKesson products at the fair trade price to retailers, and as part of a deal, give the retailer free goods, when that type of transaction has not been authorized by the manufacturing division with respect to all wholesalers?

A. I would doubt it very seriously. I mean we would-we pretty generally-I say pretty generally-our instructions are to follow the manufacturer's suggested selling schedule, and Bridge-

port is the manufacturer with us, just like anyone else.

Q. Do you know whether either your Boston office, of your Ogden, Utah office has been giving free goods to retailers?

A. I don't kne w.

Q. That are not available to other wholesalers who handled McKesson products?

A. I would doubt that very seriously. I wouldn't know, but I would doubt it.

Q. Are there a number of competitor manufacturers of McKesson that you can classify as the principal competitors because they handle practically a complete line, item for item, that you handle?

A. No; I don't think so. McKesson, Bridgeport has competition in individual items rather than the whole line, although the closest thing to a competitive line would be Purepac, I would think.

Q. I was thinking of Purepac; and what about Dennison Laboratories?

A. I don't even know of Dennison Laboratories.

Q. Do McKesson wholesale drug divisions stock products competitively with McKesson products?

A. Sure.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions push the McKesson manufactured products in preference to the competitive products of other manufacturers that are also sold by the wholesale drug divisions?

A. The answer is not a simple one. There are times when we push competitive items over McKesson items, and there are times

when we push McKesson items over competitive items. It depends what we are driving at the time. Generally speaking, we treat McKesson Laboratories about the same as we treat any other manufacturer.

Q. Do you have any set requirements or standards which a wholesaler must meet in order to buy McKesson products from a McKesson wholesale drug division?

A. Do we have?

Q. Yes.

A. Not that I know of.

Q. How do you determine if somebody comes in and says he is a wholesaler—

A. I think that is up to the local people. They know who the wholesalers are generally, who to sell and who not to sell.

Q. But if he is a wholesaler, there is no other?

A. "If"?

Q. No other.

A. If he is a wholesaler, I don't think there is any—well, I den't know. Just because somebody calls himself a wholesaler—

Q. It wouldn't not necessarily mean so?

A. That is right.

Q. If he is a wholesaler, do you know of anything, any other requirements?

A. Certainly not that we have established.

Q. I am going to show you Exhibit A, which is the form of fair trade contract which was in effect at the time the complaint in this case was filed, on May 27, 1952 [handing document to the witness].

A. This is a wholesaler fair trade contract.

Q. Yes. Did you participate in the decision to adopt that form of contract?

A. Not that I know of. There is no reason why I should.

Q. Well, did you?

A. I don't recall anything of that type. In fact, I think that

is the first time I have ever seen it.

Q. Prior to the adoption of this form, did you have any discussion with Mr. Dewell or with the president of the company with respect to the question of whether the fair trade contracts with the wholesalers to whom the wholesale drug division sold McKesson products, should be entered into by the manufacturing divisior or by the wholesale drug divisions?

A. Would you repeat that again?

Q. Yes; that is a long question. I think I can state it more simply. Before this form was adopted, shortly before it was adopted, did you discuss with either the president of the company or Mr. Dewell, the question of whether the fair trade contracts that you were going to use covering sales by your wholesale drug divisions to other wholesalers should be entered into by the manufacturing division or whether they should be entered into by the wholesale drug divisions? Did you discuss that with Mr. Dewell?

A. I don't recall any discussions of that type: In fact, I don't think I have ever very often discussed fair trade with Wilbur

Dewell.

Q. You don't recall any discussion of the question of whether your wholesale divisions selling to wholesalers should be covered by fair trade contracts?

A. I am pretty sure that I was not involved in anything like

that.

Q. A short while ago, I showed you Exhibit 5, where you said "Send Mr. Dewell a list."

A. This one right here [indicating]?

Q. Yes. Was that your idea to send that list to Mr. Dewell, or were you requested to?

A. I imagine that we were requested to do this, just like any

manufacturer would request us.

Q. When you received that request, is that the first time you had under your consideration the question of the fair trade agreements covering your wholesaler to wholesaler sales?

A. No; I remember much more about the Sunbeam contracts than I do about McKesson Laboratories, because this was a time when Sunbeam was asking us to get contracts from all our retailers, and we were having a hell of a time. I don't remember much about this one, but'I remember about the Sunbeam case.

As I recall, we were just asked to supply this list. We had done it for Sunbeam, and I believe it was prior to this; I am not sure.

Q. This is a question which perhaps your counsel can answer; I am not sure that you know the answer; I raised it the last time? Was Exhibit A in effect at the time the answer, was filed on September 26, 1952? That is, the manufacturers-wholesalers fair trade contract.

Mr. EHRHARDT. Yes.

Mr. Doby. The next question is: Is it not true that April 1953 was the date on which you changed from that form of contract to the form of contract attached to your affidavit, Mr. Ehrhardt?

Mr. Ehrhardt. I believe it was April 1953

Mr. Doney. That was the date!

Mr, EHRHARDT. Yes.

334 Mr. Doney. I will mark this document as G14. It is a letter dated April 17, 1953, signed by Mr. Dewell, addressed

to Northern Drug Company, Fargo, North Dakota.

(Letter dated April 17, 1953, signed by Mr. Dewell, addressed to Northern Drug Company, Fargo, North Dakota, marked "Exhibit G14" for identification, as of this date.)

Mr. Sullivan. May I see that, please!

Mr. Dobey. Yes [handing letter to Mr. Sullivan].

Mr. Dobey. Does not that letter represent the form of letter that went to wholesalers at the time the contract form was first changed in April?

Mr. Ehrhardt. It certainly looks like it. I don't think there is

anything here to actually verify that.

Mr. Dobey. Can we assume that it is, unless you advise me to the contrary later?

Mr. Ehrmardt. I would say yes; yes. I am almost sure. The

only thing I would like to do is check it against the record.

Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions sometimes refer orders from other wholesalers for McKesson_products to the manufacturing division?

A. I don't know. I would doubt it, but I don't know.

335 · Q. Do the wholesale drug divisions ever seek to induce other wholesalers to purchase direct from the manufacturing division?

Q. Oh, I am sure they do not. I don't know, but that I am

sure of. You can be sure they would not do that.

Q. Was McKesson fair trading McKesson products in Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Michigan, Utah and Nebraska at the time the complaint was filed on May 27, 1952?

Mr. EHRHARDT. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

Q. Did McKesson have a fair trade contract with respect to McKesson products with at least one retailer or at least one wholesaler in each of these states at the time the complaint in this case was filed on May 27, 1952?

A. I don't know.

Mr. Sullivan. To the extent that such a contract was legal, because the contract itself provided that if it was not legal in the state, it did not apply in the state.

Mr. Dobey. But I am asking you whether one of your Exhibit

Mr. McGrath. For the sake of the record, the witness has denied knowledge. The activity before us is for the inter-

other way, what the answer is, let us take it up when we get through with the witness.

Q. I assume your answer would be "I don't know," to this one also: Does McKesson still have a fair trade contract with respect to McKesson products with at least one retailer or at least one wholesaler in each of these states?

A. I don't know.

Mr. SULLIVAN. You mean in effect?

Mr. Dobey. A signed agreement.

Mr. SULLIVAN. In effect?

Mr. Dobey. Unless it has been cancelled.

Mr. Sullivan. Then we get into another realm: Cancelled by what?

Mr. McGrath. Mr. Dobey understands our position. There is no point in reiterating our position. You know what our position is. You don't expect us to admit we are violating the law, do you?

Mr. Dobey. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)

Q. As to those States, Florida and Michigan, where the courts have held the fair trade laws unconstitutional as to nonsigners, but constitutional to signers, is McKesson continuing to fair-

337 trade McKesson products as to signers!

· Mr. McGrath. Do you know?

The WITNESS. I don't know.

Mr. McGrath. The witness does not know.

Mr. Dobey. Do you want to answer it?

Mr. McGrath. We can probably find that out for you.

Mr. Doney. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)

Q. I will put this question on the record: Has McKesson given any consideration or is McKesson giving any consideration to reviving the use of Paragraph 3 of Exhibit A in those states where the courts have held the fair trade laws invalid as to non-signers, but valid at to signers?

A. I can't answer it.

Mr. EHRHARDT. May I answer that for the record, Mr. Dobey?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, you can answer it for the record.

Mr. EHRHARDT. The answer is no.

Mr. Dobey. Maybe you can answer my next question for the record: In those states where the courts have held the fair

338 trade laws invalid as to nonsigners, has McKesson given any consideration or is McKesson giving any consideration to requiring purchasers from McKesson to agree to require their vendees in turn to maintain fair trade prices?

Mr. EHRHARDT. No.

Mr. Dobey. Those are all the questions I have, and I would like to say I have enjoyed examining you.

(Whereupon, at 12:30 p. m., the examination was closed.)

342 to, and I also offer in evidence the defendant's answers to the plaintiff's supplemental interrogatories.

Mr. McGrath. We have no objection, except to Questions 5 and 6 and the answers to those questions. Our objection to Questions 5 and 6 and the answers is that these questions and answers are immaterial, irrelevant and not within the issues raised by the pleadings, the questions being addressed to whether the defendant is violating the Fair Trade 'aws of certain states, which we say is not the charge in the complaint at all. The charge in the complaint is that because the defendant operates in a dual capacity as a manufacturer and also as a wholesaler that there-

The Courr. How about that?

fore its Fair Trade contracts are horizontal.

Mr. Dobey. The charge in the complaint is that the defendant fixed wholesale and retail prices by contract and the defense is based upon immunity granted by the Miller-Tydings Act and the McGuire Act, but that immunity stands only where the defendant has the protection of valid state legislation to support it.

These questions were directed to the point that the defendant at the time of the complaint had Fair Trade contracts in effect in states where the state Fair Trade laws have sub-

sequently been held unconstitutional, leaving the defendant's Fair Trade contracts without any valid state legislation to support them, and, therefore, subject to the Sherman Act. In other words, the Miller-Tydings Act and the McGuire Act merely authorize—

The Court. I will take them subject to objection and my own striking them out after I consider the case.

(Government's Exhibits G2A and G2B received in evidence.)

Mr. Sullivan. In introducing the answers to the interrogatories and the supplemental interrogatories did you include the exhibits attached thereto?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, the complete answers, including the exhibits attached to the answers.

I offer in evidence the defendant's admission of facts.
(Government's Exhibit G3A received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence a stipulation of facts with respect to competition between McKesson wholesale drug divisions and other wholesalers.

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

344 (Government's Exhibit G4A received in evidence.)

The Court. I have no objection to your marking them in any way you wish, but what does the "A" have to do with it?

Mr. Dobey. Our numbers are strictly numerical, whereas the first interrogatories had Exhibits A and B attached to them, and then the second set had Exhibits 1 to 7 attached to them. It would apply confusion if we use this manner of marking

The Court. Very well.

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence a stipulation of facts with respect to sales of McKesson products on single orders from re-

tailers, amounting to \$1,000 or more.

Mr. McGrath. We object to the admission of that stipulation on the ground that the material therein contained is not within the issues raised by the pleadings, and, therefore, irrelevant and immaterial, and in any event the material therein contained does not constitute such additional evidence as Judge Murphy decided in his opinion on the motion for summary judgment it might re-

quire in order to constitute a violation.

345 The Court. I will everrule the objection.

: (Government's Exhibit G5A received in evidence.)

Mr. McGrath. May I note an additional ground of objection with respect to that exhibit: The facts therein stipulated do not obtain at the present time with respect to the terms of sales fixed by the defendant.

The Court. Overruled.

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence the deposition of Wilbur E. Dewell, the general manager of the defendant's manufacturing

division, which was taken on February 10, 1955.

Mr. McGrath. I would like to note an objection to the following portions of the deposition of Mr. Dewell upon the same grounds as stated with respect to the objection to the last exhibit: Page 36, beginning with the second question and ending on page 48 with the answer to the third question; page 63, commencing with the first question and ending on page 64 with the answer to the third question; page 70, the last sentence of the first answer, reading

"But they don't get any 20 percent on it"; page 79, commencing with the third question and ending with the answer to

the last question on that page; page 90, commencing with the third question and ending with the statement of the witness on the same page; page 91, commencing with the first question and ending on page 93 with the answer to the first question; page 118, commencing with the second question and ending on page 122 with the answer to the first question.

I also object to the entire cross and redirect examination of the

witness Dewell.

The Court. Who is Dewell?

Mr. McGrath. He is an officer of McKesson & Robbins, Inc., in charge of the manufacturing division. These questions are with relation to this term of sale.

The COURT. On what theory do you offer the deposition?

Mr. Doney. The motion for summary judgment on which the Government was able to move was based solely on an admission of fact.

The Court. This is not a motion for summary judgment, this is a trial. Why isn't he here?

Mr. Dobey. Because I thought I could save a great deal of time.

The Court. You do not make any objection on that basis?

Mr. McGraffi. I do not. I think the issues here are very narrow, and it was our feeling that we could present the deposition. I think the question is one largely of law. Indeed, if this Court is going to follow Judge Murphy, it is already largely determined.

The Court. I hope so.

Mr. McGrath. Except for this yery narrow question of whether the defendant, in making sales direct to retailers, where the orders are \$1,000 or more they give a 20 percent discount, whether that constitutes the additional evidence that Judge Murphy found would have to be present in order to find an antitrust violation arising cut of the dual capacity of manufacturer and wholesaler which the defendant occupies, we say that that is not evidence such as was called for by Judge Murphy. We say it is also an after-thought by the Government because there isn't a single thing in the pleadings with respect to it and, indeed, it was only discovered by the Government on the examination of Mr. Dewell. Those are the grounds for my objection to it. I think, in fairness to your

Honor, that you should not be expected under these circumstances, since we know what is in these papers and you do not, to make a final ruling at this time. I am quite content with your Honor making a ruling which will admit it subject to being stricken when you are in a position to determine the merit of the objection.

The COURT. That is what I will do.

(Government's Exhibit G6A received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence the deposition of Herman C. Nolen, executive vice president in charge of drug merchandising of the wholesale drug division, which deposition was taken on

March 24, 1955.

Mr. McGrath. I object to the following portions of Mr. Nolen's deposition upon the grounds already stated with respect to Mr. Dewell's deposition: Page 63, commencing with the third question ending on page 64 with the answer to the second question; page 65, the fourth question and answer; page 66, commencing with the first question and ending with the answer to the second question; page 67, commencing with the last question and ending on page 68 with the answer to the first question.

The Court. Same ruling.

(Government's Exhibit G7A received in evidence)

Mr. Dober: I offer in evidence Government's Exhibit for identification G8, which is a list of the sellers' published

net wholesale prices in effect on May 27, 1952, when the complaint was filed.

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

(Government's Exhibit G8 for identification received in evi-

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence Exhibit G9 for identification.

Mr. McGrath. I object to that upon the same grounds, namely, that it relates to this discount on orders of \$1,000 or more to retailers, which I say is irrelevant and not within the issues.

The Court. Same ruling.

(Government's Exhibit G9 for identification in evidence.)

The Court. What is that, a list of prices?

Mr. Doney. Prices at which the manufacturer sells direct to retailers.

I offer in evidence Government's Exhibit 10 for identification which is a price list showing the prices on the sales of McKesson products by the manufacturing division to wholesalers and also to retailers.

Mr. McGrath. No objection. 350

(Government's Exhibit G10 for identification received in evidence.

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence Government's Exhibit G11 for identification, which is a summary of sales made by the manufacturing division of McKesson & Robbins to retail accounts. during the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952.

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

(Government's Exhibit G11 for identification received in evi-

dence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence Government's Exhibit G12 for identification, a letter from People's Drug Stores to the Department of Justice, dated March 10, 1955, and Government's Exhibit G13 for identification, which is a list of the locations of the retail drug stores of People's Drug Stores.

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

Government's Exhibit G12 for identification received in evidence.)

(Government's Exhibit G13 for identification received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence Government's Exhibit G14 for identification, which is a letter from McKesson &

Robbins Laboratories to the Northern Drug Co., dated April 17, 1953, with an attached manufacturer-wholesaler Fair Trade agreement.

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

(Government's Exhibit G14 for identification received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence Government's Exhibit 15, a manufacturer-wholesaler Fair Trade agreement bearing the notation in the upper lefthand corner "Fair Trade Form W453."

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

(Government's Exhibit G15 for identification received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in Evidence Government's Exhibit 16, which is a manufacturer retailer Fair Trade agreement bearing the notation in the upper lefthand corner "Fair Trade Form R453."

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

(Government's Exhibit G16 for identification received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidence Government's Exhibit G17, which is a letter from McKesson & Robbins Laboratories dated March 21, 1955, and attached Fair Trade price schedule, revised

March 15, 1955.

352 Mr. McGrath. No objection.

Mr. Sullivan. Will it be stipulated that that letter was sent to all wholesalers to whom McKesson & Robbins sells merchandise?

Mr. Dobey. Yes.

(Government's Exhibit G17 for identification received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I understood originally that it was sent to all wholesalers who bought directly from the manufacturing divisions.

Mr. Sullivan. I thought that is what I said, to whom Mc-Kesson & Robbins Laboratories sells merchandise.

Mr. Dobey. All right.

I offer in evidence Government's G18, which is a summary of sales of McKesson products by the wholesale divisions of McKesson & Robbins to other wholesalers during the period July 1, 1951, and ending June 30, 1952.

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

(Government's Exhibit G18 for identification received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. I offer in evidece Government's Exhibit 353 G19, consisting of questions propounded by Mr. Dobey and

Ehrhardt's answers thereto with respect to Fair Trading of McKesson products in certain states where the law has been held unconstitutional in whole or in part.

Mr. McGrath. I object to this upon the ground that there is no charge in this action of an antitrust violation based on the facts contained in that exhibit.

The Court. Same ruling.

(Government's Exhibit G19 for identification received in evidence.)

Mr. Dobey. Now I should like to offer Government's Exhibits G20 to G30, inclusive, in evidence. These exhibits are all of the same type, consisting of letters to the Department of Justice from retailers purchasing McKesson products direct from the manufacturing division, and a list of locations of retail drug stores attached to each letter.

These letters and attachments are effered pursuant to a letter stipulation of defense counsel that they will waive the hearsay objection and reserve their right to object on the ground of rele-

vancy and materiality. Actually, they are the same type of 4 letter as was already admitted from People's Drug Stores,

Exhibits G12 and G13.

Mr. McGrath. No objection.

(Government's Exhibits G20 for identification to G30 for identification, inclusive, received in evidence.)

PLAINTIFF RESTS

Mr. Dobey. The Government rests, your Honor.

MOTION TO DISMISS AND RULING THEREON

Mr. McGrath. The defendant moves to dismiss the complaint upon the ground that on the facts and on the law a cause of action has not been established.

The Court. I will reserve decision.

Mr. McGrath. The defendant rests and renews the motion made at the close of the plaintiff's case and also moves for judgment.

The Court. I will reserve decisions on both of those motions.

Mr. McGrath. Would your Honor at this time like to hear what the case is all about or would you just like to take it on the papers?

The Court. I would like to hear you. Suppose we take five minutes out so you can collect your thoughts and then you can give it to me briefly as you can.

(Short recess.)

355

ARGUMENT OF MR. DOBEY

Mr Dober. Do you have some questions you would like to ask me, your Honor?

The Court. I do, but I am a very careful man, and I don't think I will. I probably will have some questions after I consider the papers.

You answer the questions you think I onght to ask

Mr. Doney. Is Judge Murphy's opinion the law of the case and is the reasoning he followed with respect to the matter of competition between McKesson and wholesalers the reasoning con-

trolling here in this court? That is the first question.

Our position is, one, it is not the law of the case because the Supreme Court has within the past two years or so indicated that it takes a final judgment for a law of the case, and in this case Judge Murphy left the whole case open for further development of the facts. The facts with respect to the competition between McKesson—

The Court. You say it takes a final judgment to determine the

law of the case?

Mr. Dobey, Yes, sir.

The Court. Why would the question ever arise?

Refining & Mining Company, 339 U. S. 186 (1950), the Supreme Court said: "This was an interlocutory order that was appealable because Congress, notwithstanding its interlocutory character, had made it appealable." Then it went on further to say: "We think that it requires a final judgment to sustain the application of the rule of the law of the case just as it does for the kindred rule of res judicata."

I frankly cannot answer your question, if that is true, why there would be any room for application of the law of the case,

because I do not have the answer to that.

The Court. I suppose what they mean is that a judge rendering final judgment is bound by decisions made along the line he thinks is the law of the case and the Suprems Court thinks not. That is all I can make out on that.

Mr. Doney. Assuming that that statement by the Supreme Court that it does require final judgment is correct—of course there was no final judgment here. In any event, it seems to me

where the case is left open for further development of facts under the earlier Supreme Court decision which we cited

on this law of the case, the Government should not be precluded from reviewing the same question of law upon the further development of the facts, or, I should say, upon the fuller development of the case when the facts are decided on the merits.

The COURT. Why should I disagree with Judge Murphy?

What was wrong with his decision?

Mr. Dobey. I will go into the merits of the first point, and I will tell you why I think you should not follow his reasoning. He states that you must find some additional restraint destructive of competition in order to determine that the contracts are illegal. The statute says that Miller-Tydings does not apply and McGuire does not apply as to Feir Trade agreements on any commodity

between persons in competition with each other. That language is clear. It is unambiguous. McKesson is in competition with these other wholesalers.

At the time Judge Murphy decided the case, we showed by the admission of facts that McKesson was in competition with some of the wholesalers, that its wholesale drug divisions were

in competition. We since that time fully established that it was also in competition with other wholesalers that were not covered at the time of Judge Murphy's epinion, that the wholesale drug divisions were in competition with other wholesalers.

We also established since that time that the wholesale drug divisions sales of approximately \$200,000 of McKesson products to other wholesalers, sales that were made by the wholesale drug divisions, were made to wholesalers who were competitors of the McKesson drug divisions. That was not established at the time

of Judge Murphy's opinion.

We have in addition established that the manufacturing division itself is in competition with the independent wholesalers by selling direct to chain stores who have local stores and their headquarters in the same city or in the same trading area as the independent wholesalers. So we not only have competition between the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson with these other wholesalers but we have competition between the manufacturing division of McKesson and these other wholesalers. We are just all the way on competition between McKesson and these whole-

salers who are bound by these Fair Trade agreements, so that McKesson is in competition with the other wholesalers, and the statute says clearly, unequivocably, unambiguously, that Miller-Tydings and also the McGuide Act do not apply as to price agreements on any commodity between per-

sons in competition with each other.

I think Judge Murphy took the position, and the Federal Trade Commission has recently taken the same position after the majority had gone the other way, that after Judge Murphy's opinion they took the same position as he in the Eastman-Kodak case that competition is not enough, although the Eastman-Kodak case does not present some of the strong features from the Government's standpoint.

There the retail stores of Eastman-Kodak are separately incorporated, and they sell in competition with other retail independent stores that handle Eastman products. There are other differentiating features which makes this case much stronger.

I won't take the time to point them out.

I will say that taking the Federal Trade Commission decision on Eastman-Kodak together with Judge Joseph's opinion in the

Aljan case, and Judge Murphy's opinion—the reasoning there followed is this: There are a lot of manufacturers in the country who sell at two levels of distribution. Then they 360 say, since there are a lot of manufacturers in the country who sell at two levels of distribution, we must assume that Congress did not act in a vacuum, and we must assume that Congress knew about that, about the fact that there are lots of manufacturers selling at more than one level of distribution, and if Congress, as we assume, knew about that, then surely Congress did not intend to leave this large group of manufacturers who sell at two levels of distribution outside of this privilege granted by the Miller-Tydings Act and the McGuire Act. We say that reasoning is all wet, because in the first place, in legislative historythere just isn't sufficient or adequate legislative history to override the clear language of the statute. They have one colloquy between Senator Sparkman and Senator Humphrey at the time the McGuire Act was passed, after this complaint was filed, and that

They do not have anything on the original Miller-Tydings Act.

That one colloquy was made by two members of the Senate who

tends to support their position, but that is all they got.

had nothing to do with either bill, they were not on either of the committees that considered the original Miller-Tydings Act or the subsequent McGuire Act.

The COURT. That would indicate it was a matter of common knowledge.

Mr. DQBEY. They discussed the legal situation actually with respect to this specific case, they didn't mention the case by name. Actually, if you review that legislative history—and I don't intend to do it in detail now, because it would take much time, but I did it in the motion for summary judgment—all you will find to support their position is that single colloquy.

I recall one exchange when the Miller-Tydings Act was being considered in committee between the counsel for the National Association of Retail Druggists, one of the leading proponents of the bill—and the committee, and this colloquy was to the effect that the Act only granted this privilege to persons not connected with the same type of operation, which is right on the point.

Here you have McKesson connected with both types of operation. However, that language was that it only applies to persons connected with the same type of operation.

Then there is other language in the legislative history to the effect that the contracts in discussion must be purely vertical. I emphasize the word "purely," because here they are not purely vertical.

For example, they control the retail price of McKesson products sold by the wholesale drug divisions to other wholesalers,

and there you have a clear element of horizontality, it is definitely

not purely vertical.

In addition to that, the horizontal feature is emphasized by provisions in the contracts which in effect means that McKesson has to give independent wholesalers reasonable notice whenever Mc-Kesson changes its own wholesale published prices. That means that McKesson itself cannot make an original sale on McKesson products, not a resale, but an original sale, without giving notice to their competitors.

Miller-Tydings and McGuire only extend to resale price agree-There is nothing in there authorizing any kind of restriction on an original sale price of a product by the manufacturer. That is a clear element of collusion on a horizontal basis. It demonstrates the horizontal character of the

agreement.

Defense counsel attempt to meet that by saving, "When 363 we ship products from the manufacturing division to the wholesale drug divisions, we treat that as a sale. We bill them, and then they send a check to our home office in New York, and it is just like a sale of McKesson products to independent wholesalers."

We say, oh, no, it is not a sale, because McKesson cannot sell something to itself that McKesson already owns. As a matter of fact, their case collapses on that specific point, unless your Honor rules that a corporation can sell a product to itself, because Miller-Tydings and McGuire only authorize resale price agreements under certain conditions, whereas here you have a restriction upon McKesson's own original salesprice for the first sale of a product to McKesson. They cannot change their public prices without giving reasonable notice to their competitors who are handling the same product.

I should like also to point out that either Judge Murphy or his law clerk completely misread the Supreme Court's decision in the Masonite case, because there is a clear misstatement of the facts

of that case in Judge Murphy's opinion. Judge Murphy said that case involved an arrangement between competing-

The Court I don't think you need go into that.

Mr. Dobey. I want to point out also that while we say the language of the statute is clear, and we think it is as clear as any, thing could be, if there is to be any interpreting of that Act or any special consideration to be given of interpretation this way or that, that provision about persons in competition with each other was put into the bill by the Department of Justice in conference with Senator Tydings, and only when that provision was put in there did the Administration at that time withdraw its opposition to the bill, and at that time the bill did not go through because of the opposition.

Shall I pass on to the additional restraint?

The Court. I think so.

Mr. Dobey. There was a statement in Judge Murphy's opinion to the effect that the Government must prove some additional restraint destructive of competition in order to prove the contracts were outside of Miller-Tydings. We inquired into the matter

when we were faced with Judge Murphy's opinion-we did not think we needed an additional restraint-finding

that we do, we inquired into the facts and we found that the manufacturing division of McKesson was selling McKesson products in greater quantities to retailers than it was selling McKesson products to wholesalers, and it was selling these products on orders of \$1,000 or more and at 20 percent discount from the list, whereas their wholesale Fair Trade prices provided for a maximum discount of 10 percent and 5 percent from list. other words, McKesson was undercutting its own Fair Trade prices in selling to chain stores and was engaging in unfair competition with the independent wholesalers.

The COURT. Is there any reason why you didn't amend your

complaint?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, sir, I don't think it needs amending.

The complaint charges illegal price-fixing by price-fixing contracts. Now the question is—I should say, there was resale pricefixing contracts—the only question is whether those contracts are entitled to the immunity of Miller-Tydings and McGuire. They have to be entitled to that immunity, otherwise we win. They

are not entitled to that immunity unless McKesson products are sold in free and open competition, and here they were not being sold in free and open competition. They were being sold in unfair competition with the independent wholesalers at prices below the prices which the independent whole-

salers were required by McKesson to pay.

There are two decisions which are cited in the brief, the Gillette case and the Ciba case, where the Court held that that type of practice nullified the provisions of Miller-Tydings and Mc-Guire. We say if what they are doing is a nullification of the Fair Trade Law rather than in accordance with its purpose, they certainly have no protection under the law of the Sherman Act. They have no protection because the products are not being sold in open and fair competition. They are still under agreements restraining competition with themselves.

After Mr. Dewell's deposition on that point, after we made our record on it, when we took the next deposition of Mr. Nolen. McKesson offered an exhibit whereby they eliminated their Fair Trade prices with respect to sales of McKesson products amounting to \$1,000 or over on a single order, and I think that practically amounts to a confession of guilt on this point. However, I don't think they solved their problem, because I think their new Fair Trade system, whereby they are going to Fair Trade their product up to sales of \$1,000 and not Fair Trade them over \$1,000 is also beyond the intent and purpose of Miller-Tydings and McGuive. What it obviously means is that they intend to allow the large chain store buyers to buy at non-Fair Trade prices, whereas the independent retailers with one store will buy at fixed Fair Trade prices.

I think that is probably worse than the way they had their system at the time we took Mr. Dewell's deposition. It is equally clear if not more clean that that type of arrangement is in contravention of the basic provisions of Miller-Tydings and McGuire.

Would you like to ask me any questions, your Honor?

The Court. Not now.

Mr. Dobey. There was a boycott provision in the case, which was with respect to a provision in their contract at the time the complaint was filed, which was removed after the complaint was filed. Do you care for any discussion on that point?

The COURT. No.

ARGUMENT OF MR. MCGRATH

Mr. McGrath. Judge, I would just like briefly to set the posture of this case in the general Fair Trade controversy-let us call it that-which involves legislation and litigation. This case was started in May 1952. At that time the Miller-Tydings Act was in effect. The McGuire Act had not yet been passed.

The year previously the Supreme Court of the United States had shorn the Miller-Tydings Act of some of its effectiveness by saying that it was unconstitutional to try and impose the obligations of a price-fixing Fair Trade agreement upon a nonsigner.

That was determined in the Schwegmann case.

Of course, we start with the Sherman Act, which forbids agreements in restraint of trade. The Miller-Tydings Act had the effect of validating state laws which authorized price-fixing on branded products which were in open competition. The Schwegmann decision having shorn the Miller-Tydings Act of certain of its effectiveness, Congress was considering new legislation so as to fill the gap which resulted from the Schwegmann case.

While Congress had under consideration this problem the present-lawsuit was started in May 1952. Now, it is a test case.

You might just as well recognize it as a test case.

The purpose of the case is to test one aspect of the Fair Trade area, namely, whether a producer who is also a wholesaler or where someone in business operates at two functional levels, whether they can impose Fair Trade prices on their products.

Now McKesson & Robbins being both a manufacturer and a wholesaler provides a classic opportunity for testing the Government contention that wherewhere is operation at two levels there.

is no protection in the Miller-Tydings Act.

There were two other situations which were more or less contemporaneous, one involving Doubleday, Doran, the book people who made books and who also had retail outlets, and the other involving Eastman-Kodak, which manufactures film and camera supplies, and what not, and also has retail outlets. They operated at two levels of distribution and manufacturing and therefore were in a dual capacity.

After this lawsuit was started, which, apart from this boycott thing which is no longer in the case, merely set forth the accusa-

tion that McKesson operated at the manufacturing wholesale level and therefore was not entitled to protection under the Miller-Tydings Act, then along comes McGuire.

The McGuire Act was passed in the summer of 1952, and that validated what the Schwegmann case had said was unlawful, and the McGuire Act carried over substantially the same language as Miller-Tydings with respect to the prohibition of so-called

horizontal dealing.

As I understand the philosophy of this Fair Trade thing in a few words, it is that the Government will condone, the Federal Government will condone a restraint of price competition where states authorize it provided there is complete freedom of brand competition. Where two products manufactured by competing manufacturers, each having their brand name and having good will and being supported by substantial investments, are competing for the same product, the Government apparently feels that they are entitled to protection against price-cutters. That was the purpose of the McGuire Act. That was the purpose of Miller-Tydings.

Since that was the purpose, it seems fair to ask the question whether the Government would undertake to deal with a 371 small segment of that problem or the Legislature would deal with a small segment of the problem and at the same time exclude a very large segment of the problem from protection. Chairman Howrey of the Federal Trade Commission puts it this way: "The merchandising practices of respondent are in no sense unique." He was speaking of Eastman-Kodak. He says that the practice of dealing at two levels of distribution is a generally accepted business practice.

He states: "This common business practice was well known and generally accepted at the time of the enactment of the Miller-Tydings and the McGuire Acts. In determining intent there is a strong presumption that Congress does not act in a vacuum and is fully informed as to contemporaneous conditions of common knowledge."

He reaches the conclusion that the Miller-Tydings Act and the McGuire Act were never intended to withhold their protection. from the manufacturer who also was active at another level of distribution. I am handing up the Eastman-Kodak decision for

your Honor's use.

The Government tries to read the statute very narrowly and says that so long as the parties to the price fixing agreement are in the slightest bit of competition with each. other the protection of the McGuire Act does not apply. The proof that has not the contention of Congress is found in the statement by Senator-Humphrey, in which he said, "Many producers of trade-marked items sell them to consumers, retailers and wholesalers alike."

"Under the bill"—and he is talking about the McGuire Act-"such firms, may make resale price-maintenance contracts with both wholesalers and retailers because such contracts are vertical,

that is, between sellers and buyers,"

In other words, what is forbidden is an agreement between two wholesalers at the horizontal level conspiring to restrain the price of competitive products. The mere fact that a manufacturer imposes a price fix which is applicable to everybody at the wholesale level, and also a price fix which is applicable to everybody at the retail level, whether the retailer buys from a wholesaler or buys direct from the manufacturer, is certainly within the contemplation of this law as the Senator expressed it because we

are dealing with vertical contracts, we are dealing from one level of distribution upstream or downstream, whatever

the case may be, to the next one.

Senator Humphrey said that was all/right. The Government says, well, that is the comment of a single legislator and should be disregarded as such. However, I invite your Honor's attention to a Supreme Court decision just made on April 25th of this year in 75 Supreme Court Reports 591, where the Supreme Court took just such a statement of a member of Congress as to what Congress was trying to accomplish by the bill; that is, they took. that as their guide in interpreting the legislation. By the way, that involved an immigration problem.

So that it seems to me at least when we point out what Senator Humphrey said, we know what one member of Congress was trying to accomplish by it. It should not be discarded as having

no value, particularly when there is no countervailing evidence of

the intention of Congress on the other side.

Judge Murphy had everything before him that your Honor has now, except this term of sale which gives a direct buying retailer who buys more than \$1,000 worth in one order a 20 percent discount. I am going to come to that in a minute. However,

before I do, I want to talk a bit about the effect of Judge 374 Murphy's opinion. He said, "Since every Fair Trade agreement made by a producer who acts in no other capacity necessarily restrains competition, the true test of legality in the situation of the producer-wholesaler of dual capacity is whether some additional restraint destructive of competition is occasioned."

In other words, he says, "Mr. United States, show me why the producer-wholesaler is guilty of any greater restraint than the

producer alone when he price-fixes,"

I take it that is the burden that the Government must sustain on this trial if Judge Murphy is to be followed.

I say they have failed completely in meeting that burden.

On the question of whether Judge Murphy is to be followed or not, I don't make any argument about the law of the case. I think I have some idea what the law of the case means, but I don't want to put this on any technical basis. I have long since learned not to tell a judge what he cannot do, because he is apt to try to

find a way to do it.

375 I believe Judge Murphy is entitled to be followed because his reasoning is sound. I think you can have the law of the case before a final judgment if a decision of an intermediate character is made in a case and it is appealable and the party fails to appeal. From that point on that is a settled issue in that case,

However, I think while you can still review what a court has decided, it is still an open question, and I think what Judge Murphy has decided in this case is still certainly subject to review and appeal. Therefore, it may very well be what your Honor has before you with respect to Judge Murphy's decision is a question of policy rather than a question of binding obligation. However, take it either way, I would not be urging the soundness of Judge Murphy's decision unless I thought it was absolutely sound. I stand on it for that reason and I invite your Honor to do the same.

Assuming the soundness of his decision, we pass on to the sole inquiry that is left in this case, namely, whether proof of the fact

that when McKesson wholesale laboratories makes a sale to a retailer in an amount of \$100,000 or more and gives it a 20 percent discount it furnishes the Government with such

percent discount, it furnishes the Government with such additional restraint of competition as to comply with the prerequisite set down by Judge Murphy. When you analyze that transaction which the Government cites, that does not depend upon the

dual capacity of McKesson at all. If McKesson were never in the wholesale business, but were merely a manufacturer, it could still make a sale to a retailer and give it a 20 percent discount if he ordered \$1,000 or more. I don't even ask your Honor to stand on that. I say we ought to look at the realities of the situation.

Why does McKesson say to a retailer, "If you order \$1,000 or more from me, I will give you a 20 percent discount"? The reason is because that particular retailer is a very special retailer. The average retailer orders about \$45. When a retailer orders \$1,000 or more at one time the chances are he is a chain buying for several outlets and not only one, and is performing a warehousing function, and therefore is performing some of the functions of a wholesaler as well as a retailer.

The wholesale houses buying from McKesson, the manufacturer, get a 25 percent discount on their purchases, so it is not unreasonable to give a 20 percent discount to a retailer who buys in large quantities and therefore performs some of the normal functions of a wholesaler.

Quite apart from that, if the Government has any complaint about this 20 percent discount to a retailer buying \$1,000 or more, it can only be that it is not binding itself to the prices that it fixes fo other wholesalers. That is what they call in equity, having clean hands, in other words, if you don't oblige yourself to do the same thing that you impose on other wholesalers, well, then, you vitiate the price-fixing arrangement that you have attempted to impose on them, and you cannot enforce it, because you have to come into equity to enforce it with clean hands, and the books are replete with decisions establishing that doctrine.

However, there is a vast distinction between being entitled to a remedy because of your own conduct and being guilty of an antitrust violation. I say it may be that the attempted imposition of a price fix on the other wholesalers might be weakened or

vitiated by this term of sale, but in no event is it a violation of the antitrust statutes, and that is what your Honor has before you in this case.

And, of course, the final observation with respect to this 20 percent discount is that when the Government started making a point about it in connection with the examinations before trial, we sent out a notice to our wholesalers terminating it because it is a matter of such rare occurrence that it did not mean anything to us. Therefore, we just took it out rather than argue about it.

Now, the Government says that taking it out is worse than leaving it in. That observation of the Government is characteristic of this lawsuit, and, frankly, I don't hesitate to say most respectfully that I don't like this lawsuit, because a complaint that was served in May 1952, despite all the changes that have come about since then, is still the complaint which we are expected to meet, despite the changed conditions, despite the fact that there is no longer any boycott, despite the fact they make no complaints against us victating state laws in any document they have served on us, and after Judge Murphy's decision, we are confronted with a situation where the Government is clutching straws to sustain what started out to be a test case.

379 I say that is unseemly, and I think that this case should be tried on the issues that were originally posed, that those issues originally posed should be fixed, rather than trying to clutch at straws and introduce new issues to try to keep the breath of life in a case which has already expired with the rendition of Judge Murphy's opinion.

The question that was raised in this test case was a question of law, as to whether the dual capacity of the manufacturer or wholesaler was within the protection of the Miller-Tydings Act and

later the McGuire Act.

I say that is the only issue to which this Court should address itself. I say that simplifies the problem of the Court because that issue has already been determined by Judge Murphy, and that therefore the defendants have judgment.

Thank you.

The Court. I will reserve decision.

[Reporter's certificate to foregoing Transcript omitted in printing.]

380 United States District Court, Southern District of New York

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLAINTIFF

against

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, Defendant

Opinion

June 3, 1955

351 CLANCY, D. J.:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Defendant, operating through 74 divisions located in 35 states, conducts nationwide, a wholesale business in drugs, pharmaceutical, surgical and medical supplies, toilet articles and sundry other items customarily sold in drug stores.

2. Defendant also manufactures its own line of drug products which are packaged and sold under its brand name of "McKesson Products". Large annual gross sales of its own products are

made by its own wholesale divisions, other wholesale competitors

and directly by it to large retailers.

3. With both the wholesale competitors and the large retailers, defendant, in accord with the Fair Trade laws of the several states permitting them, enters into fair trade price fixing agreements affecting retail sales of its own products.

4. Defendant's fair trade contracts for the sale of its own products to wholesalers provide for a maximum resale discount of ten and five percent. Defendant's fair trade contracts with retailers provide for a discount of twenty percent for purchases in excess

of \$1,000. Defendant's retail customers are mostly chain stores. Some sales of \$1,000 or more of its products are made by other wholesalers to retailers but such instances are rare.

5. Immediately, after the decision of the Supreme Court in Schwegmann Bros. v. Calvert Distillers Corp., 341 U. S. 384, defendant employed a fair trade agreement with its wholesaler purchasers which contained a clause adapted to control the sales price to successive purchasers of its products. This action was started in May, 1952. The McGyare Act was passed in July, 1952. Defendant stopped using the clause in April, 1953. The accused clause of the contract employed by the defendant during this period was on its face an effort to meet the Schwegmann decision. Defendant's position was that of all manufacturers in that its rights under the anti-trust laws and the state fair trade laws were indeterminate and unsettled. Its discontinuance of the use of its clause was not too long after the McGuire Act was enacted, and there is no reason now to assume the possibility of further use of it. After reading the disputed clause, the Court is of the opinion that to render any decision on the legality of its use by the defendant in this case in the circumstances in which it operated from 1951 to 1953 would be futile. So would be the award now of an injunction against its reuse.

6. Defendant has had price fixing agreements in some states which, since the commencement of this action, have declared

their fair trade laws unconstitutional. There is no evidence in this case that defendant has entered into or continued any fair price contract in any state after the local fair trade law was declared unconstitutional. In fact, the defendant's contracts now provide that they are only binding if legal under the state law. Again, the Court sees no reason why this defendant should be admonished for accepting a legislative enactment as constitutional until it was found not to be.

Conclusion of Law

1. Judgment is granted dismissing the complaint.

The Government in this case moved for summary judgment on the theory that any price fixing agreement which was made with competing wholesalers by defendant as a wholesaler as well as a manufacturer, was a per se violation of the Sherman Act.

Judge Murphy, denying the Government's motion, said: "The heart of the problem presented rises from defendant's dual role as manufacturer and wholesaler. The language of the statute could provide an unerring guide to its speedy solution only if one of defendant's capacities is carefully considered and the other happily ignored. * * * Merely to establish a fair trade agree-

ment with an independent wholesaler by a dual producerwholesaler is insufficient to make out a prima facie case of restraint of trade under the Sherman Act. Are all such agreements privileged or only some of them? If the latter, what then is the line of demarcation between valid and illegal ones? We think the test consists of a factual showing of illegality."

Judge Murphy's ruling that fair trade price fixing by a producer-wholesaler was not per se illegal under the Sherman Act, was necessary to his decision of the motion, and we regard it as the law of the case. In any event we concur in and adopt his decision.

The only question that remains after the trial of this case is, did the Government make out a "factual showing of Hegality" by proving some additional restraint of competition by the defendant which, in conjunction with their fair trade price fixing, would constitute a violation of the Sherman Act?

The Government has submitted proof that the defendant as a producer entered into fair trade contracts with large retailers which provided for a 20 percent discount for purchases in excess of \$1,000. Defendant's fair trade contracts with independent wholesalers allow a discount of 10 and 5 percent off list. It is the Government's contention that this is an additional restraint that renders the defendant's entire fair trade system a violation of the Sherman Act.

The defendant contends that the evidence of this discount to large retailers is not relevant or material under the allegations of the Government's complaint. An examination of the complaint shows that it was directed at defendant's fair price agreements with competing wholesalers. In fact, paragraph 8 of the complaint lists only independent wholesalers as co-conspirators. The Government's new claim makes them the injured victims of conspiring retailers though nowhere in the complaint is there any mention of a conspiracy or agreements with retailers. But the Government insists that the proof is coherent and within the issues in that the difference in defendant's discounts to wholesaler and retailer buyers infects all the

wholesalers' fair trade agreements, making them instruments for illegal restraint.

Accepting this theory, we hold that the proof submitted does not show the additional restraint it is intended to demonstrate.

There is nothing in the evidence submitted by the Government which would support a finding by this Court that this difference of discount by itself or in conjunction with defendant's fair trade price structure in any way restricts competition more than does any fair trade price system.

Defendant vouchsafes the explanation that the 20 percent discount merely reflects the fair value of the wholesaler's services

in warehousing and handling performed by the large re-386 tailer and that such a retailer is not a potential customer of the independent wholesaler. The Government contends that it is used by the defendant to eliminate the competition of

the independent wholesalers for the large retail business.

Whether the difference of discount is a fair and legitimate quantitative price discount in no wise affecting competition or is a means of restricting competition, this Court has no way of determining. The few facts do not speak for themselves. The burden of proof was the Government's. The complaint must be dismissed.

Dated: New York, N. Y., June 3, 1955.

John W. Clancy, United States District Judge.

Judgment entered:

WILLIAM V. CONNELL,

Clerk.

JUNE 6, 1955.

387 In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Notice of motion to make additional findings

Filed June 22, 1955

[File endorsement omitted.]

[Title omitted.]

Please take notice that the undersigned will move the Court in accordance with the attached Motion to Make Additional Findings of Fact on the 22nd day of June 1955 at Room 706, United States Courthouse, Foley Square, Borough of Manhattan, City, County and State of New York at 10:00 a.m., or as soon there-

after as counsel can be heard for an order granting said motion and for such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York, June 14, 1955. Yours etc.

Richard B. O'Donne'
RICHARD B. O'DON

Special Assistant to the Attorney General, Roc 232, U.S. Courthouse, Foley Square, New York, N

ALLEN A OBEY,
E. WIN & TURNER,
JOHN J AURNER,
Trial Attorneys.

WORTH ROWLEY,

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

To Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, 20 Pine Street, New York 5, New York, Attorneys for McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated.

Service acknowledged and copy received this 14th day of June

1955:

Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey,

Attorneys for Defendant, McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated.

388 In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Motion to make additional findings

Filed June 22, 1955

[Title omitted.]

The United States of America, plaintiff, by it attorneys, pursuant to Rule 52 (b) of the Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby moves the Court to make additional findings of fact in the above-entitled action as set forth below in the paragraphs numbered 1 to 12, inclusive.

The grounds upon which this Motion is made are that the requested additional findings of fact are fully supported by the exidence and will clarify the Record for the purposes of the plaintiff's

appeal.

1. The defendant is the largest drug wholesaler in the United States and there are no other drug wholesalers in the United States of comparable size (Nolen, p. 35). The defendant operates 74 wholesale drug divisions located in 35 states (par. 4 of Answer to Complaint, Nolen, p. 62). The defendant is also a manufacturer of a line of drug products, hereinafter referred to as "McKesson"

products". The defendant's wholesale drug divisions and the defendant's manufacturing division (which is located at Bridgeport, Conn.) are not separately incorporated (par. 1 of Answer

to Supplemental Interrogatories).

2. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 1954 the sales of all drag products by McKesson's wholesale drug divisions amounted to \$338,000,000 (Nolen, p. 34), while the sales of McKesson products by the manufacturing division for the same fiscal year amounted to \$11,000,000 (Dewell, p. 8). This figure of \$11,000,000 included "sales" of McKesson products by the manufacturing division to the defendant's own wholesale drug divisions. (Dewell, p. 8). The defendant, in addition to distributing McKesson products through its own wholesale drug divisions, sells McKesson products from its manufacturing division direct to 21 independent whole-

salers. (Answers 7 and 8 to First Set of Interrogatories). 389 For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1952 the defendant's sales of McKesson products to these independent wholesalers amounted to \$763,767. (Answer 1 to First Set of Interrogatories). The defendant's wholesale drug divisions are in competition with all but 5 of these 21 independent wholesalers.

(Admission of Facts, Stipulation of Facts)

3. The defendant's wholesale drug divisions, in addition to selling McKesson products to retailers, also sell McKesson products to other wholesalers (G. 5, par. 16 of Answer to Supplemental Interrogatories). For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1952 the aggregate sales of McKesson products by the wholesale drug divisions to other wholesalers amounted to about \$200,000 (par. 18 of Answer to Supplemental Interrogatories, G. 18). The defendant's wholesale drug divisions are in competition with the other wholesalers to whom they sell McKesson products. (Nolen, pp. 50, 51, 55).

4. The defendant's manufacturing division; in addition to distributing McKesson products through the McKesson wholesale drug divisions and selling such products to independent wholesalers, also sells McKesson products directly to a select group of retailers who are considered "desirable accounts" by the manufacturing division (Dewell, p. 30). This type of business is actively solicited by salesmen of the manufacturing division (Dewell, pp. 25, 26, 27, 28, 71), who do not contact retailers whose probable purchases are going to be small. (Dewell, p. 70). Whether the manufacturing division will sell a particular retailer on a direct basis depends upon to what extent the retailer will "cooperate" with the manufacturing division (Dewell, p. 31), what facilities the retailer has for taking in and Fandling the quantities of Mc-Kesson products required for dire t sales (Dewell, p. 32), what the retailer will do in the way of "pushing" McKesson products (Dewell, p. 32), what "commitments" the retailer will make

(Dewell, p. 33), whether the retailer will promise to display the merchandise, present it to the public, and encourage the retailer's clerks to sell McKesson products (Dewell, p. 35), and whether the retailer will carry a full representative line of McKesson products

(Dewell, pp. 72, 73, 74).

5. The retailers who are permitted to purchase McKesson products directly from the manufacturing division of McKesson consist for the most part of large chain drug stores and large syndicate stores (G. 11 and G. 26-30, inclusive). The sales of McKesson products by the manufacturing division direct to retailers for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1952 amounted to \$1,352,521 (G. 11 and Annex to Item 19 of Answer to Supplemental Interrogatories), and were in excess of the defendant's sales of McKesson products to independent wholesalers which amounted to approximately \$963,767 (including estimated sales of \$200,000 by the wholesale drug divisions to other wholesalers).

6. Direct retail accounts of the manufacturing division, on single orders for McKesson products of \$1,000 or more, receive a discount of 20% off list (G. 9), whereas the maximum discount allowed by the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson is 10% and 5% from list which is the maximum allowable discount under the defendant's fair trade price schedules for McKesson products (Dewell, p. 43). An independent wholesaler could not sell McKesson products at 20% from list without violating his fair trade agreement with McKesson (Dewell, p. 43). The defendant's published net wholesale prices, which are the defendant's fair trade prices, are applicable to both independent wholesalers and McKesson's wholesale drug divisions (Dewell, pp. 90, 91).

7. The flat 20% discount, which is allowed only to the direct retailer customers of the manufacturing division (Dewell, p. 91), applies only where the order is for \$1,000 or more (Dewell, pp. 36, 37), but the manufacturing division will honor an order from a retailer for a smaller quantity at the wholesale price (Dewell,

pp. 36, 37).

8. On February 10, 1955 the government took the deposition of Mr. Wilbur E. Dewell, the defendant's Vice President in charge of the defendant's manufacturing division, and examined Mr. Dewell at length concerning the discounts which the manufacturing division allowed to its direct retailer customers. On March 21, 1955, after the taking of Mr. Dewell's deposition, the

defendant issued a new fair trade price schedule which 391 provides that the defendant's fair trade prices do not apply on single orders of \$1,000 or more (G. 17). Prior to March 21, 1955 the defendant had never advised independent wholesalers that their fair trade agreements did not apply to sales to retailers where the order was for \$1,000 or more (Dewell,

p. 125).

9. Although until March 21, 1955, McKesson's fair trade agreements with independent wholesalers provided for a maximum allowable discount on sales to retailers of 10% and 5% from list, and although McKesson's own wholesale drug divisions observed these same fair trade maximum discounts of 10% and 5% from list (applicable to orders of \$250 or more), nevertheless in some instances some independent wholesalers and some wholesale drug divisions of McKesson have filled single orders from retailers for McKesson products amounting to \$1,000 or more, although such instances have been rare (Stipulation of Facts). These orders of \$1,000 or over have been filled by independent wholesalers and wholesale drug divisions of McKesson in spite of the competitive advantage enjoyed by the manufacturing division of McKesson by reason of the flat 20% discount allowed to its direct buying retailers on orders of this size. The defendant's fair trade price agreements with independent wholesalers not only serve to protect McKesson's own wholesale drug divisions from being undercut in price by independent wholesalers, but also serve to protect the manufacturing division on its sales direct to retailers (Dewell, pp. 117, 118). The independent wholesaler, by virtue of his fair trade agreement with McKesson, has been required to sell Mc-Kesson products to retailers at discounts of not more than 10% and 5% from list while McKesson's manufacturing division has been selling its selected group of chain store retailers at a flat 20% from list on orders of \$1,000 or over.

10. The manufacturing division of McKesson, by virtue of its sales of McKesson products direct to retailers, is in competition with McKesson's own wholesale drug divisions (Nolen, pp. 44, 45) and with the independent wholesalers who handle McKesson products (Nolen, p. 44). It is customary for direct retail

accounts of the manufacturing division to buy some McKesson products from local wholesalers as well as from . 392 the manufacturing division (Nolen, pp. 42, 43). Local steres of a chain of drug stores, as a matter of custom in the industry, have some local purchasing authority to buy drug products from local wholesalers (Nolen, p. 43). McKesson's own wholesale drug division salesmen call on some direct retail accounts of the manufacturing division (Nolen, pp. 37, 38, 40), and sell some McKesson products to these accounts (Nolen, pp. 39, 40). Some of the direct retail accounts of the manufacturing division do not purchase the complete line of McKesson products from the manufacturing division and buy additional McKesson products from local wholesalers. (Nolen, p. 39, G. 12.) Substantially all of the large chain drug stores that are direct accounts of the manufacturing division purchase some McKesson products from wholesale drug divisions of McKesson and local independent wholesalers, although these purchases in some cases are limited to "shorts" and "emergency" items (G. 20 to G. 30, inclusive).

11. The large chain drug store retail accounts of the manufacturing division have local stores (and in some instances their headquarters) in the trading areas of the independent wholesalers who handle McKesson products (G. 20 to G. 30, inclusive).

12. The five independent wholesalers who purchase McKesson products from the manufacturing division, as to whom the Record does not show competition with any McKesson wholesale drug division, are as follows:

(1) District Wholesale Drug Co., Washington, D. C.

(2) H. B. Gilpin Co., with branches at Washington, D. C., Baltimore, Maryland, and Norfolk, Virginia.

(3) M. Brenner and Son, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

(4) Krull Wholesale Drug Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

(5) Shoemaker and Busch, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The manufacturing division of McKesson competes with each of these wholesalers by selling direct to chain drug stores located in their trading areas (G. 12, 13, 22, 26). For example, the manu-

facturing division sells some McKesson products direct to Peoples
Drug Stores, whose home office is in Washington, with local
stores at various locations in the trading area of H. B.

Gilpin Co. (G. 12, 13). As another example, the manufacturing division solicits orders for and sells McKesson products direct to, Sun Ray Drug Co. of Philadelphia Dewell, p. 56, G. 26), where two independent wholesalers of McKesson products are located, namely, Krull Wholesale Drug Co. and Shoemaker and Busch.

Dated June 14, 1955.

ALLEN A. DOBEY,
E. WINSLOW TURNER,
JOHN F. HUGHES,
Trial Attorneys.

WORTH ROWLEY,

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

Special Assistant to the Attorney General.

394-A

Memo. of denial of motion

June 22, 1955

This application is denied June 22, 1955.

JOHN W. LEAVEY.

395 In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Affidavit of Denis B. Sullivan in Opposition to motion for making additional findings

Filed June 22, 1955

File endorsement omitted.

[Title omitted.]

STATE OF NEW YORK.

County of New York, ss:

Denis B. Sullivan, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am a member of the law firm of Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, attorneys for the defendant. I am familiar. with all the proceedings heretofore had herein. I submit this affidavit in opposition to the plaintiff's motion requesting this Court to make additional findings of fact.

2. The proposed additional findings are unnecessary in that the findings already made by this Court are complete and need no supplementation or amplification. Furthermore, it appears on the face of the proposed additional findings that they are improper in form and substance. .

3. The rule applicable to findings generally is as stated by the Court of Appeals for this Circuit in Petterson Lighterage & Towing Corp. v. New York Central R. Co., 126 F. 2d 992, 996

(1942):

"Findings should not be discursive; they should not state 396 the evidence or any of the reasoning upon the evidence; they should be categorical and confined to those propositions of fact which fit upon the relevant propositions of law

Here the findings already made by this Court comply with that rule. It has been found that the defendant is both a manufacturer and a wholesaler, that as a manufacturer it sells its products to other wholesalers and also directly to retailers, that it fair trades its brand named products, and that in selling its brand named products it competes with wholesalers with whom it has fair trade contracts. These are the only ultimate facts needed to be found in disposing of the one issue to which the proposed additional findings are addressed, namely, whether because of the dual capacity in which McKesson operates and because of the existence of competition between it and others with whom it has fair trade contracts, such contracts are illegal price fixing agreements.

4. In this case no more elaborate findings are necessary. The action is and should remain a test case and the issue is almost entirely one of law. The action was brought to test the meaning of certain language contained in an exception to the Miller-Tydings Act which prohibits "horizontal" arrangements between manufacturers, between wholesalers, and between persons in competition with each other. The plaintiff contended that it prohibited fair trade contracts between a manufacturer like Mc-Kesson which is also a wholesaler in competition with other wholesalers whose minimum resale prices for McKesson

1 with

397 products are fixed by the defendant. The basic facts were conceded. There were no witnesses whose credibility had to be determined. The evidence was entirely documentary.

6. Entirely apart from the above, however, it is evident from a reading of the proposed additional findings that they violate every facet of the rule enunciated in the Petterson Lighterage & Towing Corp. case, supra. Except to the extent that they restate findings already made and are therefore redundant, they are discursive, lengthy and argumentative and consist almost entirely of a recital of evider e, with frequent references to the record where such evidence purports to be found. They are nothing more than a running statement of the evidence most favorable to the Government concerning the operations of this defendant as both a manufacturer and a wholesaler, carefully selected and intermingled in such a way as to give a distorted picture of the activities under attack in this action. They are permeated with half truths, leading inevitable to false inferences.

7. I respectfully submit that this motion presents a classic example of why the rule requires findings to be concise, categorical, non-argumentative and devoid of evidence. By selecting some evidence only and ignoring the balance, the plaintiff is here asking this Court to write a misleading decision. Among others, the following are a few of the inaccuracies which would result:

(a) Throughout the proposed additional findings facts concerning the operations of the manufacturing division are so interlaced with facts concerning the operations of the wholesale divisions as to create the erroneous impression that both operations are conducted at the same functional level. Actually the reverse is true. The proof shows that the manufacturing business is as separate and distinct from the wholesaling business as any two businesses could be and still be conducted by one corporation. The two businesses are conducted independently of each other, at different levels in the marketing structure, in different establishments and by different staffs of employees.

(b) In various of the proposed additional findings statements are made concerning the nature and extant of the competition

which easts with the independent wholesalers. Some are false, others e only partly true. It is not the fact that there is competition between the manufacturing division and the independent. wholesalers for the business of any retailers. And it is not the fact that there is any real competition in reselling McKesson products between the wholesale divisions and the other wholesalers to. whom the wholesale divisions make courtesy sales of McKesson products. Also, it is only half true that competition exists between the wholesale divisions and all but five of the independent wholesalers. Most of the wholesale divisions are located in geographical areas where no such competition could exist, and in many of the other areas where there is competition it is only fringe competition in outlying portions of trading areas where some small overlapping exists.

(c) By paragraphs 4 and 5 the erroneous impression is 399 created that the manufacturing division would not sell to all retailers who desired to buy from it directly. The proof shows that the manufacturing division would sell directly to any legitimate retailer who desired to do business directly with it, and that in orders for \$1,000 or more of McKesson products the

retailers would get the 20% discount.

(d) The statement in paragraph 9 that the "defendant's fair trade price agreements with independent wholesalers not only served to protect McKesson's own wholesale drug divisions from being undercut in price by independent wholesalers, but also served to protect the manufacturing division on its sales direct to retailers" is purely argumentative. It is an argument with which

we disagree._

8. To go on and detail each and every other respect wherein the proposed additional findings are improper would only prolong this affidavit needlessly. We are satisfied with the findings already made. Under the circumstances of this case we believe it would be improper to adopt any of the additional findings proposed by the plaintiff. We respectfully urge this Court, therefore to deny this motion in its entirety.

DENIS B. SULLIVAN.

Sworn to before me this 21st day of June 1955.

SEAL

Betty Stauss, BETTY STAUSS. Notary Public, State of New York.

No. 24-3817400, Qual. in Kings County. Commission expires March 30, 1957.

399-A United States District Court, Southern District of New York

[Title omitted.]

Stenographer's minutes of hearing on motion to make additional findings

June 22, 1955

Before Hon, John W. Clancy, District Judge

New York, June 22, 1955, 10: 30 o'clock a.m.

APPEARANCES

J. Edward Lumbard, Esq., United States Attorney, for the Government; by Allen A. Debey, Esq., E. Winslow Turner, Esq., and John F. Hughes, Esq., Special Assistants to the Attorney General.

Hodges, Reavis, McGrath, Pantaleoni & Downey, Esqs., Attorneys for Defendant; Denis B. Sullivan, Esq., of Counsel.

Mr. Dobey. This is a motion under Rule 52 (b) of the Rules of Civil Procedure to make additional findings of fact in the case of United States vs. McKesson & Robbins, Inc., Civil 76-50. The written motion or rather the written request for findings, additional findings, I would like to amend in two minor respects.

If you have the requests before you, on page 2, request No. 3, at the beginning of that paragraph, insert the words "Most of," so that it will read "Most of the defendant wholesale drug divisions."

The only other change, and the one other change is on page 3 in paragraph 6, fourth line. Strike the words "are by independent wholesalers."

The Court. "Or." That is "or by independent wholesalers."

Mr. Dobey. Yes; strike those four words.

In all other respects I have found these additional findings to be fully supported by the record. I have checked into the record very carefully as to each one and I believe the record is fully sustained by the evidence. The record reference that is contained in the findings were inserted solely for the convenience of

the Court in the event there was a dispute as to whether the record supported the findings.

Now with respect to the need for additional findings, I would like to consider the findings of the Court that were entered in connection with the opinion which was filed. I believe, on June

Mr. SULLIVAN. June 6th.

Mr. Dobey. June 6th. Paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Court's findings are incorporated in the requests for additional findings, but more information is set forth. I find nothing wrong with Para-

graphs 1 and 2.

Now when you come to Paragraph 3 of the Court's findings, that finding reads "With both the wholesale competitors and the large retailers, defendant, in accord with the Fair Trade laws of the several states permitting them, enters into fair trade pricefixing agreements affecting retail sales of its own products."

The word "retail" there is an error, except as applied to the contracts with the retailers. The contracts with the wholesalers, the April 1953 contracts, are controlled only and affect only, at least

directly, the wholesale prices at which the wholesalers sell

to retailers, so that there is an error in Finding 3 which I do not regard as too significant for the purposes of disposing of the merits of the case, but it is a clear error in the findings.

The Court. It is not any error at all, but go on with your

argument.

Mr. Dobey: Well, sir, I think perhaps what you have in mind is that by controlling the price of the wholesaler to retailer, that indirectly has some effect upon the retail salesprice.

The Court. Exactly.

Mr. Dobey. Well, I thought that probably-I didn't think that was what you did have in mind when you said "affecting retail sales." When you said "affecting retail sales" I thought you were using the price controls in the same sense as affecting the retail price controls rather than the indirect effect as far as the whole fair trade price controls are concerned.

But coming to paragraph 4: "Defendant's fair trade contracts. for the sale of its own products to wholesalers provide for a maximum resale discount of ten and five percent." That is

correct.

The next finding is clearly erroneous. "Defendant's fair trade contracts with retailers provide for a discount of twenty percent for purchases in excess of \$1,000." That is not 399-E The defendant's fair trade contracts with retailers provide for a minimum retail price on the sale, of the price to the consumer. They contain nothing whatever relating to the price at which the retailer buys; the direct-buying retailer buys. from the manufacturer. That price, the twenty percent price, is not contained in any published price. We issued a subpoena which called for the copy of the price in a discount schedule in which the manufacturing division of McKesson & Robbins company sold direct in retailing on May 1952, and what we got was G. 9, which is a typed sheet which sets forth the following as the price in discounts schedule, and that of course is a published term

and of course it is not a part of any fair trade contract because it is not fair trade.

That same finding, Paragraph 4, to which I was just referring, is also contained on page 4 of the opinion. There it is stated: "The Government has submitted proof that the defendant as a producer entered into fair trade contracts with large retailers which provided for a 20 percent discount for purchases in excess of \$1,000."

Of course that, too, is a clear error. We have sub-399-F mitted no such proof and there are no such fair trade The same error related thereto appears on page 5 of the Court's opinion: "* * * the Government insists that the proof is coherent and within the issues in that the difference in defendant's discounts to wholesaler and retailer buyers infects all the wholesalers' fair trade agreements, making them instruments for illegal restraint."

That incorporates, as part of our position, the erroneous findings that have previously been made, and our position is not that at all. Our position is that the manufacturer could not lawfully set up a wholesale fair trade price, which he did, at which the wholesalers were required to sell to retailers, and then at the same time sell—the manufacturer himself sell the manufacturer's products direct to the chain retailers at prices below the manufacturer's own fair trade prices, because by so doing the manufacturerplaced his products in unfair competition with the wholesalers by giving the direct retailers a price below the fair trade price. a secret price concession, but when the products came in anfair

competition with the defendant, why they all don't meet first provision of the Miller-Tydings Act and the Mc-Guire Act, which extends immunity only to retail price contracts for products sold in free and open competition. Many states, including New York State, use the term "fair, and open competition" but the terms are synonymous. Here the products

were sold in unfair competition.

Then, finally, on page 5, there is nothing in the evidence submitted by the Covernment which would support a finding by this court that this difference of discount by itself or in conjunction with the defendant's fair trade price structure restricts competition more than does any fair trade price system.

Well, that, of course, is not true, either, because any fair trade price system that is a fair trade price system, everybody is obligated to sell at the same minimum price. In this system, whereby the manufacturer competes with the wholesalers by selling underneath the fair trade price, you have a unique utilization of the Fair Trade laws to impose a restriction upon the wholesaler whereby he cannot even meet the price at which the same products are being sold by someone else to retailers. I really think that the findings there are so clearly erroneous that you should give consideration to withdrawing the findings

and perhaps an opinion. 388-H

The Court. I think you have no grounds to ome in here and argue this way on this notice of motion. Is there anything on your notice of motion claiming or asserting that there is error in my findings! Yes or no.

Mr. Dobey. No: there isn't.

The Court. Then why do you come in and argue it now? Your adversary has no chance to know what you are going to argue. I am not agreeing that there is any error. I am just calling your attention to your ground for procedure in court this morning.

I don't care what you say, I will discuss this case only from the evidence put in the record. I don't care what you say this morning or any way you want to paraphrase the evidence. You

have made a motion here for additional findings.

Mr. Dobey. Yes, sir.

The Court. Are you deserting that motion?

Mr. Dobey. No, sir.

The Court. Well, argue that motion.

Mr. Dobey. I have already argued it as far as I wish to do so. My additional findings incorporate the clearing up of all

the matters which I thought were erroneous in your find-

ings. In other words, if you accept my additional findings, then your other findings would be inconsistent with the additional findings which I have requested because my additional findings do clear up this matter of the discount on the sales to the direct-buying retailer, and that/is why-perhaps I didn't consider it fully, but I did make a motion—I haven't made a motion, as you point out, to strike the original finding.

The Court. You cannot support your motion. You won't even argue it. Your motion is to make additional findings. Will you

argue that or are you deserting it? I ask you again.

Mr. Dobey. No, sir; I am arguing it.

The Court. You are not arguing it at all. The only thing you are doing is trying to have me reverse myself on the ground that my findings are erroneous. You have not asked me for presentation of additional findings. You have not mentioned one of them. The only thing you said about original findings is your original assertion that they were all sustained by the proof.

Mr. Dobey: Yes, sir.

The Court. That is something I will disagree with, but we will pass it by. That is the only reference you have 399-J made to this motion.

Mr. Doney. Well, if you wish me to take them up one by one-

The Court. I don't want you to take them up one by one. I want to know on what basis you make the motion, what you have in law to systain your appearance before me to ask for additional findings.

Mr. Dobey. Well, I feel that present findings are inadequate to complete the picture and that is why I move for additional

findings.

The Court. You do?

Mr. Dobey, Yes, sir.

The Court. Is there any rule about this that you know of? Do you know the Federal Rules?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, sir.

The COURT. What rule are you arguing under?

Mr. Dobey. Under Rule 52 (b).

The Court. Well, please argue it under Rule 52-(b). Make out a case under 52 (b).

Mr. Dobey. Well, I think, your Honor, that, taking up of Paragraph 1 of the findings, the defendant is the largest

The Court. I don't want you to take up any particular findings. I want you to argue the merits of your motion.

Mr. Dobey. I don't think I can do that with-

The Court. Strictly on the rule. I want to know where any part of it, let alone all of it, comes in under 52 (b).

Mr. Dorey. Rule 52 (b) says-

The Court Don't read it to me. I have read it so often I have memorized it. Bring yourself in under it.

Mr. Doney. It simply provides, as I understand, you may make a motion under additional findings of fact.

The Court. That is what it says?

Mr. Doney. Yes, sir.

The Court. Well, you had better sit down there and read it again.

Mr. Doney. That's all I get out of it.

The Court. Is that all of your argument?

Mr. Dobey. Yes, sir.

The Court. What have you to say!

Mr. Scillivan. Well, your Honor, your Honor has put your finger on one matter I wished to talk about. I was a bit surprised when I came in here, when I found Mr. Dobey find-

399-L ing errors with the findings already made. I assumed that what this motion was was that he wanted some additional or supplementary findings to the findings your Honor already made. I did not know he was challenging any of the findings.

As far as we are concerned, we believe that your findings are complete, adequate and satisfactory, that they need no supplementation or additions whatsoever. As far as I personally am concerned, I thought I knew something about the Rules, and I assumed that findings of fact were required to be non-evidentiary, were required to be nonargumentative, were required to be categorical, and were required to be confined only to the essential facts pertinent to the relevant proposition of law decided by ; the Court.

Now here the relevant proposition of law concerned whether or not McKesson, because of the dual capacity in which it operated, could fair trade its products under the Miller-Tydings Act, supplementing the Sherman Act.

The Government's contention in that position was that because of the dual capacity in which we operated, our fair trade was

illegal price agreements.

As far as we were concerned, this was a test case. The basic facts were not disputed, and it should remain a test case, and that is what should be taken up to the Court of Appeals.

Now, your Hone, on that one basic issue, has found all relevant, essential particular You found that McKesson is a manufacturer. You found that McKesson is wholesaler. You found that McKesson, as a manufacturer, sells its products to both wholesalers and stailers. You have found that McKesson fairtrades its products, and you found that McKesson is in competition with other wholesalers with whom it had fair-trade contracts.

Those are all essential findings that needed to be found, and

they have been found.

This is not the usual antitrust case involving volume testimony of witnesses whose credibility had to be weighed and determined. This case was submitted to your Honor on documents. The question was principally one of law. The basic facts needed to raise the issue were conceded and those same basic facts needed in order that the issue be decided have been found by your Honor, so I repeat, your Honor, as far as we are concerned, the findings made by your Honor need no elaboration whatsoever.

Now I would like to discuss for a moment, if I may, these so-

called additional, proposed plans.

In my humble opinion they violate every facet of the Rules with respect to findings of fact. We find them to be lengthy, we find them to be discursive; we find them to be argumentative, and we find them replete with recitals of evidence. In fact, they consist of nothing more than a running statement of the evidence most favorable to the Government, carefully

selected, and intermingled in such a way as to create an entirely

distorted picture of the activities of this defendants

We find, for instance, that the activities of the manufacturing division-facts concerning them, are so interlaced with facts concerning the activities of the wholesale division as to create the . impression that both operations are conducted at the same functional level, when, as a matter of fact, the proof shows just the reverse to be the fact. The activities of the manufacturing division and the activities of the wholesale division are conducted independently and separately of each other just as independently as any two businesses could be conducted and still be conducted by one corporation. They are conducted at different levels in the marketing structure. They are conducted in independent and separate establishments. They are conducted by sep-

arate staffs of employees.

These findings, to the extent that they are true, are only half-true. They show a certain side of the picture and they have been drafted in such a way as to give the best side of the picture as far as the Government is concerned, but they don't show a true picture here at all.

Now I go on. References are made to so-called competition with certain wholesalers. We concede we have competition with the wholesalers. There was never any dispute about it. The dis-

Oute is as to the nature and extent of that competition.

The Government findings would seem to indicate that free and substantial competition between the manufacturing division and the independent wholesalers for the business of retailers. The fact of the matter is that there is no competition between the manufacturing division and any independent wholesaler for the business of retailers. These findings would seem to indicate that there is substantial competition between the wholesale division and other outlets to whom the wholesale divisions sell McKesson products as a matter of courtesy. They may be competitive

wholesalers but these are sold to these people in order for them to fill the demands for McKesson products. There is no competition with respect to McKesson

products.

Also, the Government says that there is competition between the wholesale divisions in all but five of the independent whole-That is only half-true. Most of the wholesale divisions are located in areas where there is and could be no competition with these independent wholesalers and in many other areas where there is competition, it is fringe competition, fringe competition which takes place only because there is a small overlapping of trading territories in certain areas. Surely there is competition in that overlapping area. But is that competition substantial? The Government hasn't shown it to be substantial.

Note

Government Exhibit 8, facing page 199, is on Card 2.

I submit, your Honor, that on the two grounds: one, your findings, the findings already made here are adequate and sufficient and need no amplification or supplementation, and, in any event, these motions by the Government are entirely improper in form and substance and I virtually ask your Honor to deny this motion in its entirety.

Mr. Dobey. May I say one word, your Honor?

The Courr. Yes, certainly. 399-Q

Mr. Dobey. I am not moving to strike the findings which have already been made by the Court, but I am moving, asking the Court to make these additional findings on the ground, among others, that they will clarify what I found to be false

with the original findings.

The Court. I will take that statement as truly representing the motion that you made, which I still say that you did not argue. Rule 52 (b) does not warrant any judge's making a finding after the entry of judgment, unless for the purpose of changing the original decision. Moore's book says that the rule is not as narrow as that. The only authority he gives for it is Vennell vs. United States, 2838 Fed. supp. 381. In that case, Judge Bard specifically says that the contents of the single finding he is making, in response to the motion presented to him, were already in his original findings, but that to express their squeezed contents in a few words will save the intending appellant enough money to facilitate the taking of an appeal. That is the only reason he

did it. That decision did not constitute an exception to 399-R. the rule at all. It is thoroughly consonant with the sense of the Rules.

I have examined, by the way, the proposed new findings. I thoroughly disa ree with the plaintiff that many of them are supported by the evidence. I take exception to that statement, for example to the one that referred to the cooperation required of the purchaser. In order to find out what the witness is talking about in that case, you would have to read the next fifteen pages. He finally states what he meant at the close of about fifteen pages, and it had nothing to do with such a finding as the Governmen asks me to make.

My findings are completely responsive to the issues, and furthermore, they fairly represent the ultimate facts as the plaintiff itself pleaded, briefed and argued them. I regard this motion only as an attempt by the plaintiff to lay the foundation for adding some matter or form to his case on appeal. Rule 52 (b) cannot be used for that purpose. See the Universal Carloading case in 13 Federal Rules Service. That is the best citation I can give you.

The motion is denied.

Government Exhibit 9-Price and Discount Schedule

The following is Price and Discount Schedule at which McKesson and Robbins Laboratories sold McKesson products directly to retailers in effect on May 27, 1952.

The list price as set forth in price list dated Oct. 1, 1951, less

the following discount:

Sales in quantities of \$1,000 and over-single shipment to one point-20% off list.

Government Exhibit 10

404

Wholesaler-Retailer Fair Trade Agreement in Effect

Bridgeport Lab. 52-15 Sheet (1)

McKesson & Robbins, Inc.

Bridgeport, Conn.
Discount: 25% from list.

Terms: 2%—20th of following month. Freight prepaid.

Sell: To Retailers: Line Extensions of less than \$4 in original shipper indicated* 10% off list. Single Line Extensions of \$1.00 or More 10% Discount.

\$25.00 lots assorted 10% Discount. \$400.00 lots assorted—10 and 2½% Discount. \$250.00 lots assorted—10 and 5% Discount.

Discounts for Prompt Payment and are Inclusive of Cash Discount.

To Wholesalers:

\$100.00 or more-163% and prevailing discount for cash delivered. Note: Insert Minimum Retail prices items (z).

HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIALTIES

HEALTH HELFS AND SE	ECIALI	LED			2
	Outer Shipper Dozens	Inner Pack Dozens	Full Re	t. Min.	Dozen Whsle. List
Alba-Gar, 1 pt	i		1. 10	1. 00	7. 40
ein), 1 pt	1	Carrie !	1, 10	1.00	7. 40
Albatum, 2 oz	6	1	35	. 33	2, 50
Albatum, 5 oz	.2		. 65	. 65	4. 60
Albolene Baby Oil;	() () () () () () () () () ()				
. 6 oz	2		. 40	. 39	3. 20
1 pt	1		. 85	. 79	6: 50
Gallon	A ea.	l ea.			*2. 00 ea.
Albolene Baby Powder, 4 oz_ Albolene Liquid:	4	1	. 35	. 35	2. 40
,1 oz	12	1	. 15	. 15	1 20
4 02	2		. 30 .	. 30	2, 25
1 pt	1.		. 75	. 69	6. 00
Gallon	4 ea.	1 ea.	3. 75	3. 49	*2. 50 ea.
Albolene Cream:					
1% oz. scented or plain.	1		. 30	. 30	*2. 40
4 oz. scented or plain	1		. 60	59	4. 80
1 lb. scented or plain	1		1. 00	. 98	8. 00
Albomist Nose Drops, w/Ephedrine:					
1/2 oz			Ter	np. with	idrawn
1 02			Ter	np. with	
Alcohol Isopropyl, 1 pt	1			2	2. 60
8 oz	1		. 35		*2. 40

HEALTH HELPS AND SPECIALTIES-Continued

	Outer Shipper Dozens	Inner Pack Dozens	- Full	Ret. Min.	Dozen Whsle, List	
A-200 Pyrinate, Liquid 2 oz. Ammoniated Tooth Powder,	6	1	. 79	79	5. 80	0
3¼ oz Analax, 6s	6	1	. 50	emp. with	#3. 60 ndrawn	
Analax, 25s	0.		5 1	Cemp, with	ndrawn 4	

Revised to Replace Lab. 51-51—Sheet (1)—10/1/51

March 1, 1952 Forward

405 Government Exhibit 11 Summary of sales made by the manufacturing division of McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, to retail accounts during the period July 1, 1951 to June 30, 1952

[Exclusive of sales to retail accounts whose aggregate purchases were less than \$50 and of sales to the retail accounts listed in annex to item 19 of the defendant's answers to the supplemental interrogatories]

	Amount
Adams Drug Co., Pawtucket, Rhode Island	\$9,991.00
Christos 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Gainesville, Florida	757. 00
Cohen Drug Co., Charleston, West Virginia.	124, 067, 00
Crown Drug Co., Kansas City, MoCunningham Drug Co., Detroit, Michigan	154, 039, 00
Cunningham Drug Co., Detroit, Michigan	363, 203, 00
Dow Drug Co., Cincinnati, Ohio	2, 254, 00
Ford Hopkins Company, Chicago, Illinois	7, 525, 00
Gray Drug Stores, Cleveland, Ohio	129, 479. 00
Harvey & Carey, Inc., Buffalo, New York	39, 588, 00
Jacobs Pharmacy, Atlanta, Georgia	61, 800, 00
Eagle Stores, Charlotte, North Carolina	108.00
Johnson Wholesale Drug Co., New Haven, Connecticut	576, 00
Katz Drug Co., Kansas City, Missouri	109, 782. 00
King Drug Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.	2, 380, 00
Rexall Drug Co., New York, N. Y.	21, 046, 00
Marshall Drug Co., Cleveland, Ohio	31, 700. 00
Muir Laboratories, Inc., Grand Rapids, Michigan	843.00
Peck Drug Stores, Grand Rapids, Michigan	1, 637: 00
Silver Rod Stores, Passaic, New Jersey	266, 00
Jays Drug Co., Lockport, New York	8, 083, 00
Kasdin Drug Co. Miami, Florida	
Key Drug Co., Rochester, New York	20, 608, 00
Standard Drug Co., Cleveland, Ohio	6, 158, 00
Sun Drug Co., Pittsburgh, Penna	78, 680, 00
Thrifty Drug Stores, Los Angeles, California	16, 217, 00
Walgreen Drug Stores Chicago, Illinois	33, 787. 00
United Whelan Stores, New York, N. Y.	15, 744. 60
F. W. Woolworth Co., New York, N. Y.	6, 973, 00
W T Grant Co New York N Y	900, 00
S. S. Kresge, Detroit, Michigan	6, 448, 00
S. S. Kresge, Detroit, Michigan G. C. Murphy Co., McKeesport, Penna	6, 734, 00
406 J. J. Newberry Co. New York, N. Y.	432, 00
S. H. Kress & Co., New York, N. Y.	4, 237, 00
Nelsner Bros., Rochester, New York	245, 00
McCrory Stores Corp. New York, N. Y.	5, 417, 00
H. L. Green Co., New York, N. Y.	
Morris 5 & 10 Cent Stores, McKeesport, Penna.	443, 00

Fisher-Beer Co., New York, N. Y		Amount
Abarry Steel Co., New York, N. J. 2, 615. 00	M. H. Lamston Co., New York, N. Y.	\$599,00
Benton & Bowles, New York, N. Y J. L. Brandeis & Sons, Omaha, Nebraska 50, 00 Cities Stores Merchandise Co., New York, N. Y 1, 114, 00 Eckerds of Erie, Inc., Erie, Penna 2 343, 00 Ellington & Co., New York, N. Y 81, 00 Equity Paper Co., Bridgeport, Conn 218, 00 Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida 249, 00 H. Goldberg & Sons, Perth Amboy, N. J 230, 00 Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289, 00 Jay Lighting Mig. Co., Brocklyn, New York 1, 374, 00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C 729, 00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J 306, 00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728, 00 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56, 00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62, 00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 257, 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 293, 00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293, 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York, N. Y 293, 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Bridgeport, Conn 110, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94, 00 Nongstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50, 00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130, 00 Cox's 5 & 10, Lancaster, S. C 51, 00 Ellizeds Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75, 00	Fisher-Beer Co., New York, N. Y.	87.00
Benton & Bowles, New York, N. Y J. L. Brandeis & Sons, Omaha, Nebraska 50, 00 Cities Stores Merchandise Co., New York, N. Y 1, 114, 00 Eckerds of Erie, Inc., Erie, Penna 2 343, 00 Ellington & Co., New York, N. Y 81, 00 Equity Paper Co., Bridgeport, Conn 218, 00 Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida 249, 00 H. Goldberg & Sons, Perth Amboy, N. J 230, 00 Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289, 00 Jay Lighting Mig. Co., Brocklyn, New York 1, 374, 00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C 729, 00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J 306, 00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728, 00 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56, 00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62, 00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 257, 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 293, 00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293, 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York, N. Y 293, 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Bridgeport, Conn 110, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94, 00 Nongstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50, 00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130, 00 Cox's 5 & 10, Lancaster, S. C 51, 00 Ellizeds Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75, 00	Abarry Steel Co., Perth Amboy, N. J.	2, 615.00
Benton & Bowles, New York, N. Y	Belnap & Thompson, Chicago, Illinois	149.00
J. L. Brandeis & Sons, Omaha, Nebraska 50, 00 Cittés Stores Merchandise Co., New York, N. Y 1,114.00 Ekkerds of Erle, Inc., Erie, Penna 2 343.00 Ellington & Co., New York, N. Y 81.00 Equity Paper Co., Bridgeport, Conn 218.00 Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida 249.00 H. Goldberg & Sons, Perth Amboy, N. J 230.00 Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289.00 Jay Lighting Mfg. Co., Brooklyn, New York 1,374.00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C 729.00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J 150.00 Marine Fabricators, Perth Amboy, N. J 306.00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728.00 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56.00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62.00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 136.00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn. 257.00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1,259.00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293.00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 203.00 Walker's 5 & 10, Bridgeport, Conn 110.00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn 110.00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn 110.00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94.00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112.04 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50.00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 180.00 Cox's 5 & 10, Lancaster, S. C 51.00 Ellords Ing. Fort Valley Ga 575.00	Benton & Bowles, New York, N. Y.	97.00
Eckerds of Erie, Inc., Erie, Penna 2 343, 00 Ellington & Co., New York, N. Y S1, 00 Equity Paper Co., Bridgeport, Conn 218, 00 Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida 249, 00 H. Goldberg & Sons, Perth Amboy, N. J 230, 00 Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289, 00 Jay Lighting Mfg. Co., Brooklyn, New York 1, 374, 00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C. 729, 00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J 150, 00 Marine Fabricators, Perth Amboy, N. J 306, 00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728, 00 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56, 00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62, 00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 136, 00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn 257, 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1, 259, 00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293, 00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 203, 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Bridgeport, Conn 110, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94, 00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112, 04 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50, 00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130, 00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50, 00 Elizeds Inc. Fort Valley Ga 575, 00	J. L. Brandeis & Sons, Omaha, Nebraska	50, 00
Eckerds of Erie, Inc., Erie, Penna 2 343, 00 Ellington & Co., New York, N. Y S1, 00 Equity Paper Co., Bridgeport, Conn 218, 00 Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida 249, 00 H. Goldberg & Sons, Perth Amboy, N. J 230, 00 Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289, 00 Jay Lighting Mfg. Co., Brooklyn, New York 1, 374, 00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C. 729, 00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J 150, 00 Marine Fabricators, Perth Amboy, N. J 306, 00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728, 00 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56, 00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62, 00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 136, 00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn 257, 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1, 259, 00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293, 00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 203, 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Bridgeport, Conn 110, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94, 00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112, 04 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50, 00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130, 00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50, 00 Elizeds Inc. Fort Valley Ga 575, 00	Cities Stores Merchandise Co., New York, N. Y	1, 114.00
Ellington & Co., New York, N. Y. 81, 00 Equity Paper Co., Bridgeport, Conn. 218, 00 Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida	Eckerds of Erie, Inc., Erie, Penna	343.00
Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida H. Goldberg & Sons, Perth Amboy, N. J. 230.00 Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289.00 Jay Lighting Mfg. Co., Brooklyn, New York 1, 374.00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C. 729.00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J. 306.00 Marine Fabricators, Perth Amboy, N. J. 306.00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728.00 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56.00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62.00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 136.00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn 257, 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1, 259.00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293.00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 203.00 Walker's 5 & M. Waynesboro, Pa 111.00 Warner Bros, Co., Bridgeport, Conn 100, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94.00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112.00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50.00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130.00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50.00 Elizada Inc., Fort Valley, Ga 75.00	Ellington & Co., New York, N. Y	_ 81, 00
Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida H. Goldberg & Sons, Perth Amboy, N. J. 230.00 Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289.00 Jay Lighting Mfg. Co., Brooklyn, New York 1, 374.00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C. 729.00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J. 306.00 Marine Fabricators, Perth Amboy, N. J. 306.00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728.00 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56.00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62.00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 136.00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn 257, 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1, 259.00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293.00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 203.00 Walker's 5 & M. Waynesboro, Pa 111.00 Warner Bros, Co., Bridgeport, Conn 100, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94.00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112.00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50.00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130.00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50.00 Elizada Inc., Fort Valley, Ga 75.00	Equity Paper Co., Bridgeport, Conn	_ 218.00
Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289, 00 Jay Lighting Mfg. Co., Brooklyn, New York 1, 374, 00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C. 729, 00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J. 150, 00 Marine Fabricators, Perth Amboy, N. J. 306, 00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728, 90 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56, 00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62, 00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 257, 00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn 257, 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1, 259, 00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293, 00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 208, 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Waynesboro, Pa 111, 00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn 110, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94, 00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112, 00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50, 00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130, 00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50, 00 Elizada Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75, 00 Elizada Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75, 00	Floyds 5 & 10, Jacksonville, Florida	249.00
Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut 289, 00 Jay Lighting Mfg. Co., Brooklyn, New York 1, 374, 00 King Drug Co., Florence, S. C. 729, 00 Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J. 150, 00 Marine Fabricators, Perth Amboy, N. J. 306, 00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728, 90 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56, 00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62, 00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 257, 00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn 257, 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1, 259, 00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293, 00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 208, 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Waynesboro, Pa 111, 00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn 110, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94, 00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112, 00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50, 00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130, 00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50, 00 Elizada Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75, 00 Elizada Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75, 00	H. Goldberg & Sons, Perth Amboy, N. J.	_ 230.00
King Drug Co., Florence, S. C	Herlin Press, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut	289, 00
King Drug Co., Florence, S. C	Jay Lighting Mfg. Co., Brooklyn, New York	1, 374.00
Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J 150.00 Marine Fabricators, Perth Amboy, N. J 306.00 Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut 728.00 Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56.00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62.00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 138.00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn 257.00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1, 259.00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 293.00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 208.00 Walker's 5 & 10, Waynesboro, Pa 111.00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn 110,00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94.00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112.00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50.00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130.00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50.00 Elreds Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75.00	King Drug Co., Florence, S. C.	_ 729.00
Plax Corporation, Hartford, Connecticut	Lowser Optical Co., Newark, N. J.	_ 150.00
Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida 56. 00 Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York 62. 00 Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn 138. 00 State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn 257. 00 Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y 1, 259. 00 Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y 203. 00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 208. 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Waynesboro, Pa 111. 00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn 110, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94. 00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112. 00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50. 00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130. 00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covingion, Ga 50. 00 Elizada Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75. 00		
Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York		
State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn	Rapps Variety Store, Crescent City, Florida	_ 56,00
State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn	Schtzow's 5 & 10, Belmar, New York	62.00
State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn	Special Die Set Co., Bridgeport, Conn.	136.00
Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y. 293. 00 Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida. 208. 00 Walker's 5 & 10, Waynesboro, Pa. 111. 00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn. 110, 00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal. 94. 00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va. 112. 00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio. 50. 00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala. 130. 00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga. 50. 00 Cox's 5 & 10, Lancaster, S. C. 51. 00 Elreds Inc. Fort Valley Ga. 75. 00	. State of Connecticut, Hartford, Conn	_ 257, 00 €
Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 203.00 Walker's 5 & 10, Waynesboro, Pa 111.00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn 110.00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94.00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112.00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50.00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130.00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50.00 Cox's 5 & 10, Lancaster, S. C 51.00 Elreds Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75.00	Travellers Premium Co., New York, N. Y	
Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida 203.00 Walker's 5 & 10, Waynesboro, Pa 111.00 Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn 110.00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94.00 X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va 112.00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50.00 Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala 130.00 Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covington, Ga 50.00 Cox's 5 & 10, Lancaster, S. C 51.00 Elreds Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75.00	Veteran's Canteen Service, V. A., New York, N. Y.	_ 293.00
Walker's 5 & 10. Waynesboro, Pa 111.00 Warner Bros. Co. Bridgeport, Conn 110.00 Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal 94.00 X-Ray Supply Co. Wheeling, W. Va 112.00 Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohio 50.00 Bedsole Stores Co. Thomasville, Ala 130.00 Consolidated Stores, Inc. Covington, Ga 50.00 Cox's 5 & 10. Lancaster, S. C 51.00 Blreds Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75.00	Vinncenti 5, 10 & 25 Cent Store, Leesburg, Florida	_ 203.00
Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal	Walker's 5 & My Waynesboro, Pa	_ 111.00
X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va	Warner Bros. Co., Bridgeport, Conn	_ 110.00
X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va	Webb Hayes Agency, Los Angeles, Cal	94.00
Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala	X-Ray Supply Co., Wheeling, W. Va.	_ 112.00
Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala	Youngstown Novelty, Youngstown, Ohlo	_ 50.00
Cox's 5 & 10; Lancaster, S. C. 51.00 Ellrods Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75.00	Bedsole Stores Co., Thomasville, Ala.	_ 130.00
Cox's 5 & 10; Lancaster, S. C. 51.00 Ellrods Inc. Fort Valley Ga 75.00	Consolidated Stores, Inc., Covingion, Ga	50.00
Elrods Inc., Fort Valley, Ga	Cox's 5 & 10, Lancaster, S. C.	_ 51.00
Shell Stores Co., Fair Hope, Ala	Elrods Inc., Fort Valley, Ga	75.00
	Shell Stores Co., Fair Hope, Ala	121.00
O. M. Wedd. Paintka. Florida.	O. M. Wedd. Paintka, Florida	04.00
Wynn Stores, Cechran, Georgia 224.00	Wynn Stores, Cechran, Georgia	224.00

407

Government Exhibit 12

Peoples Drug Stores, Inc., and Subsidiary Corporations Washington 2, D. C., March 10, 1955.

United States Department of Justice, c/o Mr. Stanley N. Barnes, Washington 25, D. C.

RE: SNB: WR 60-21-90

• This letter from the office of F. M. Downey.

Executive offices: 61 to 77 P St. Northast, Washington, District of Columbia.

GENTLEMEN: In compliance with your request dated March 8, 1955, I am inclosing an up-to-date listing of our retail stores covering all areas in which we operate.

I am sure all of our stores carry in stock some McKesson & Robbins, Inc., merchandise since we warehouse a few of their

items, and in addition our stores would naturally stock other items for which they receive calls by ordering from their local jobbers.

If we can be of further help to you, please feel free to call on us.

Sincerely yours,

PEOFLES DRUG STORES, INC., F. M. Downey, F. M. Downey, Assistant to the President.

FMD/ew. Enclosure.

408

Government Exhibit 13

PROPLES DRUG STORES, INC.

77 P. ST. N. E., WASHINGTON 2 D. C.

• 0	Address D S Co sh. 1, D. C. P. D. sh. 4, D. C. P. D. S. 9, D. C. P. D. S. D. C. P. D. S. D. C. P. D. S. D. C. P. D. Sh. 3, D. C. P. Sh. 3, D. C. P. Sh. 3, D. C. P. Sh. 3, D. C. Sh. 3, D. C. P. Sh. 3, D.	eţ
927-929 7th St. N. W., Wa	sh. 1, D. C.	8
05-507 7th St. N. W., Wa	sh. 4, D. C. P. D.	8
002 14th St. N. W., Wash	9, D. C. P. D.	P
150 7th St. N. W., Wash.	f, D. C	- 6
06-808 H. St. N. E., Wash	h. 2, D. C. P. D.	-8
701 15th St. N. W., Wash.	5, D. C. P. D.	ã
1100 G. St. N. W., Wash.	6, D. C	1
3220 14th St. N. W., Wash	. 10, D. C	i
3103 M. St. N. W., Wash.	7, D. C	ä
2481 18th St. N. W., Wash	. 9, D. C	i
61 Penna. Ave.S. E., Wa	sh, 3, D, C. 2 P. D.	ä
At N. Capitol St., Wash,	2, D. C. P. D.	ğ
1000-1002 F. St. N. W., W	ash, 4, D, C	ä
144-1146 Conn. Ave. N. V	V., Wash. 6, D. C	i
1501 H. St. N. E., Wash. 2	D. C	i
1137-1139 14th St., N. W.,	Wash. 5, D. C. P. D.	ij
8654 Ga. Ave. N. W., Was	h. 10, D. C P. D.	i
2944-2946 14th St. N. W., V	Wash, 10, D. C	j
1901-1903 Pa. Ave: N. W.,	Wash, 6, D, C	į
2066 Rhode Island Ave. N.	E., Wash, 18, D. C	i
18 W. Patrick St., Frederic	ck, Md	ě
100-102 N. Market St., Fre	ederick, Md	ř
725-727 King St.: Alexandr	18. Va	ř
1917-19 Ga. Ave. N. W., W	Vash. 11. D. C	1
018 E. Capitol St., Wash.	3. D. C	3
01 S. Queen St., Martinsh	ourg. West Va.	ŝ
536 N. Capitol St., Wash.	1, D, C	ì
24 Caroline St., Frederick	sburg, 1, Va	ř
326-328 High St., Portsmou	ath Va	ř
434 Main St., Danville, Vi	rginiaP. 8	ř
301 Granby St., Norfolk 10	Virginia P 8	ř
213-15-17 E. City Hall Ave	Norfolk 10. Va	ř
452-454-456 Granby St., No	orfolk 10, Va	Ě
17-19 W. Wash, St., Hager	stown, Md	ñ
8315 Ga. Ave., Silver Sprin	g. Md.c.	ñ
5819 Patterson Ave., Richr	nond, Va.	ñ
127 E. Broad St., Richmon	d, 19, Va.	ñ
5550 Conn. Ave. N. W., W	ash. 15, D. C. P. D.	ĕ
17 14th St. N. W., Wash.	8, D, C P. D	ŝ
8-7 Dupont Circle N. W.,	Wash, 6, D. C	ŝ
545 W. Tuscaravas Ave., B	P. 8. 1	ñ
601 W. Market St., York 4	Pennsylvania P. 8.	í
2824 Alabama Ave. S. E., 1	Pennsylvania	ŕ
500 S. George St., York 1, 1	Pa.	í
1-3 E. Main St., Uniontow	n, Pa.	ő
Red Lion, Pennsylvania	PR	í
36 W. High St., Carlisle, P.	PRO	ś
5328 Baltimore Ave., Hyat	tsville, My	ń
3130 Queens Chapel Rd., H	Iyattsville, Md.	ŝ
505-507 7th St. S. W., Was	h, 4, D, C	ő
4821 Annapolis Rd., Blader	nsburg, MdP. 8 T	5
16 S. Main St., Harrisonbu	P 0 7	8

	/* *	. 1
	o Address	
1400	H. St. N. W., Wash. 5, D. C. Main St., Chambersburg, Pa. V. Campbell Ave., Roanoke 11, Va High St., Pottstown, Pa. V. Frankin St., Hagerstown, Md New Hampshire Ave., Takoma Park, Md Loudon St., Winchester, Va Lee Highway, Arlington, Va E. Market St., Akron 8, Ohio 4th.St. N. W., Wash. 12, D. C18 Warwick Rd., Warwick, Va Canton Road, Akron 12, Ohio W. Market St., Akron 3, Ohio E. Wash. St., New Castle, Pa E. State St., Salem, Ohio. N. Market Ave., Canton 2, Ohio. 152 W. Wash. 12, D. C Exchange St., Akron 3, Ohio E. Market St., Lewistown, Pa WashBaltBlvd. College Pk., Md Pa. Ave. S. E., Wash. 20, D. C Nichols Ave. S. E., Wash. 20, D. C E. Market St., Akron 6, Ohio W. Market St., Akron 6, Ohio W. Market St., Akron 6, Ohio	8.
1440 75 8	Main St. Chambersburg, Pa	P. I
3 W	Campbell Ave., Roanoke II. Va	PS
255]	High St., Pottstown, Pa.	B 8
71 W	Franchin St., Hagerstown, Md.	P. 8
7663	New Hampshire Ave., Takoma Park, Md?	P. 8
IN.	Loudon St., Winchester, Va.	P. 8
4748	Lee Highway, Arlington, Va	P. 8
2-4	S. Market St., Akron 8, Ohio	P. S
9716	18 Wasmick Pd. Wasmick Va	P. I.
438 J	Canton Road Akron 19 Obio	P S
832	W. Market St. Akron 3. Ohio	·P &
204	E. Wash, St., New Castle, Pa	PS
340	E. State St., Salem, Ohio	P. 8
335 1	N. Market Ave., Canton 2, Ohio	P.S
150-	152 W. Wash. St., Suffolk, Va.	P. 8
7820	Eastern Ave. N. W., Wash. 12, D. C.	P. D
100 I	Market St. Lewistown De	P. 8
7900	Week Balt Blud College Dr Md	P.S
2529	Pa Ave S E Wash 20 D/C	P T
3000	Nichols Ave. S. E., Wash. 20, D. C.	PT
1348	E. Market St., Akron & Ohio.	P. 8
101	W. Market St., York 4, Pa	P.S
286.)	loneer St., Akron 5, Ohio	P. S
002	Arington St., Akron 6, Ohio.	P. 8
1701	Unil St. Dickmond 94 Vo	P. 8
2007	8 Front St. Cuyahora Falle Ohio	P.S
323 1	W. Market St., York 4, Pa Ploneer St., Akron 5, Ohio N. Main St., Akron 6, Ohio N. Main St., Akron 10, Ohio Huil St., Richmond 24, Va. S. Front St., Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio Market St., Harrisburg, Pa I. 2nd St., Harrisburg, Pa N. 3rd St., Harrisburg, Pa Brookland Pk. Blyd., Richmond, Va. W. Broad St., Richmond, Va. Wash. St., Rockville, Md S. Capitol St., Wash. 20, D. C Ilth Ave., Altoona, Pa. King St., Lancaster, Pa	po
17 N	2nd St., Harrisburg, Pa	P. g
1225	N. 3rd St., Harrisburg, Pa.	PS
2 E.	Brookland Pk. Blvd., Richmond, Va.	P. S
2738	W. Broad St., Richmond, Va.	P. S
2 N.	Wash, St., Rockville, Md	P. 8
1000	S. Capitol St., Wash. 20, D. C.	P. I
1118	Fing St. Langueter, Pa	. P. S
1510	Onaker Lane Fairlington, Alex Va	p g
3861	Alabama Ave. S. E., Wash 20, D. C	PS
578-	598 Merchant St., Ambridge, Pa.	P. 8
Con	tinental Square, Yorkel, Pa.	P. 8
1836	Wilson Blvd., Arlington, Va.	P. S
3140	Wilson Blvd., Arimgton, Va.	E. 6
704	11th Ave., Altoona, Pa. King St., Lancaster, Pa. Quaker Lane, Fairlington, Alex, Va. Alabama Ave. S. E., Wash. 20, D. C. 598 Merchant St., Ambridge, Pa. tinental Square, Yorkel, Pa. Wilson Blvd., Arlington, Va. Wilson Blvd., Arlington, Va. Mt. Vernon Ave., Alexandria, Va. 6-8 Penn St., Rêading, Pa. Mt. Pleasant St. N. W., Wash. 10, D. C. Pa. Ave. N. W., Wash. 4, D. C. Ga. Ave. NW., Wash. 11, D. C. altimore St., Cumberland, Md. S. Jefferson St., Roanoke 11, Va. State Street, Bristol, Va. 12th St. N. E., Wash. 17, D. C. Mass. Ave. N. W., Wash. 16, D. C. Market St., Steubenville, 9, Ohio.	P.S
3120	Mt. Pleasant St. N. W. Wash 10 D. C.	P F
1107	Pa. Ave. N. W., Wash, 4. D. C.	PT
6213	Ga. Ave. N. W., Wash. 11, D. C	Pr
74 B	altimore St., Cumberland, Md	P. 8
601	S. Jefferson St., Roanoke 11, Va	P. 8
643	State Street, Bristol, Va.	P. 8
3521	Mass And N. W. Wash, 17, D. C.	P. I
406	Market St. Stanbonville 0 Ohio	P. I
3400	Wiscongin Ava N W Work 16 D C	PT
100-	103 E 5th, St. E. Liverpool, Ohio	PR
216	Main St., Johnson City, Tennessee	P. I
120	N. Sycamore St., Petersburg, Va. 1. 2	OP. S
3327	Cong. Ave. N. W., Wash, 8, D. C.	P. 1
204	6 W. Federal St., Youngstown 3, Qhio	P. 8
1743	King St., Alexandria 2, Va.	F. 8
999	Charles St. Dishmond 10 Vo	P. 8
074	Kenmore Blvd. Akren 14 Obia	p 0
4805	Marlboro Pike, Coral Hills, Md., P. O. Wash 2, D. C.	Pg
2934	W. Cary St., Richmond 21, Va.	PR
2616	Conn. Ave. N. W.; Wash. 8, D. C.	P: I
2307	Wash, Ave., Newport News, Va.	P. 8
468	E. Exchange St., Akron 4, Ohio	P. 8
105	Mass Ave. N. W., Wash. 16, D. C. Market St., Steubenville, 9, Ohio. Wisconsin Ave. N. W., Wash. 16, D. C. 103 E 5th. St. E. Liverpool, Ohio. Main St., Johnson City, Tennessee N. Sychmore St., Petersturg, Va. Q. Conn. Ave. N. W., Wash. 8, D. C. 6 W. Federai St., Youngstown 3, Ohio. King St., Alexandria 2, Va. Piney Branch Rd., Silver Spring, Md. E. Grace St., Richmond 19, Va. Kenmore Blvd., Akron 14, Ohio. Marlboro Pike, Coral Hills, Md., P. O. Wash. 2, D. C. W. Cary St., Richmond 21, Va. Com. Ave., N. W., Wash. 8, D. C. Wash. Ave., Newport News, Va. E. Exchange St., Akron 4, Ohio. W. Beverley St., Staunton, Va. Goodhope Rd. S. E., Wash. 20, D. C. South Ave., Youngstown, Ohio. Wisconsin Ave., Bethesda 14, Md. Columbia Pike, Arlington, Va. Minnesota Ave. N. E., Wash. 19, D. C. Hamilton St., Hyattsville, Md. Wisconsin Ave. N. W., Wash. 16, D. C. Kennedy St. N. W., Wash. 11, D. C.	P. 8
1201	Coodnope Rd. S. E., Wash. 20, D. C.	P. I
7480	Wisconsin Ave. Bethands 14 Md	P. S
2001	Columbia Pike Arlington Va	p 0
3056	Minnesota Ave. N. E., Wosh, 19, D. C.	PT
3204	Hamilton St., Hyattsville, Md.	PS
4445	Wisconsin Ave. N. W., Wash, 16, D. C.	P. I
LOD !	Vannada Ct. N. W. Week 11 D. C.	Wa #1

1301 Market St., Harrisburg, Pa		Address		Corps
1319 H. St. N. E., Wash. 2. D. C. P. B. S.	0	3 - 1	The second secon	
1319 H. St. N. E., Wash, 2, D. C. P. S. D. S.	4 824 Main St.,	Lynchburg, Va		P. S. D. S.
1319 H. St. N. E., Wash, 2, D. C. P. S. D. S.	15 1301 Market	St., Harrisburg, Pa		P. S. D. S.
1302 Powhatar 1302 Powhatar 1302 Powhatar 1302 Powhatar 1302 Powhatar 1303 Powhatar 1303 Powhatar 1303 Powhatar 1304 Powhatar 1305 Powhata	6 8627 Colesvill	e Rd., Silver Spring, Md		P. 8. D. 8.
1302 Powhata P. S.D. S. 2222 State Rdf. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio P. S.D. S. 2222 State Rdf. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio P. S.D. S. 2217-219 S. Mala St. Akron S. Ohio P. S.D. S. 137 W. Broad St., Falls Chusch, Va P. S.D. S. 137 W. Broad St., Falls Chusch, Va P. S.D. S. 137 W. Princess Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va P. S.D. S. 1305 Ga. Ave., Wheaton Md P. S.D. S. 1305 Ga. Ave., Wheaton Md P. S.D. S. 1470 Suitland Rd., Suitland, Md P. S.D. S. 1570 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S.D. S. 1570 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S.D. S. 1580 Bells Road, Norfolk S. Va P. S.D. S. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. P. S.D. S. 2237 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio P. S.D. S. 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10142 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10143 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10144 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10145 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10146 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10147 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10148 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10149 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10142 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10143 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring	7 1319 H. St. N	. E., Wash, 2, D. C.		P.D. 8
1302 Powhata P. S.D. S. 2222 State Rdf. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio P. S.D. S. 2222 State Rdf. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio P. S.D. S. 2217-219 S. Mala St. Akron S. Ohio P. S.D. S. 137 W. Broad St., Falls Chusch, Va P. S.D. S. 137 W. Broad St., Falls Chusch, Va P. S.D. S. 137 W. Princess Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va P. S.D. S. 1305 Ga. Ave., Wheaton Md P. S.D. S. 1305 Ga. Ave., Wheaton Md P. S.D. S. 1470 Suitland Rd., Suitland, Md P. S.D. S. 1570 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S.D. S. 1570 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S.D. S. 1580 Bells Road, Norfolk S. Va P. S.D. S. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. P. S.D. S. 2237 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio P. S.D. S. 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10142 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10143 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10144 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10145 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10146 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10147 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10148 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10149 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10142 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10143 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring	18 944 W. Grace	St., Richmond 20, Va		P. S. D. S.
1302 Powhatar 1302 Powhatar 1302 Powhatar 1302 Powhatar 1302 Powhatar 1303 Powhatar 1303 Powhatar 1303 Powhatar 1304 Powhatar 1305 Powhata	19. 4819 1st St. N	. Arlington, Va	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	P. S. D. S.
1302 Powhata P. S.D. S. 2222 State Rdf. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio P. S.D. S. 2222 State Rdf. Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio P. S.D. S. 2217-219 S. Mala St. Akron S. Ohio P. S.D. S. 137 W. Broad St., Falls Chusch, Va P. S.D. S. 137 W. Broad St., Falls Chusch, Va P. S.D. S. 137 W. Princess Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va P. S.D. S. 1305 Ga. Ave., Wheaton Md P. S.D. S. 1305 Ga. Ave., Wheaton Md P. S.D. S. 1470 Suitland Rd., Suitland, Md P. S.D. S. 1570 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S.D. S. 1570 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S.D. S. 1580 Bells Road, Norfolk S. Va P. S.D. S. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. P. S.D. S. 2237 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio P. S.D. S. 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10142 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10143 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10144 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10145 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10146 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10147 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10148 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10149 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10142 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md P. S.D. S. 10143 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring	10 7628 Granby	St., Norfolk, Va	P	P. S. D. S.
749 W. Princess Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va	1 2332 Wiscons	n Ave. N. W., Wash. 7, D. C.,	****	P. D. S.
749 W. Princess Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va	2 1302 Powhata	n-Ne. Alexandria, Va		P. 8\D. 8.
749 W. Princess Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va	13 2222 State Rd	Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio		P. S. D. S.
749 W. Princess Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va	Pt 217-219 8. Ma	n St. Akron 8, Ohio	*************	P. S. D. S.
749 W. Princess Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va	15 137 W. Broad	St., Falls Chush, Va		P. S. D. S.
51 56 McKmiey Flaza, Niles, Onio P. S. D. S. 2 1579 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S. D. S. 53 609 Belle View. Blvd., Alexandria, Va. P. S. D. S. 54 1580 Bells Road, Norfolk 5, Va. P. S. D. S. 55 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. P. S. D. S. 56 237 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio P. S. D. S. 57 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md. P. S. D. S. 60 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md. P. S. D. S.	6 3471 N. Fairfa	x Drive, Arlington, Va		P. S. D. S.
51 56 McKmiey Flaza, Niles, Onio P. S. D. S. 2 1579 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S. D. S. 53 609 Belle View. Blvd., Alexandria, Va. P. S. D. S. 54 1580 Bells Road, Norfolk 5, Va. P. S. D. S. 55 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. P. S. D. S. 56 237 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio P. S. D. S. 57 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md. P. S. D. S. 60 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md. P. S. D. S.	7 749 W. Prince	ss Anne Rd., Norfolk, Va		P. S. D. S.
51 56 McKmiey Flaza, Niles, Onio P. S. D. S. 2 1579 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S. D. S. 53 609 Belle View. Blvd., Alexandria, Va. P. S. D. S. 54 1580 Bells Road, Norfolk 5, Va. P. S. D. S. 55 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. P. S. D. S. 56 237 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio P. S. D. S. 57 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md. P. S. D. S. 60 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md. P. S. D. S.	18 11305 Ga. Ave	, Wheaton Md		P. S. D. S.
51 56 McKmiey Flaza, Niles, Onio P. S. D. S. 2 1579 Plaza Blvd., Akron 20, Ohio P. S. D. S. 53 609 Belle View. Blvd., Alexandria, Va. P. S. D. S. 54 1580 Bells Road, Norfolk 5, Va. P. S. D. S. 55 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. P. S. D. S. 56 237 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio P. S. D. S. 57 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md. P. S. D. S. 60 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md. P. S. D. S.	19 4219 Wilson I	llvd., Arlington, Va		P. S. D. S.
56 McKmiey Plaza, Niles, Onio	0 4679 Suitland	Rd., Suitland, Md		P. S. D. S.
1 1890 Bells Road, Norfolk 5, Va. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. 2837 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio. 57 788 59 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md. 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md. 61 62 63	51 56 McKinley	Plaza, Niles, Ohio		P. S. D. S.
1 580 Bells Road, Norfolk 5, Va. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. 257 Section 1 59 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md. 260 10141 Colesville Rd., Silver Spring, Md. 27 Spring, Md. 28 D. S. 29 R. D. S. 20 P. S. D. S. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. 22 P. S. D. S. 23 P. S. D. S. 29 P. S. D. S. 20 P. S. D. S. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. 20 P. S. D. S. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. 22 P. S. D. S. 23 P. S. D. S. 24 P. S. D. S. 25 P. S. D. S. 26 P. S. D. S. 26 P. S. D. S. 27 P. S. D. S. 28 P. S. D. S. 29 P. S. D. S. 20 P. S. D. S. 21 P. S. D. S. 22 P. S. D. S. 24 P. S. D. S. 25 P. S. D. S. 26 P. S. D. S. 26 P. S. D. S. 27 P. S. D. S. 28 P. S. D. S. 29 P. S. D. S. 29 P. S. D. S. 20 P. S. D. S	52 1579 Plaza Bl	vd., Akron 20, Ohio		P. S. D. S.
1880 Bells Road, Norfolk S, Va. 21 Wisconsin Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wash. 15, D. C. 2837 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio. P. S. D. S.	53 609 Belle View	w.Blvd.; Alexandria, Va		P. S. D. S.
56 2837 State Road, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio. P. S. D. S.	54 1580- Bells Ro	ad. Nerfolk 5. Va	SALE OF THE PARTY	PSDS
57 58 59 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md	55 21 Wisconsin	Circle, Chevy Chase, Md., Wa	sh. 15, D. C.	P. S. D. S.
57 58 59 7423 Annapolis Rd., West Lanham, Md	56 2837 State Ro	ad, Cuyahoga Falls, Qhio		P. S. D. S.
62 63				
02 03	8			
02 03	59 7423 Annapol	is Rd., West Lanham, Md		o. P. S. D. S.
62 63	50 10141 Colesvi	lle Rd., Silver Spring, Md.,	*****	P. S. D. S.
		the second second		
64		Service and the service and th		
	-		•	No.

409

Government Exhibit 14.

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, Laboratories
Bridgeport 9, Connecticut, April 17, 1953.

NORTHERN DRUG Co.,

Fgrgo, North Dakota.

GENTLEMEN: As you know McKesson has consistently been one of the staunchest supporters of fair trade, being convinced that it is for the good not only of manufacturers, distributors and retailers in the drug trade, but equally so for the benefit of consumers.

For various reasons, including the fact that several court decisions have now been handed down upholding the Federal McGuire Act, we think it desirable at this time to put into effect new fair trade agreements covering McKesson & Robbins Laboratories products.

For this purpose there are enclosed herewith two copies of manufacturer-wholesaler fair trade contracts which we have executed.

. Would you please be so kind as to execute these copies and return one of them to us.

These new contracts take the place of the former McKesson manufacturer-wholesaler fair trade agreements. As you will note the new contracts do not require you to get fair trade agreements via the legend invoice method agreement or otherwise from your

retailer customers. This is for the reason that we are getting manufacturer-retailer fair trade agreements executed by several retailers in the various fair trade states. Under the "non-signer provisions" of the Federal McGuire Act and the state fair trade acts, we will now be able to enforce fair trade prices against non-contracting as well as contracting parties, thus eliminating the notessity of us having to ask you to get retail fair trade agreements covering our products from all of your customers.

Also enclosed herewith is our latest price list showing the wholesale minimum fair trade prices on McKesson & Robbins Laboratories products. We appreciate very much the very valuable assistance and cooperation that you have given us in maintaining the fair trade prices on McKesson's items. We are convinced that they give the best value obtainable since they represent highest quality merchandise of unimpeachable integrity at fair prices to the consumer with a fair return to the wholesaler and retailer. A combination such as this cannot help but be a smashing success. We trust that you will share in the continually increasing sales which this combination will develop.

Sincerely,

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories,

By — •

Vice President.

"When Health is in the Balance there can be no Compromise with Quality."

412 Government Exhibit 15-Manufacturer-Wholesaler Fair Trade Agreement

Between the undersigned Manufacturer, McKesson & Robbins Laboratories Division of McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, a Maryland Corporation, and the undersigned Wholesaler.

Whereas, Manufacturer's products now or hereafter made subject to this agreement are and will be distributed under Manufacturer's trademark, brand or name in free, fair and open competition with commodities of the same general class produced by others, and Wholesaler is engaged in the sale of such products, and the parties desire to avail themselves of the fair trade acts now and hereafter in effect,

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual obligations here-

in assumed, the parties gree as follows:

1. Wholesaler will not (except as specifically permitted by statute) advertise, offer for sale or sell any products bearing or distributed under the trademark, brand or name of Manufacturer at less than Manufacturer's published minimum wholesale prices, plus in each sale the amount of all applicable sales and excise taxes.

2. Manufacturer reserves the right to change said published minimum wholesale prices and to add of eliminate products from Manufacturer's list of fair traded products upon giving reasonable notice thereof, either by publication or such other means as Manufacturer may determine.

3. Except as authorized by Manufacturer (a) the offering or giving of any thing of value by Wholesaler in connection with the sale of any of the products covered by this contract, or (b) the offering or making of any concession in connection with any such sale, or (c) the sale or offering for sale of any of the products. in combination with any other merchandise, shall constitute a breach by Wholesaler of this agreement.

4. Manufacturer will employ all appropriate legal means which in the circumstances shall be reasonable, to obtain and enforce observance of the minimum wholesale selling prices established

by Manufacturer pursuant to this agreement.

5. This agreement may be terminated by either party on ten (10) days' written notice to the other, but such termination shall not affect the obligations of Manufacturer or Wholesaler arising from any other agreement made by Manufacturer pursuant to an applicable fair trade act.

6. This agreement shall apply to sales, offers or advertisements only when and where agreements of the character of this

agreement shall be lawful as applied to intrastate trans-415 actions under a statute law or public policy now or hereafter in effect in the state in which such sale is to be made or to which the products are to be transported for sale.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement as of this ____ day of _____ 195____.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratory. Division of McKesson & Robbins Incorporated. · Fairfield, Connecticut. By _____, Vice President. Wholesaler

City and State Title

Street

414 Government Exhibit 16-Manufacturer-Retailer Fair Trade Agreement

Between the undersigned Manufacturer, McKesson & Robbins Laboratories Division of McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, a

Maryland Corporation, and the undersigned Retailer.

Whereas, Manufacturer's products now or hereafter made subject to this agreement are and will be distributed under Manufacturer's trademark, brand or name in free, fair and open competition with commodites of the same general class produced by others, and Retailer is engaged in the sale of such products, and the parties desire to avail themselves of the fair trade acts now and hereafter in effect.

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual obligations

herein assumed, the parties agree as follows:

1. Retailer will not (except as specifically permitted by statute) advertise, offer for sale or sell any products bearing or distributed under the trademark, brand or name of Manufacturer at less than Manufacturer's published minimum retail prices, plus in each sale the amount of all applicable sales and excise taxes.

2. Manufacturer reserves the right to change said published minimum retail prices and to add or eliminate products from Manufacturer's list of fair traded products upon giving reasonable notice thereof, either by publication or such other means as

Manufacturer may determine.

3. Except as authorized by Manufacturer, (a) the offering or giving of any thing of value by Retailer in connection with the sale of any of the products covered by this contract, or (b) the offering or making of any concession in connection with any such sale, or (c) the sale or offering for sale of any of the products in combination with any other merchandise, shall constitute a breach by Retailer of this agreement.

4. Manufacturer will employ all appropriate legal means which in the circumstances shall be reasonable, to obtain and enforce observance of the minimum retail seiling prices established by

Manufacturer pursuant to this agreement.

5. This agreement may be terminated by either party on ten (10) day's written notice to the other but such termination shall not affect the obligations of Manufacturer or Retailer arising from any other agreement made by Manufacturer pursuant to an applicable fair trade act.

6. This agreement shall apply to sales, offers or advertisements only when and where agreements of the character of this

agreement shall be lawful as applied to intrastate transactions under a statute, law or public policy now or hereafter in effect in the state in which such sale is to be made or to which the products are to be transported for sale.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this agreement as of this ____ day of _____ 195___.

McKesson & Robbins Laboratories,

Retailer
Street

City and State

Title

416

425

Government Exhibit 17

McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, Laboratories Bridgeport 9, Connecticut, March 21, 1955.

Att: General Manager.

GENTLEMEN: We are pleased to transmit to you herewith the new McKesson price list dated March 15, 1955. This brings the price list up to date and takes the place of preceding price list dated September 1, 1954.

We call your attention to the following on the new price list. "Prices Subject to Fair Trade Acts Wherever Legally Permis-

sible on Sales in Quantities of Less Than \$1,000."

The last line of the discount schedule reads "\$250 through \$909 assorted . . . 10% and 5% off list."

The usual quantity of these new price lists is being forwarded

to you under separate cover.

We appreciate very much your cooperation in the past and look forward to working with you in the future with continually increasing sales for the mutual benefit of your firm and ours.

Very truly yours,

McKesson Laboratories, H. V. Phillips,

Vice President.

"When Health is in the Balance there can be no Compromise with Quality."

Government Exhibit 18

Summary of Sales of McKesson Products by Wholesale Drug Divisions of McKesson & Robbins, Incorporated, to other wholesalers during one year period comemncing July 1, 1951 and ending June 30, 1952:

18 wholesale divisions made no sales.

15 wholesale divisions made sales to 38 other wholesalers but the records showing the amounts of such sales are no longer available.

41 wholesale divisions made sales aggregating \$179,342.91; including the sales of three divisions for the six month period ending on June 30, 1952. These three divisions have no records available of sales made during the previous six month period.

428

Government Exhibit 20

WALGREEN DRUG STORES

Law Department

General offices: 4300 Peterson Avenue Chicago 30, Illinois

APRIL 18, 1955.

UNITED STATES.

Department of Justice, Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: Stanley N. Barnes, Assistant Attorney General. Re: United States v. McKesson & Robbins, Inc.

GENTLEMEN: In rolly to your letter of March 31, 1955, we have checked with our Purchasing Department to obtain the answers to the specific questions asked:

1. All of the retail stores operated by Walgreen Co., an Illinois corporation, and its subsidiaries, handle some products manu-

factured by McKesson & Robbins, Inc.

2. In addition to products manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Inc., Walgreen Co. and its subsidiaries purchase some McKesson manufactured products from local independent wholesalers or wholesale drug divisions of McKesson & Robbins, Inc.

3. In the normal course of business, some items manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Inc., are shipped direct to some retail Walgreen stores without going through a Walgreen warehouse.

We are also enclosing two copies of a mimeographed list showing the locations of stores operated by Walgreen Co. or its subsidiaries. This list has been brought up to date showing the locations of stores closed recently.

Sincerely,

WALGREEN DRUG STORES, Thomas J. Barton. THOMAS J. BARTON.

TJB/jd. Enc.

WALGREEN DRUG STORES

GENERAL OFFICE

4300 Peterson Avenue, Chicago 30, Illinois

Chicago Warehouse, 4720 S. St. Louis, Chicago 32, Illinois, Chicago Commissary, 4425 W. Addison, Chicago 41, Illinois. Chicago Display, 6122 N. Clark, Chicago 26, Illinois. Chicago Studio, 1671 N. Claremont, Chicago 47, Illinois.

Note.—Payment of charges for locations listed above are handled by General Office.

LOOP DISTRICT (CHICAGO)

Office: 6 E. Randolph, Rm. 205, Chicago 1, Illinois

Stores:

Chicago, Illinois, 31 E. Adams. Chicago, Illinois, 3959 N. Ashland. Chicago, Illinois, 2801 Broadway. Chicago, Illinois, 3181 Broadway. Chicago, Illinois, Closed 10/27. Chicago, Illinois, 1 W. Division. Chicago, Illinois, 2156 Indiana. Chicago, Illinois, 1 E. Jackson. Chicago, Illinois, 201 W. Jackson. Chicago, Illinois, 51 E. Lake. Chicago, Illinois, 150 N. LaSalle. Chicago, Illinois, 757 N. Michigan. Chicago, Illinois, 1000 Rush. Chicago, Illinois, 4000 Sheridan. 430

Chicago, Illinois, 4 N., State, Chicago, Illinois, 151 N. State. Chicago, Illinois, 1169 S. Wabash. Chicago, Illinois, 17 E. Washington.

NORTH SIDE OFFICE (CHICAGO)

Office: 6122 N. Clark St., Chicago, Illinois

Stores:

Chicago, Illinois, 5600 Belmont. Chicago, Illinois, 1069 Bryn Mawr. Chicago, Illinois, 5300 N. Clark. Chicago, Illinois, 7000 N. Clark. Chicago, Illinois, 7559 N. Clark. Chicago, Illinois, 2400 Devon. Chicago, Illinois, 4800 Fullerton. Chicago, Illinois, 1147 Granville. Chicago, Illinois, 1603 Howard.

Chicago, Illinois, 1958 Irving Park. Chicago, Illinois, 2744 Milwaukee. Chicago, Illinois, 4001 Milwaukee. Chicago, Illinois, 4790 Milwaukee. Chicago, Illinois, 2759 W. North. Chicago, Illinois, 3959 W. North. Chicago, Illinois, 4760 Sheridan. Chicago, Illinois, 1941 N. Western. Chicago, Illinois, 1231 Wilson. Des Plaines, Illinois, 1485 Ellinwood. Evanston, Illinois, 1601 Orrington. Highland Park, Illinois, 579 Central. Lake Forest, Illinois, 296 E. Deerpath. Oak Park, Illinois, 6001 North. Park Ridge, Illinois, 43 S. Prospect. Skokie, Illinois, 7954 Lincoln. Waukegan, Illinois, 2 S. Genesee. Wilmette, Illinois, 1141 Central. Winnetka, Illinois, 784 Elm. Chicago, Illinois, 3203 N. Ashland. Chicago, Illinois, 4776 Lincoln.

431

WEST SIDE DISTRICT (CHICAGO)

Office: 1561/2 N. Cicero, Chicago 44, Illinois

Stores:

Chicago, Illinois, 4658 S. Ashland. Chicago, Illinois, 5457 S. Ashland. Chicago, Illinois, 6257 S. Ashland. Chicago, Illinois, 7901 S. Ashland. Chicago, Illinois, 9459 S. Ashland. Chicago, Illinois, 1559 Chicago. Chicago, Illinois, 5156 Chicago. Chicago, Illinois, 5967 Chicago. Chicago, Illinois, 5531 W. Lake. Chicago, Illinois, 1770 W. Madison. Chicago, Illinois, 3179 Madison. Chicago, Illinois, 3401 W. Madison. Chicago, Illinois, 4800 W. Madison. Chicago, Illinois, 5973 W. Madison. Chicago, Illinois, 1224 Milwaukee. Chicago, Illinois, 2600 S. Pulaski. Chicago, Illinois, 5960 Roosevelt. Berwyn, Illinois, 6350 Cermak. Berwyn, Illinois, 6800 Cermak. Cicero, Illinois, 4801 Cermak. Cicero, Illinois, 5958 Cermak.

Downers Grove, Illinois, 5134 S. Main. Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 520 Crescent. Hinsdale, Illinois, 52 S. Washington. La Grange, Illinois, 25 S. La Grange Rd. Oak Park, Illinois, 101 N. Oak Park. Wheaton, Illinois, 101 W. Front. Chicago, Illinois, 3960 W. Madison. Oak Park, Illinois, 1151 W. Lake.

432

SOUTH SIDE DISTRICT (CHICAGO)

Office: 743 W. 79th Street, Chicago 20, Illinois Stores:

Chicago, Illinois, 4301 Berkeley. Chicago, Illinois, 5501 S. California. Chicago, Illinois, 9200 Commercial. Chicago, Illinois, 3900 Cottage Grove. Chicago, Illinois, 6300 Cottage Grove. Chicago, Illinois, 7458 Cottage Grove. Chicago, Illinois, 7900 Cottage Grove. Chicago, Illinois, 5500 Dorchester. C'icago, Illinois, 7500 Exchange. Chicago, Illinois, 7872 Exchange. Chicago, Illinois, 5501 S. Halsted. Chicago, Illinois, 6858 S. Halsted. Chicago, Illinois, 4257 Indiana. Chicago, Illinois, 4658 Michigan. Chicago, Illinois, 5501 Michigan. Chicago, Illinois, 5757 Prairie. Chicago, Illinois, 4701 South Park. Chicago, Illinois, 5100 South Park. Chicago, Illinois, 6257 South Park. Chicago, Illinois, 6257 Stewart. Chicago, Illinois, 6658 Stony Island. Chicago, Illinois, 7859 Stony Island. Chicago, Illinois, 4657 Woodlawn. Blue Island, Illinois, 12958 S. Western. Chicago Heights, Illinois, 1706 N. Halsted. East Chicago, Indiana, 722 W. Chicago. Gary, Indiana, 795 Broadway. Hammond, Indiana, 5150 S. Hohman. Harvey, Illinois, 167 E. 154th. Chicago, Illinois, 7901 S. Halsted. Chicago, Illinois, 7059 Jefferey. Chicago, Illinois, 6259 S. Kedzie. Chicago, Illinois, 11100 S. Michigan.

Chicago, Illinois, 6300 S. Western. Chicago, Illinois, 6257 Woodlawn.

433

SPECIAL DISTRICT, CHICAGO

Office: 743 W. John Street, Chicago 20, Illinois

Stores:

Chicago, Illinois, 8658 Cottage Grove. Chicago, Illinois, 5248 Lake Park. Elmhurst, Illinois, 133 N. York. Evergreen Park, Illinois, 9500 S. Western. Gary, Indiana, 601 Broadway. Chicago, Illinois, 3405 South Park. Park Ridge, Illinois, 800 Devon.

434

COLORADO DISTRICT

Office and Warehouse: 1219 Delaware, Denver, Colorado

Stores:

Boise, Idaho, 200 N. 8th. Boulder, Colorado, 1346 Pearl. Casper, Wyoming, 146 E. Second. Cheyenne, Wyoming; 220 W. 17th. Colorado Springs, Colorado, 12 S. Tejon. Denver, Colorado, 601 Broadway. Denver, Colorado, 1500 Broadway. Denver, Colorado, 2260 E. Colfax. Denver, Colorado, 6240 E. Colfax. Denver, Colorado, 235 16th. Denver, Colorado, 801 16th. Denver, Colorado, 1st Ave. & University Blvd. Fort Collins, Colorado, 125 S. College. Idaho Falls, Idaho, 400 North Park. Oakland, California, 1233 Broadway. Ogden, Utah, 2487 Washington. Palo Alto, California, 240 University. Phoenix, Arizona, 2 W. Washington. Price, Utah, 3 W. Main. Provo, Utah, 1 E. Center. Pueblo, Colorado, 631 N. Main. Redwood City California, 2227 Broadway. Sacramento, California, 3460 El Camino. Sacramento, California, 930 "K" Street. Salt Lake City, Utah, 201 Main. Salt Lake City, Utah, 3 West S. Temple. Salt Lake City, Utah, 1153 Simpson. San Francisco, California, 981 Market. San Francisco, California, 135 Powell. San Francisco, California, 245 Winston Drive (Stonestown) San Mateo, California, 270 "B" Street.

UNITED STATES VS. MCKESSON AND ROBBINS, INC. 215

Santa Monica, California, 1402 3rd. Tucson, Arizona, 33 E. Congress.

435

DALLAS DISTRICT

Office and Warehouse: 2700 Logan, Dallas 15, Texas

Stores:

Abilene, Texas, 318 Pine. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 201 W. Central. Amarillo Texas, 800 Pelk. Dallas, Texas, 1321 Commerce. Dallas Texas, 1631 Elm. Dallas, Texas, 225 W. Jefferson. Dallas, Texas, 1415 Main. Dallas, Texas, 1725 Pacific. Dallas, Texas, 8400 Preston. El Paso, Texas, 115 N. Mesa. Lubbock, Texas, 1219 Broadway. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, 229 W. Main. San Angelo, Texas, 128 Chadbourne. Tulsa, Oklahoma, 30 W. Fifth. Tulsa, Oklahoma, 325 Main. Tulsa, Oklahoma, 1714 Utica. Waco, Texas, 601 Austin. Wichita Falls, Texas, 710 Indiana (Allison-Walgreen Drug Store)

436

FLORIDA DISTRICT

Office: 107 N. 11th Street, Tampa 2, Florida

Stores:

Daytona Beach, Florida, 100 S. Beach.
Jacksonville, Florida, 125 Main.
Lakeland, Florida, 201 E. Main.
Miami, Florida, 244 N. E. First.
Miami, Florida, 1 W. Flagler.
Miami, Florida, 200 E. Flagler.
Mobile, Alabama, 110 Dauphin.
Orlando, Florida, 38 S. Orange.
Panama City, Florida, 485 Harrison.
Pensacola, Florida, 101 S. Palafox.
St. Petersburg, Florida, 415 Central.
Tampa, Florida, 719 Franklin.
West Palm Beach, Florida, 225 Clematis.

HOUSTON DISTRICT

Office: 1102 Sampson, Houston, Texas

Stores:

Austin, Texas, 721 Congress. Beaumont, Texas, 690 Pearl. Corpus Christi, Texas, 524 Chaparral. Galveston, Texas, 2202 Post Office. Houston, Texas, 822 S. Main. Houston, Texas, 1023 S. Main. Houston, Texas, 3200 S. Main. Houston, Texas, 4302 S. Main. Houston, Texas, 2946 Shepherd. Houston, Texas, 1012 Telephone Road. Houston, Texas, 900 Texas. Houston. Texas, 1037 Westheimer. Lake Charles, Louisiana, 801 Ryan. Port Arthur, Texas, 546 Procter. San Antonio, Texas, 300 E. Houston. Pasadena, Texas, 945 Shaw Ave.

437

ILLINOIS DISTRICT

Office: Room 500, First National Bank Bldg., Peoria 2, Ill.

Stores:

Aurora, Illinois, 1 S. Broadway. Bloomington, Illinois, 102 W. Washington. Burlington, Iowa, 404 Jefferson. Champaign, Illinois, 301 N. Neil. Danville, Illinois, 56 N. Vermilion. Decatur, Illinois, 260 N. Water. Elgin, Illinois, 100 E. Chicago. Galesburg, Illinois, 156 E. Main. Joliet, Illinois, 100 N. Chicago. Kankakee, Illinois, 236 E. Court. Lafayette, Indiana, 408 Main. Logansport Indiana, 400 Broadway. Michigan City, Indiana, 631 Franklin. Peoria, Illinois, 400 Main. Rockford, Illinois, 134 N. Main. South Bend, Indiana, 201 N. Michigan. Springfield, Illinois, 500 Monroe. Sterling, Illinois, 15 E. Third.

438

LOUISIANA DISTRICT

Office and warehouse: 3902 Bienville St., New Orleans 19, La.

Stores:

Alexandria, Louisiana, 1002 Third. Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 200 Third. Jackson, Mississippi, 173 E. Capital. Memphis, Tennessee, 178 Madison. Memphis, Tennessee, 2760 Lamar. Memphis, Tennessee, 43 Main. Memphis, Tennessee, 64 Main. Memphis, Tennessee, 3503 Park. Memphis, Tennessee, 1348 Poplar. Memphis, Tennessee, 2465 Poplar. Memphis, Tennessee, 3 Poplar Plaza. Monroe, Louisiana, 147 E. DeSaird. New Orleans, Louisiana, 900 Canal. New Orleans, Louisiana, 1730 Canal. New Orleans, Louisiana, 734 S. Carrollton. New Orleans, Louisiana, 4109 S. Carrollton. New Orleans, Louisiana, 3043 Gentilly. New Orleans, Louisiana, 2606 Jefferson. New Orleans, Louisiana, 134 Royal. New Orleans, Louisiana, 4338 St. Charles. Shreveport, Louisiana, 600 Texas. Vicksburg, Mississippi, 1415 Washington.

439

LOUISVILLE DISTRICT

Office: 6291/2 Fourth, Louisville, Kentucky

Stores:

Augusta, Georgia, 902 Broad.
Charleston, South Carolina, 270 King.
Columbia, South Carolina, 1522 Main.
Durham, North Carolina, 102 W. Main.
Greenville, South Carolina, 22 N. Main.
Knoxville, Tennessee, 506 S. Gay.
Lexington, Kentucky, 140 W. Main.
Louisville, Kentucky, 970 Baxter.
Louisville, Kentucky, 336 Broadway.
Louisville, Kentucky, 790 Eastern Pkwy.
Louisville, Kentucky, 526 S. Fourth.
Louisville, Kentucky, 661 S. Fourth.
Louisville, Kentucky, 401 W. Jefferson.
Louisville, Kentucky, 5300 S. Third.
Nashville, Tennessee, 226 Fifth.

218 UNITED STATES VS. MCKESSON AND ROBBINS, INC.

Raleigh, North Carolina, 200 Fayetteville. Savannah, Georgia, 119 Bull. Winston-Salem, North Carolina, 201 W. Fourth.

440

MICHIGAN DISTRICT

Office Rm. 309, Euclid Bldg., 1010 Euclid Ave., Cleveland, Ohio

Stores:

Altoona, Pennsylvania, 1500 11th. Anderson, Indiana, 1040 Meridian. Battle Creek, Michigan, 38 W. Michigan. Benton Harbor, Michigan, 134 E. Main. Cleveland, Ohio, Closed. Cleveland, Ohio, 13520 Euclid. Columbus, Ohio, 51 S. High. Ft. Wayne, Indiana, 800 Calhoun. Ft. Wayne, Indiana, 1000 Calhoun. Grand Rapid, Michigan, 93 Monroe. Huntington, West Virginia, 900 4th. Jackson, Michigan, 102 W. Michigan. Lansing, Michigan, 107 Washington. Lansing, Michigan, 220 Washington. Lima, Ohio, 150 N. Main. Muskegan, Michigan, 335 Western. Pontiac, Michigan, 67 N. Saginaw. Springfield, Ohio, 101 S. Limestone. Toledo, Ohio, 601 Adams.o Toledo, Ohio, 2156 Central. Wheeling, West Virginia, 1146 Market.

OHIO DISTRICT

Office: 4300 Peterson Ave., Chicago, Ill.

Stores:

Akron, Ohio, 138 S. Howard.
Cincinnati, Ohio, 642 Race.
Cincinnati, Ohio, 6030 Glenway.
Columbus, Ohio, 3491 Cleveland.
Columbus, Ohio, 31 Graceland.
Flint, Michigan, 600 S. Saginaw.
Kalamazoo, Michigan, 301 S. Burdick.
Muncie, Indiana, 116 S. Walnut.
Peoria, Illinois, 217 Adams.

CROWN DRUG COMPANY

General Office & Warehouse: 2110 Central Kansas City, Missouri Telephone: Harrison 5230 Address Orders and Mail: Crown Drug Company P. O. Box 307 Kansas City 45, Missouri

STORE DIRECTORY-GREATER KANSAS CITY

March 30, 1955

Division I

Div. Operations Manager, C. R. Richardson, 5118 Maple, Mission, KS.—Phone: 'E. 0713

	0000 W 404			2 44.4	
2	2600 E. 15th	K. C. 1, Mo		F. M. Cartmell	R. Zumw
4	3101 Main	K. C. 2, Mo	We. 3530.	A. P. Landaker.	L. T. Laupeson
. 5	3906 Prospect	K. C. 4, Mo	Wa. 3706.	T. E. Potter	EO. Smith
8	- 3849 Main:	K. C. 2, Mo	Va. 6440	W. H. Stevens	L. M. Castleberry
. 9	2525 Swope Pkwy	K. C. 4, Mo	Wa. 3043.		E. Audas
. 14	1800 E. 31st	K. C. 3, Mo	.Li. 8200		R. Schmitt.
15	4600 St. John	K. C. I. Mo		E J. Bohringer.	V. Birch
		11. 0. 1, 110	De. 0101	E J. Douringer	M. Downey
16	201 E. Armour Rd.	N. K. C., Mo	No. 4076	R. L. Shellnut	D. Schanker
17	1726 W. 39th St	K. C. 2, Mo			
18			Lo. 2771	P. Coxsey	J. Ross
	1800 Central	K. C. 3, Ks	Dr. 2220	S. W. Hawk	A. L. Leakey
20	3500 Main St	K. C. 2, Mo	Lo. 4414		A. L. Burke
21		K. C. 5, Mo	Ja. 0058,	P. D. Keller	No assistant
26	3500 Troost	K. C. 3, Mo	We. 3254	R. O. Burris	D. Skinner
33	3100 Troost	K. C. 3, Mo	We. 2145	C. A. Green. A.	J. Alonge
37	2701 Prospect	K. C. 1. Mo	Li. 2512	K. J. McLaughlin	E. May
40	5800 Johnson Dr	Mission, Ks	He. 2218.	F. Bisceglia	R. Breitenstein
41	744 Minnesota	K. C. 11, Ks	At. 6265		Temp. Unassigned
43	4262 Oak	K. C. 2, Mo	We 3344.	B. Melia	No assistant
45	4603 Paseo	K. C. 4, Mo	Wa. 6465.	G. Sledler	
46	3846 Indiada				W. Simpson
		K. C. 3, Mo	Wa. 1000	J. A. Manlove	E. Filsinger
49	2706 W 53rd	K. C. 3, Ks	Ta. 5944	J. A. O'Bryant	O. Ott
50	11724 E. 23rd St	Indep., Mo	Cl. 5758	R. H. Loosemore.	E. Birch
52	5700 Troost	K. C. 4, Mo	Hi. 5200	J. Thompson	L. Maret
56	7100 Wornall	K. C. 5, Mo	Ja. 1655	J. A. Keller	A. F. Tripp
	The second secon		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The state of the s	

Maintenance 121 E. 27th—K. C. 3, Mo.—Ha. 7391—2 (after hours & holidays We 3530).

Display—Ha. 5230.

456

STORE DIRECTORY-OUTSTATE

March 30, 1955;

Division II

Div. Operations Mgr., J. E. Greenhagen, 745 S. Market, Springfield, Mo-Ph 2-7386

			The second secon		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	and the second second
23 27 30 32 35		747 Massachusetts 331 Delaware 304 S. Main 128 S. Santa Fe 510 Main St	Lawrence, Ks Leavenworth, Ks. Ottawa, Ks Salina, Ks Joplin, Mo	166	J. M. Abrams H. G. Marak J. K. Pistorius R. E. Hill T. C. Bell	S. Adame L. P. Day T. E. Simmons L. Barker G. Tharp
36		1 N. Main St	Miami, Okla	30431 Kimball 2-4406	A. A. Theede	P. H. Garner
38		210 E. High	feff. City, Mo	6-3713	J. S. Biven	C. J. Amber
. 44. 55	4	1850 S. Glenstone	Springfield, Mo Emporia, Kans	6-3018 266	R. L. Coday W. R. Busenbark	D. S. McClure J. W. Nuhn
82	3.	401 St. Louis 904 E. Broadway	Springfield, Mo	2-1961 9484	A. R. Smith R. L. Jackson	W. T. Helyer D. F. Kallenbach
88 92		815 Kansas Ave 101 E. Main St	Topeka, Kans	2-4188	C. F. Obermier	C. Tice
93		920 Grant	Carthage, Mo	2271	F. A. Johnston L. G. Alee	P. L. Wells W. L. Jackson
96		123 N. Main St	Hutchinson, Ks	Mohawk 5-6331	J. Dougherty	F. Moore-Temp.
56 102		1. N. Main St	Ft. Scott, Ks	462	T. T. Janeich H. W. Cassing	R. A. Courtney N. W. Prenger
103		100 S. Main	Hannibal, Me	200	M. Schaikoski	V. P. Miller
105		502 N. Broadway	Pittsburg, Ks	1313	J. W. Broyles	E. C. Crowley.

MILWAUKEE DISTRICT

Office and warehouse: 3380 N. 35th Street, Milwaukee 10, Wisconsin

Stores:

Appleton, Wisconsin, 228 W. College. Appleton, Wisconsin, 731 W. Foster. Beloit, Wisconsin, 403 E. Grand. Green Bay, Wisconsin, 324 N. Washington Kenosha, Wisconsin, 5800 Sixth. Madison, Wisconsin, 32 E. Mifflin. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 3501 W. Burleigh, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 2278 Kinnickinnics Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1233 W. Lincoln. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1100 W. Mitchell. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 3432 W. North. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 4801 W. North. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 2761 N. Teutonia. Milwaukee Wisconsin, 2243 N. Third. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 2690 N. 27th. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 3333 G. S. 27th. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1201 W. Vliet. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 2638 W. Vliet. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 2639 W. Wells. Milwaukee Wisconsin, 124 W. Wisconsin. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 300 W. Wisconsin. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 540 W. Wisconsin. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1600 W. Wisconsin. Racine, Wisconsin, 403 Main. West Allis, Wisconsin, 7149 W. Greenfield. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 5916 N. Portwashington Rd.

442

MINNESOTA DISTRICT

Office: 1920 Nicollet, Minneapolis, Minnesota

Stores:

Aberdeen, South Dakota, 202 Main.
Council Bluffs, Iowa, 400 W. Broadway.
Des Moines, Iowa, 324 W. 7th.
Duluth, Minnesota, 129 W. Superior.
Duluth, Minnesota, 7-9 13th Avenue E.
Eau Claire, Wisconsin, 302 S. Barstow.
Lincoln, Nebraska, 1301 "O" Street.
Minneapolis, Minnesota, 337 Hennepin,
Minneapolis, Minnesota, 533 Hennepin,
Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1 W. Lake.
Minneapolis, Minnesota, 800 E. Lake.
Minneapolis, Minnesota, 733 Marquette.

Minneapolis, Minnesota, 828 Nicollet.
Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1 W, Washington S.
Omaha, Nebraska, 401 S. 16th.
Omaha, Nebraska, 4832 S. 24th.
Richfield, Minnesota, 64 W, 66th.
St. Paul, Minnesota, 1579 University.
St. Paul, Minnesota, 333 Wabasha.
St. Paul, Minnesota, 425 Wabasha.
Sioux Falls, South Dakota, 104 S. Phillip.

NEW ENGLAND DISTRICT

Office and warehouse: 100 N. Central, Teterboro, N. J.

Stores:

Albany, New York, 2 N. Pearl. Geneva, New York, 60 Seneca. Levittown, L. I., New York, 3280 Hempstead Tpk. New York, New York, 2 Broadway. New York, New York, 225 Broadway. New York, New York, 1350 Broadway. New York, New York, 1501 Broadway. New York, New York, 1631 Broadway. New York, New York, 1796 Broadway. New York, New York, 2081 Broadway. New York, New York, 625 8th Avenue. New York, New York, 168 W. 42nd. New York, New York, 298 Madison. New York, New York, 30 New. New York, New York, 9 W. 33rd. Niagara Falls, New York, 45 Falls. Portland, Maine, 601 Congress. Springfield, Massachusetts, 1200 Main. Bridgeport, Connecticut, 1005 Main.

443 Eastern Division—100 N. Central Ave., Teterboro, New Jersey

The Pentagon Bldg.-Store, Washington, D. C.

NEW YORK DISTRICT

Office and warehouse: 100 N. Central Ave., Teterboro, N. J.

Stores:

Arlington, Virginia, 685 Glebe. DeV itt, New York, 3641 Erie Blvd. E. East Paterson, New Jersey, 100 Broadway. Hartford, Connecticut, 64 State. Syracuse, New York, 228 S. Salina. Worcester, Massachusetts, 520 Main. Yonkers, New York, 29-31 Mall Walk.

ST. LOUIS DISTRICT

Office: 634 N. Grand, St. Louis, Missouri

Stores:

Centralia, Illinois, 226 E. Broadway. East St. Louis, Illinois, 144 Collinsville. Fort Smith, Arkansas, 822 Garrison Hot Springs, Arkansas, 524 Central. Little Rock, Arkansas, 501 Main. Maplewood, Missouri, 7374 Manchester. Owensboro, Kentucky, 124 Main. Paducah, Kentucky, 432 Broadway. Quincy, Illinois, 601 Main. St, Louis, Missouri, 2729 Cherokee. St. Louis, Missouri, 6116 Easton. St. Louis, Missouri, 500 Grand. St. Louis, Missouri, 3101 Grand. St. Louis, Missouri. 4 Hampton Village Plaza. St. Louis, Missouri, 1000 Olive. St. Louis, Missouri, 811 Washington. Topeka, Kansas, 801 Kansas. Wichita, Kansas, 3783 E. Ross Pkwy.

444 Office and Warehouse: 63 S. W. Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah S

(No Stores.)

ATLANTA GEORGIA DISTRICT

Office and Warehouse: 597 Cooledge N. E., Atlanta, Georgia

Stores:

Atlanta, Georgia, 38 Broad.
Atlanta, Georgia, 181 Peachtree.
Columbus, Georgia, 1211 Broadway.
Greensboro, North Carolina, 218 S. Elm.
Wilmington, North Carolina, 226 N. Front.
Nashville, Tennessee, 2101 Green Hills Village.

TRI CITIES DISTRICT

Office: 2461/2 W. Third St., Davenport, Iowa

Stores:

Clinton, Iowa, 215 Fifth.

Davenport, Iowa, 201 Second.

Davenport, Iowa, 246 Third.

372485-56-15

SUN BAY DRUG CO.

MONTHLY SUMMARY JAN. TO DEC. 1954

	3 .									1 1 1	1	1				
	I	date		D	ue di	ite		datė		r No.	e No.	No.	Dept.	No.		Total
T CHILD	Mo.	Day	Yr.	Mo.	Day	Yr.	Mo.	Day	4	Vendor	Invoice No.	Store	Bank	Acct.	Amount	amount
										3498 3498 3498 3498 3498 3498 3498 3498		91 92 93 94 95 96 98 99			588.06 976.33 231.24 674.27 417.93 2,072.69 13.63 13.63	
		1								3494 3494 3494 3494 3494		127 204 208 215 950	and a		26. 83 2. 71 . 68C R 19. 27 7,072.12	12, 108. 03
									Purc	hase A	naly	sis		100		12, 108. 03
	Mo.	Day	Yr.	Mo.	Day	-	Mo.	Day		0.0	0		Dent	100		
	M	Ā	2	W	ā	Yr.	M	q	Yr	or No.	80 N	No.	Dept.	No	Andount	Total
				P.C. 1.	X1	make .				7.3	-	1 40		1.2		
59	1	202	w J	ERS	Fed	eral	St.	, Ca	amd	len	Invoice No.		WO Pitm		6189625	80
	20 20 20 20	NE 202 14 15 17	w J 8 - 5 61 59 503 113	ERS 32 Sou Kin La 8 B	Fed th I g's ndi	eral Bros Hig s Av	St. id Shwa	, Car	amd Pitn Had elan	len nan- ldonfi nd	ield		WO Pitm 9-25 7-12	3-9 an 16-	-9625	80
	20 20 20 20 20	NE 202)4 05 07 08	w J 61 59 503 113 570	ERS 32 Sou Kin La 8 B Ra Cent	Fed th I g's ndis ros trite	eral Bros Hig s Av	St. d Shwa	, Can t., Vin Can Ro	amd Pitn Had elan der osell	len nan ldonfi nd e Sho	ield	ing	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches	3-9 an 16- 60- rsor tnu	-9625 1 5-9533 1 5-9701	80 80 80
	20 20 20 20	NE 202 14 15 17 18	w J 8 5 61 59 503 113 570 (140 Pri	Sou Kin La B Ra Cent	Fed th I g's ndia ros trite er.	eral Bros Hig s Av dws	St. d Shware, ye., dd.,	, Can t., Vin Cam Ro	arnd Pitn Hat delan der osell	len nan- ldonfi nd	ield oppi	ing	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches 5-34 1-52	3-9 an 16- 60- rsor tnu 54- 78-	-9625 5-9533	86 86 86 88
	20 20 20 20 20 21 21	NE 202 04 05 07 08 09	w J 8 - 5 61 59 503 113 570 (140 Pri	Sour Kin La 8 B Ra Cente On A center on . Ge	EY; Fed th I g's ndir ros trite er. tlan	eral Bros Hig s Av dws in I	St. d Shware, ye., 'y, 'd', 'Ave ppi	Cam Ro	amd Pitn Had elan der osell tlan Cen	len nan ldonfind e Sho ntic C ter, F	ield opp ity rin	ing	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Emer Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm	3-9 an 16- 60- rsor tnu 54- 78- 42- ier	-9625 1 5-9533 1 5-9701	80 80 80 81 81
	200 200 200 200 211 211 211 211 211	NE 20204 05 07 08 09 11 44 15 17 18	w J 5 5 61 59 503 113 570 (140 Pri	ERS 32 Sour Kin La 8 B Ra Cent 0 A ncet on. Ge 89 B	EY; Fed th I g's ndis ros urits er. tlan ton organismos	eral Bross Higg dws dws dws dws dws dws dws dws dws dws	St. d Shware, iy, i', i', i', i', i', i', i', i', i', i'	date , Ca t., Vin Cam Ro ., A Atl Frei	amd Pitn Had elai der sell tlar Cen	len	ield oppi ity rin k	ing ce-	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Emer Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm 4-06 Ower	3-9 an 16 60 rsor thu 54 61 61 61 55 55 61 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	-9625 1 5-9533 t 5-9701_	80 80 80 81 81 83
	20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 22 22 22	NE 202024 405 07 08 09 11 44 15 17 18 21 124	w J 59 503 113 570 (140 Pri 47 152 99 46 101	ERS 32 Sou Kin La 8 B Ra Cent 00 A ncet on. ' Ge 99 B 8. I Bro N.	EY; Fed th I g's ndis ros irite er. tlan con- cor Bros Br	eral Bross Higgs Av dws in I tiz Sno e St dws dd S St., oad	St. St. St. Aveoppi	date , Cat., Vin Cam Ro Atl Frei i Bi , Tr	amd Pitn Had elan der esell tlar Cen Bru antin ton ank rent	len nan ldonfind e Sho ntic C ter, F nawic ic Cit	ield opppi ity rin k	ing ce-	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm 4-05 Ower 6-98	3-9 an 16 60 rsor thu 54 - 78 42 er 161 520 -	-9625 1 5-9533 t 5-9701_ 5-2176	80 80 80 81 81 83 83
	20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 22 22 22 23	NE 20204 405 07 08 09 11 44 15 17 18 21 124 335	w J 5 5 6 1 5 9 5 0 3 5 7 0 6 1 4 0 Pri t 3 4 7 1 5 2 9 9 4 6 1 0 1 3 3 -	ERS 32 Sou Kin Lass B Ra Cent 00 A neet on. Ge 19 B S. I Bro N.	Fed th I g's ndistros irita er. tlan ton organism Bros ad Bros ad Bros A March 1981 Marc	eral Bross High Shows Avantic Shows St., oad St.,	St. St. Number of St. Number of St. Number of St. St. St.	date , Cat, Vin Cam Ro Atl Tree i Be , Tree i Be	amd Pith Hadelander sell- tlar Cen- tlar Cen- tlar anti- anti- anti- ank	len nan ldonfind e Sho ntic C ter, F nawic ic Cit	ield oppi	ing ce-	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm 4-06 0-98 Expo 2027	3-9 an 16.60 rson thu 54.78 er (61.520.5)	-9625	86 86 86 88 88 88 88 88
	200 200 200 200 211 211 211 212 222 232 242	NE 202 202 202 203 203 203 203 203 203 203	w J 5 5 61 5 9 5 5 0 3 1 1 3 5 7 0 (140 Pri t 347 1 5 2 9 9 4 6 1 0 1 3 3 - 1 6 4 1 1 7	ERS 32 Sour Kin Las 8 B Racento Ancet on. Gee 9 B S. I Bro N. 39 I Sn. 5 Sn. 5 Sn. 6	EY; Fed th I g's ndirector of the control of the co	eral Bross High Show and I stick Show and S St., oad I ain St., and	St. St. St. St.	date , C. , C. Vin Cam Ro ., Atl Frei d Be , Tr , Ple erth We	amd Pitn Had elan der sell tlar Cen Bru anti utor ank rent cass Am	len nan Idonfind e Sho ter, F	ield oppi ity rin k y	ing ce-	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm 4-06 0-98 Expo 2027 Hille 2-11	3-9 an 16 60 rson thu 54 61 61 rest 73 -	-9625 15-9533 15-9701 5-2176 9058	86 86 86 88 88 88 88 88 88
	200 200 200 200 211 211 211 212 222 232 242	NE 202 202 44 05 07 08 09 11 14 15 17 18 21 18 11 17 18 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	w J 5 61 59 503 113 570 (140 Pri 4 46 101 33 164 117 39 S.	ERS 32 Sour Kin La 88 B Ra Cent 100 A 100	Fed th I g's ndistricts or the construction or growth Broad	eral Bross Higgs Av dws Sno St., oad St., oad Isin St.	St. St., N. St., Pesst., P. St., Pr. I	Can Ro Atl French Wo Bri Pacit	amd Pith Hadelander sell- tlar Cen Brutanton anton anton anton anton decent	len nan ldonfind e Sho ntic C ter, F nawic ic Cit	ield opppi ity rin k	ing ce-	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm 4-06 0-98 Expo 2027 Hille	3-9 an 16_60_rson thu 54_61_ns 520_rt :	-9625 1 5-9533 1 5-9701 5-2176 -9058 2-9627 2-9762	80 80 80 81 83 83 84 85 85 85
59	200 200 200 201 211 211 212 222 232 242 244 255	NE 202 202 204 205 07 08 09 14 15 17 18 18 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	w J 59 503 113 570 (140 Pri t 347 152 99 46 101 33-164 117 39 S.	ERS 32 Sour Kin Las 8 B Ra Cent 100 A 100	Fed th I g's ndistricts or the construction or growth Broad	eral Bross Higgs Av dws Sno St., oad St., oad Isin St.	St. St., N. St., Pesst., P. St., Pr. I	Can Ro Atl French Wo Bri Pacit	amd Pith Hadelander sell- tlar Cen Brutanton anton anton anton anton decent	len	ield opppi ity rin k	ing ce-	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm 4-06 0-98 Expe 2027 Hille 2-11 9-80	3-9 an 16_60_rson thu 54_61_ns 520_rt :	-9625 1 5-9533 1 5-9701 5-2176 -9058 2-9627 2-9762	80 80 80 81 83 83 84 85 85 85
59	20 20 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	NE 202 14 202 105 708 108 109 114 15 17 188 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 117 118 118	w J 5 5 61 59 503 113 570 140 Pri 4 6 101 33 164 117 39 8.	ERS 32 Sour Kinn Las 8 B Ra Centrol O A nacet on. Gee 9 B S. I Bro N. S. N. W. Aves	EY: Fed the leg's notice of the leg's control of the leg's solid leg's solid leg's l	eral Bross Higgs And Market Shoot St., coad Iain St., coad Iain St., coad Iain St., cad Iain St., cad Iain Iain Iain Iain Iain Iain Iain Iain	St. d Shwaye, y, idd, Aveeppii N. N. Rec St. St. St. St. Re St. St. B. B. St. B. B. St. B. St	date , Ca t., Vin Ro Atl Fren d Ba Atl Fren Cac Cit dese vd.	amid Pitn Hattelan der osell tlan Cen Bru antion ank rent cens An oodl idge fie by	len	ield pppi ity rin k y Gegto	ing ce-	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm 4-05 Ower 6-98 Expo 2027 Hille 2-11 9-80 4-00	3-9 an 16 60 rson tnu 54 61 61 rest 73 48 29 93	-9625 1 5-9533 1 5-9701 5-2176 -9058 2-9627 2-9762	86 86 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 87 87 87
59°	200 200 200 200 211 211 212 222 232 244 244 524 433 433	NE 202 14 15 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	W J 5 5 61 59 503 113 570 (140 Pri 152 99 46 101 33-164 117 39 S.	Kassisse Source	EY; Feddy's Formation Form	eral Bross Avdws Avdws Avdws St., oad Iain St. ead rel bring tan tan reint St.	St. St., N. Aveoppin St., N. Rec. St., Pest., St., Pett., St., Pet	Can Ro Atl French Wo Brit Cit dse. Will adford the control of the	amid Pith Hader sell- tlar Cen Bru anti- ntor ank rent eass An bodd dge fic by, Wining ord	len	ield oppi ity rin k y le irgi	ing ce-	WO Pitm 9-25 7-12 Eme Ches 5-34 1-52 1-97 Kilm 4-06 Ower 6-98 Expo 2027 Hille 2-11 9-80 4-00	3-9 an 16 60 rson tnu 54 2 61 rest 73 48 29 31 31 -	-9625 1 5-9533 1 5-9701 5-2176 -9058 2-9627 2-9762	86 86 86 88 88 88 88 88

222 UNITED STATES VS. MCKESSON AND ROBBINS, INC.

Moline, Illinois, 1600 Fifth. Rock Island, Illinois, 1800 Second. Rock Island, Illinois, 1801 Third.

445

Government Exhibit 21

General Offices: 1130 Walnut, Kansas City 6, Mo.

KATZ DRUG COMPANY

World's leading superstores: Kansas City, Mo.; St. Louis, Mo.; Wellston, Mo.; St. Joseph, Mo.; Sioux City, Iowa; Memphis, Tenn.; Des Moines, Iowa; Oklahoma City, Okla.; Kirkwood, Mo.; Kansas City, Kans.

APRIL 7, 1955.

Mr. STANLEY N. BARNES,

U. S. Assistant Attorney General, U. S. Department of Justice, Washington 25, D. C.

Re: Government's Antitrust Suit McKesson & Robbins, Inc.

DEAR MR. BARNES: In compliance with your request of March
31, attached find a list showing the store number and street address of each of our retail stores.

All of our retail stores carry some products manufactured by

McKesson and Robbins, Inc.

In answer to your second question, all stores do from time to time purchase products manufactured by McKesson and Robbins from local independent wholesalers or wholesale drug divisions of McKesson and Robbins, Inc.

We hope this gives you all of the information requested in

your letter of above date.

. Sincerely yours,

KATZ DRUG COMPANY,
By John Roach,
John Roach,
Operating Manager.

JR: bw.

	446 Katz Drug Company locations and telephone numbers	
	Company of the contract of the	
	Store #1, 8th & Grand, Kansas City, Missouri	Vi 1514
	Store #2, 12th & McGee, Kansas City, Missouri	Vi 8208
	Store #3, 728 Minnesota, Kansas City, Kansas	Dr 6050
	*Store #4, 6th & Francis, St. Joseph, Missouri Store #6, 10th & Main, Kansas City, Missouri	4-2773
	Store # 10th & Main, Kansas City, Missouri	Ba 4810
	*Store #6, 7th & Locust, Des Moines, Iowa	3-4203
	Store #7, 12th & Baltimore, Kansas City, Missouri	Gr 1610
	Store #8, 12th & Walnut, Kansas City, Missouri	Vi 7236
	Store #9, 40th & Main, Kansas City, Missouri	Lo 6100
	*Store #10, 4th & Pierce, Sioux City, Iowa	5-8061
	*Store #11, Main & Robinson, Oklahoma City, Okla	Fo 56436
	*Store #12, 7th & Locust, St. Louis, Missouri	Ga 1-1824
	*Store #10, 4th & Pierce Sioux City, Iowa. *Store #11, Main & Robinson, Oklahoma City, Okla. *Store #12, 7th & Locust, St. Louis, Missouri. *Store #13, 6101 Easton, St. Louis, Missouri. Store #14, 15th & Cleveland, Kansas City, Missouri.	Ev 5-6900
	Store #14, 15th & Cleveland, Kansas City, Missouri	Ch 7786
8	Store #15, 5050 Prospect, Mansas City, Missouri	Ar 3800
	Store #16, Independence & Hardesty, Kansas City, Missouri	Be 1701
	Store #17. Linwood & Troost, Kansas City, Missouri	Lo 1873
	Store #18, 63rd & Brookside, Kansas City, Missouri	Ja 3900
	*Store #19, 6150 Natural Bridge Road, St. Louis, Missouri	Ev 5-6776
	Store #20, 75th & Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri	De 1000
	*Store #21, 6th & Edmond, St. Joseph, Mo *Store #22, 8th & Washington, St. Louis, Missouri	4-2797
	*Store #22, 8th & Washington, St. Louis, Missouri	Ce 1-5901
	*Store #23, 7401 Manchester, Maplewood, Missouri	Mi 5-8119
	Store #24, Independence & Prospect, Kansas City, Misseuri	Be 0007
	Store #25, Armour & Swift, North Kansas City, Mo. Store #26, Gregory & Prospect, Kansas City, Missouri	No 8041
	Store #26, Gregory & Prospect, Kansas City, Missouri	De 5611
,	Store #27, 201 North Main, Independence, Missouri	Cl 9313
	Store #28, 10th & Minnesota, Kansas City, Kansas	Fi 4711
	*Store 29, 331 Southwest Commerce, Oklahoma City, Okla	Me 41406
	Store #30, 4701 Sycamore, Mission, Kansas	He 0150
	*Store #31, 19th & Keo, Des Moines, Iowa	5-3141
	Store #30, 4701 Sycamore, Mission, Kansas *Store #31, 19th & Keo, Des Moines, Iowa *Store #32, 2256 Lamar Ave., Memphis, Tennessee	67-7316
	그렇게 되었다면 하면 하는 것이 되었다면 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다면 하다고 있다.	67-7317
	*Store #33, 441 N. Kirkwood Road, Kirkwood, Missouri	Yo 5-3787
	Warehouse, 1201 Armour Road, North Kansas City, Mo	No 3977
	*St. Louis District Office, 315 N. 7th Street, St. Louis, Mo.	Ce 1-1881
	General Office, 1130 Walnut, Kansas City, Missouri	Vi 7236
	General Office, 1130 Walnut, Kansas City, Missouri Personnel Office, 12th & Walnut St. Bldg., Kansas City, Mo	Vi 7528
	*St. Louis Personnel Office	Ce 1-8927
	Out-of-town locations.	

447

Government's Exhibit 22

: United Cigar-Whelan Stores Corporation 215 FOURTH AVENUE, NEW YORK 3, N. Y.

Whelan Drug Stores

United Cigar Stores

New address: 82 Thirty-ninth Street, Brooklyn 32, N. Y.

APRIL 21, 1955.

The Attorney General,

Department of Justice,

Washington 25, D. C.

Re; SNB:WR-60-21-90

DEAR SIR: Reference is made to our prior correspondence under the above referenced number.

I am' enclosing a nationwide list of our retail stores. All of the above stores handle some products manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Inc. Purchases of these products are made from (1) local independent wholesalers, (2) from the wholesale drug divisions of McKesson & Robbins, Inc., and (3) directly from the manufacturing division (Bridgeport) of McKesson & Robbins, Inc. With respect to the latter purchases, in the calendar year of 1954 we purchased from the Bridgeport Division merchandise totalling \$11,701.

I trust that the above information is now presently sufficient for your requirements.

Very truly yours,

Attorney.

RJR:lk Enclosure.

448

Store list

#D-101 D. M.-J. Anastasia (11 Stores). Hdgtrs.: 227 River Ave., Providence, R. I. 1013 38 State St., New London, Conn. 1015 2 Colony St., Meriden, Conn. 2793 60 Broad St., Pawtucket 1, R. I. 2814 151 Greenwich Ave., Greenwich, Conn. 2833 420 Main St., Middletown, Conn. 2886 1410 Hancock St., Quincy 69, Mass. 2839 759 Main St., Hartford, Conn. 2840 1507-13 Main St., Springfield, Mass. 2841 209-11 Main St., Danbury, Conn. 2 N. Division St., Peekskill, N. Y. 3216 1 S. Broadway, Tarrytown, N. Y. 3374 #D-102 D. M.—H. J. Van Vleck (14 Stores). Hdgtrs.: Store 2575, 442 State St., Schenectady, N. Y. 1172 17 W. Bridge St., Oswego, N. Y. 1179 62 Main St., Batavia, N. Y. 1675 298 Wall St., Kingston, N. Y. 2519 3-5 Public Sq., Watertown, N. Y.

2534 400 S. Salina St., Syracuse 2, N. Y.

2545 1 North St., Middletown, N. Y. 2561 32 E. Main St., Amsterdam, N. Y.

315 State St., Schenectady 5, N. Y. 2574.2575 442 State St., Schenectady 5, N. Y.

2576 791 Albany St., Schenectady 7, N. Y.

2578 2101 Broadway, Schenectady 6, N. Y.

924 Crane St., Schenectady 3, N. Y. 2579 2592 301 S. Warren St., Syracuse 2, N. Y.

```
296 Main St., Poughkeepsie, N. Y.
   3233
         D. M.-L. G. Selwyn (12 Stores).
#D-103
         Hdqtrs.: Store 966, 2826 Broadway, New York 25, N. Y.
         2558 Broadway, New York 25, N. Y.
    681
         3542 Broadway, New York 31, N. Y.
    838
    966
         2836 Broadway, New York 25, N. Y.
         4020 Broadway, New York 32, N. Y.
   1489
         250 W. 57th St., New York 19, N. Y.
   3282
   3332
         532 Amsterdam Ave., New York 24, N. Y.
   3334
         2315 Broadway, New York 24, N. Y.
         1961 Broadway, New York 23, N. Y.
   3380
   3387
         1031 Madison Ave., New York 24, N. Y.
         1297 Ave, of the Americas, New York 19, N. Y.
   3402
         1173 Madison Ave., New York, N. Y.
   3408
   3410
         254 E. Fordham Rd., New York, N. Y.
         D. M.—M. Friedman (13 Stores).
#D-104
         Hdgtrs.: Store 860, 1570 Broadway, New York, N. Y.
         1280 Broadway, New York 1. N. Y.
    .47
         1570 Broad vay, New York 19, N. Y.
    860
         55 E. 42nd St., New York 17, N. Y.
    943
   1801
         1256 Ave. of the Americas, New York 20, N. Y.
         759 Seventh Ave., New York 19, N. Y.
   1915
   3289
         430 Ninth Ave., New York 1, N. Y.
         782 Second Ave., New York 17, N. Y.
   3328
         1514 Broadway, New York 19, N. Y.
   3382
         660 Eighth Ave., New York 18, N. Y.
   3397
         236 W. 34th St., New York 1, N. Y.
   3405
         1221-23 Ave. of the Americas, New York, N. Y.
   3412
   3422
         163 E. 42nd St., New York, N. Y.
 D-105
         D. M.—Ralph Dandona (13 Stores).
         Hdgtrs.: Store 844, 401 W. 23rd St., New York, N. Y.
    844
         401 W. 23rd St., New York 11, N. Y.
   1024
         597 Bay St., Stapleton 4, S. I.
   1800
         402 Ave. of the Americas, New York, N. Y.
   1947
         147-02 Jamaica Ave., Jamaica 2, N. Y.
   3226
         151 Richmond Ave., Pt. Richmond, S. I.
         261 Eighth Ave., New York 11, N. Y.
   3396
   3399
         20 University Pl., New York 3, N. Y.
   3409
         3920 Main St., Flushing, N. Y.
         39-20 Main St., Flushing, N. Y.
   3409
  :3413
         187-12 Horace Harding Blvd., Flushing, N. Y.
         427 E. 14th St., New York, N. Y.
   3414
   3415
         298 First Ave., New York, N. Y.
         518 E. 20th St., New York, N. Y.
   3416
```

71-55 Kissena Blvd., Flushing, N. Y.

3425

```
D. M.-F. F. Artale (16 Stores).
#D-106
          Hdqtrs.: Store 3423, Carman Ave., Village: Green,
            Westbury, L. I.
   1130
          2001 Mott Ave., Far Rockaway, L. I.
   3281
          64 S. Main St., Freeport, L. I.
          1 W. Main St., Bay Shore, L. I.
   3299
   3348
          1 Glen St., Glen Cove, L. I.
   3379
          57 Main St., Hempstead, L. I.
   3417
          258-25 Union Turnpike, Glen Oaks, Bellerose, L. I.
         61-03 Springfield Blvd., Bayside, L. I.
   3418
   3419
          2921 Hempstead Turnpike, Levittown, L. I.
   3421
          Cherrywood Shopping Center, Wantagh, L. I.
   3423
          Carman Ave., Village Green, Westbury, L. I.
          Levittown Parkway Village Green, Hicksville, L. I.
   3424
   3426
          28 Main St., Smithtown, L. I.
   3427
         444 Hillside Ave., East Williston, L. I.
   3428
          397 So. Oyster Bay Rd., Hicksville, L. I.
#D-107
          D. M.-J. V. Capuano (8 Stores).
          Hdqtrs.: Store 2837, 295 Main St., Orange, N. J.
   1025
          15 Park Pl., Morristown, N. J.
          172 Main St., Asbury Park, N. J.
   1037
   2790
          1003 Springfield Ave., Irvington, N. J.
         1 Waverly Pl., Madison, N. J.
   2808
         402 George St., New Brunswick, N. J.
   2825
         295 Main St., Orange, N. J.
   2837
          75 So. Orange Ave., So. Orange, N. J.
   2838
                D. M.-M. Chadell (9 Stores).
      #D-108
                Hdqtrs.: Store 2775, 173 Main St., Hackensack,
                  N. J.
         471 Broadway, Bayonne, N. J.
   1035
         960 Broad St., Newark, N. J.
   2741
   2744
         234 Washington St., Hoboken, N. J.
   2764
         48 Washington St., Bloomfield, N. J.
   2775
         173 Main St., Hackensack, N. J.
         125 Broad St., Elizabeth, N. J.
   2803
   2824
         210 Main St., Paterson, N. J.
   2836 3801 Bergenline Ave., Union City, N. J.
   2843
         129 Market St., Paterson, N. J.
         D. M.-J. H. Hullick (13 Stores).
#D-109
         Hdgtrs.: Store 2433, 1234 Market St., Philadelphia, Pa.
    511
         201 Washington Ave., Scanton, Pa.
         233 Walnut St., Harrisburg, Pa.
   2340
   2371 535 Market St., Chester, Pa.
         770 Cumberland St., Lebanon, Pa.
  2380
  2397
          121 S. Broad St., Philadelphia, Pa.
         4676 Frankford Ave., Philadelphia, Pa.
   2404
```

1349 Olney Ave., Philadelphia 41, Pa. 2402 2 N. George St., York, Pa. 2407 5 W. Public Sq., Wilkes-Barre, Pa. 2410. 701 Hamilton St., Allentown, Pa. 24272428 823 Market St., Wilmington 10, Del. 1234-88 Market St., Philadelphia, Pa. 24331545 Pacific Ave., Atlantic City, N. J. 2441**#D**-110 D. M.—A. E. Serafino (14 Stores). Hdgtrs.: Room 202, 509 14th St., N. W., Washington 4 D. C. 1349 E St., N. W., Washington 4, D. C. 1417 1490 101 Capitol St., Charleston, W. Va. 2363 1700 Penn. Ave. N. W., Washington 6, D. C. 2374 219 Granby St., Norfolk 10, Va. 2425 700 King St., Alexandria, Va. 2446 110 S. Wayne St., Arlington, Va. 24471801 G St., Washington 6, D. C. 2448 4500-02 Edmondson Ave., Baltimore, Md. 2449 1820 Earhart Rd., Essex, Baltimore 21, Md. 2452 8701 Flower Ave., Silver Spring, Md. 2454 1201 Connecticut Ave., Washington, D. C. 3547 200 Capitol St., Charleston, W. Va. .266-270 High St., Morgantown, W: Va. 3550 #D-301 D. M.-A. H. Smith (15 Stores). Hdqtrs.: 239-243 N. W. 28th St., Miami 37, Fla. 3552 176 N. E. First St., Miami 32, Fla. 3554 2342 Ponce DeLeon Blvd., Coral Gables 34, Fla. 3555 7901 N. E. Second Ave., Miami 38, Fla. 3556 6140 N. W. Seventh Ave., Miami 38, Fla. 1301 Washington Ave., Miami Beach 39, Fla. 3557 3601 N. W. Seventeenth Ave., Miami 37, Fla. 3558 3559 2185 S. W. Eighth St., Miami 35, Fla. 3571 9600 N. E. Second Ave., Miami Shores, Fla. 3572 1911 Hollywood Blvd., Hollywood, Fla. 3576 200 Lincoln Rd., Miami Beach 39, Fla. 3577 220 S. Andrews Ave., Fort Lauderdale, Fla. 3579 7100 Collins Ave., Miami Beach, Fla. 3700 600 N. E., 125th St., North Miami, Fla. 2232 Collins Ave., Miami Beach, Fla. 3701 D. M.—B. Weissman (24 Stores). #D-401 Hdqtrs.: Store 3080, 724 So. Broadway, Los Angeles 14, Calif. (Personnel Dept.). 3079 533 W. 7th St., Los Angeles 14, Calif. 606 S. Main St., Los Angeles 14, Calif. 30803082 462 S. Spring St., Los Angeles 13, Calif.

735 W. 7th St., Los Angeles 14, Calif.

3085

3087 559 S. Western Ave., Los Angeles 5, Calif.

3093 200 No. Brand Blvd., Glendale, Calif.

516-518 W. Sixth St., Los Angeles 14, Calif. 3094

100 N. Central Ave., Glendale, Calif. 3107

2276 Honolulu Ave., Montrose, Calif. 3110

3112 2670 E. Colorado Blvd., E. Pasadena, Calif.

3113 209 E. Second St., Pomona, Calif. .

3119 724 S. Broadway, Los Angeles, Calif.

3120 59th St. & Vermont Ave., Los Angeles, Calif.

31236400 Pacific Blvd., Huntington Park, Calif.

3084 3001 Ocean Front, Ocean Park, Calif.

3089 470 N. Beverly Dr., Beverly Hills, Calif.

3091 9779 Wilshire Blvd., Beverly Hills, Calif.

3092 6161 W. Pico Blvd., Los Angeles 35, Calif.

3096 8173 San Fernando Rd., Sun Valley, Calif.

3098 8800 Wilshire Blvd., Beverly Hills, Calif.

3105 14612 Ventura Blvd., Sherman Oaks, Calif.

3109 17901 S. Clark Ave., Bellflower, Calif.

1045-49 San Fernando Rd., San Fernando, Calif. 3111

3122 8750 S. Sepulyeda Blvd., Lon Angeles, Calif.

450

Government's Exhibit 23

THE MARSHALL DRUG COMPANY

General office and warehouse, West Ninth at Lakeside Avenue, Cleveland 13, Ohio.

APRIL 4, 1955.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,

Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: Mr. Stanley N. Barnes, Assist. Attorney Gen-

GENTLEMEN: In reply to your letter of March 31st, enclosed is a list of The Marshall Drug Company's retail drug stores.

All of our stores handle McKesson items which we buy from

McKesson & Robbins, Bridgeport, Conn.

In addition to this, we purchase some of their products from McKesson & Robbins Com' any, 1381 West 9th Street, Cleveland, Ohio.

Trusting that this answers your questions, I am Sincerely yours,

> THE MARSHALL DRUG COMPANY, E. B. Swisher, E. B. SWISHER, M.dse. Mgr.

ß

Store 1—200 Superior Ave., MA 1-2111, CH 1-8000, Ex. 13, CH 1-9520, CH 1-9521, CH 1-9522, CH 1-9523, CH 1-9524, CH 1-9525.

Store 3—13941 Euclid Ave., LI 1–9648, LI 1–9649, LI 1–9699. Store 5—5601 Broadway Ave., MI 1–9765, MI 1–9764, MI 1–9699.

Store 6—7825 Euclid Ave., EN 1–9270, EN 1–9271, EN 1–9274. Store 7—5501 Woodland Ave., EN 1–9063, EN 1–9064, EN 1–9241.

Store 8—8606 Hough Ave., GA 1-9523, GA 1-9583, GA 1-9577.

Store 10-14802 Detroit Ave., BO 2-9777, BO 2-9778.

Store 13—9807 Lorain Ave., ME 1-9801, ME 1-9802, ME 1-9803.

Store 15-11701 Lorain Ave., CL-1-9892, CL 1-9803, CL 1-9789.

Store 16—3838 West 25th St., FL 1-9766, ON 1-9599.

Store 17—13189 Cedar Rd., FA 1-9725, FA 1-9650.

Store 18—4180 Pearl Rd., SH 1-9800, SH 1-9814, SH 1-9614.

Store 19—3605 Lorain Ave., ME 1–9734, ME 1–9733, ME 1–9732, ME 1–9731.

Store 20—8500 Broadway Ave., MI 1-9776, MI 1-9777, MI 1-9778.

Store 22—6502 Detroit Ave., ME 1-9603, ME 1-9604, ME 1-9601.

Store 23-9300 Kinsman Ave., DI 1-9794, DI 1-9793.

Store 24-3499 East 93d St., DI 1-9740, DI 1-9737.

Store 26-14001 Kinsman Rd., LO 1-9890, LO 1-9735.

Store 28-1301 Addison Rd., EN 1-9087, EN 1-9088.

Store 30-1393 Hayden Ave., LI 1-9792, LI 1-9776.

Store 32-6500 Lorain Ave., ME 1-9675, ME 1-9676, WO 1-7118.

Store 33—905 Euclid Ave., MA 1-4360, PR 1-8565, PR 1-8668. Store 36—17133 Lorain Ave., CL 1-9860, CL 1-9834, CL 1-9843, CL 1-9847.

Store 39—11631 Detroit Ave., BO 2-9715, BO 2-9716, BO 2-9793, BO 2-9779.

Store 41—15725 Madison Ave., BO 2-9732, BO 2-9731.

Store 43—4099 Erie St., Willoughby, Ohio, Willoughby 2-3020, 2-9830, 2-9875.

Store 44—665 Broadway, Bedford, Ohio, BE 2-9811, BE 2-9806, BE 2-9836.

Store 45-128 Main St., Painesville, Ohio, Painesville 5550, 9764.

Store 46-13228 Shaker Square, LO 1-8286, WA 1-9795.

Store 49—4th & Broadway, Lorain, Ohio, Lorain 3-1881, 6-2294, 6-2295, 6-2296.

Store 50-3094 West 25th St., PR 1-9531, PR 1-8834, PR 1-

9160, PR 1-9564.

Store 51-382 Broad St., Elyria, Ohio, Elyria 3367.

Store 52-10646 Euclid Ave., RA 1-9132.

Store 53—11302 Euclid Ave., GA 1-9572, GA 1-9573, GA 1-9536, GA 1-9600, GA 1-9524.

Store 54-Windham, Ohio, Windham 23, 141.

Store 55—5302 Memphis Ave., SH 1-9865, SH 1-9872, SH 9-0474.

Store 57—19285 Detroit Rd., ED 1-9844, ED 1-9849, ED 1-8698.

Store 58—201 Euclid Ave., PR 1–9698, PR 1–9298, PR 1–8699, PR 1–9522, PR 1–9294, PR 1–9699.

Store 59-4541 No. Main St., Ashtabula, Ohio, Ashtabula 2-

5966.

Store 60-5796 Ridge Rd., TU 5-9848, TU 5-9813.

To Store 61—16660 Kinsman Rd., LO 1-9770, LO 1-9738.

Store 63-511 W. Tuscarawas, Barberton, Ohio, Sherwood 5-0417, 5-0418, 5-0419.

Store 64-4503 Mayfield Rd., EV 1-9878, EV 1-9881, EV 1-

9810.

Store 65-36 W. Federal St., Youngstown, Ohio, Reverside 6-1822.

Store 66-4051 Lee Rd., WA 1-9667, WA 1-9831, WA 1-9655,

WA 1-9684, WA 1-9701.

Store 68-5020 Turney Road, DI 1-9600, DI 1-9667, VU 3-0240.

Store 69—392 East Waterloo Rd., South Akron, Ohio, Parkway 4-0157, 4-0132, 4-0091.

Store 70—Berea Parkway Shops, Berea, Ohio, BE 4-1401, BE 4-1402, BE 4-4994.

Store 71—25991 Euclid Ave., RE 1–9795, RE 1–9774, RE 1–9780, RE 2–8060.

Store 72-3101 Westgate Rd., Fairview Park, Ohio, ED 1-9761, ED 1-9850, ED 1-9851, ED 1-9852.

Store 74-807 North Court St., Medina, Ohio, Medina 2-8861.

Store 73-Libby & Northfield Rd., Maple Height, Ohio.

Store 75-22790 Lake Shore Blvd., Euclid, Ohio.

Government's Exhibit 24

SUN DRUG COMPANY, INC.

MEMBER ASSOCIATED CHAIN DRUG STORES

APRIL 4, 1955.

The Attorney General,
United States Department of Justice,
Washington 25, D. C.

Refer to SNP: WR 60-21-90

DEAR SIRS: As per your request in letter dated March 31, 1955 we are enclosing herewith a list of our stores and addresses.

All of these stores sell products manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Inc. They are supplied in the most part from our central warehouse. There are times when stores may occasionally purchase certain items from the warehouse drug division of McKesson & Robbins, Inc.; this would occur when our central warehouse might be out of certain of these items.

We trust this is the information you desire.

Very truly yours,

SUN DRUG COMPANY, INC., W. J. Hug, W. J. Hug, President.

WJH: mk.

Directors: W. J. Hug, John Gibson III, Thos. Lynch, Thomas Phillips Johnson, J. C. Sims, A. G. Wallerstedt, Richard Wright, John Gibson, Jr., D. C. Studebaker, G. W. Smith, Thomas Lynch III.

453

Sun Drug Company, Inc.

Office: 347 Bouquet Street; Warehouse: 317 Bouquet Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Locations

No. 1, Main & Cunningham Streets	Butler, Pa.
No. 2, 135 Crawford Avenue	
No. 3, Hickory Plaza Shopping Cen	Sharon, Pa.
No. 4, 600 Penn Avenue	Turtle Creek, Pa.
No. 5, Otterman at Main Street	
No. 6, 424 Fifth Avenue	McKeesport, Pa.
No. 7, 541 East Ohio Street	Pittsburgh 12, Pa.
No. 8, 3618 Forbes Street	Pittsburgh 13, Pa.
No. 9, 229 Brownsville Road	Pittsburgh 10, Pa.
No. 10, 822 Wood Street	Wilkinsburg, Pa.
No. 11, 1116 Seventh Avenue	Benver Falls, Pa.
No. 12, Penn & Center Avenues	
No. 13, 5800 Forbes Street	
No. 14, 9000 Frankstown Road	Pittsburgh 21, Pa.
No. 15, 743 Braddock Avenue	Braddock, Pa.
No. 16, 429 McKean Avenue	
No. 17, Diamond & Smithfield Sts	
No. 18, 722 Washington Road	Mt. Lebanon, Pgh. 28, Pa.

Location—Continued

No. 19, 600 N. Homewood Avenue	Homewood Dab 9 De
No. 20, Clark Building	Dittebungh De
No. 20, Chirk Dulling	. Fittsburgh, Fa.
No. 21, 4142 Brownsville Road	Brentwood, Pgh. 27, Pa.
No. 22, 5th Avenue & 9th Street	New Kensington, Pa.
No. 23, 17 West Pike Street	Canonsburg, Pa.
No. 24, 37 West Main Street	
No. 25, 211 East 8th Avenue	
No. 26, 218 Diamond Street	Pittsburgh, Pa.
No. 27, 625 Merchant Street No. 28, 800 Main Street No. 29, 119 East Ohio Street	Ambridge, Pa.
No. 28, 800 Main Street	Sharpsburg, Pa,
No. 29, 119 East Ohio Street	Pittsburgh 12, Pa.
No. 30, 82 West High Street	Waynesburg, Pa.
No. 31, Broad St. & N. Highland Ave	East Liberty, Pgh. 6, Pa.
No. 32, Depot & Ligonier Streets	Latrobe, Pa.
No. 33, 946 Liberty Avenue	Pittsburgh, Pa.
No. 34, 620 Market Street	
No. 35, Main & Center Streets	Somerset, Pa.
No. 36, 5th Ave. & Smithfield St.	Pittsburgh, Pa.
No. 37, Green's Shopping Center	Pleasant Hills, Pgh. 36, Pa.
No. 38, Miracle Mile Shopping Cen	

454

Government's Exhibit 25

CROWN DRUG COMPANY

Executive Offices and Warehouse 2108-10-12 Central

Kansas City 44, Mo., April 6, 1955.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: Mr. Stanley N. Barnes.

Subject: SNB:WR 60-21-90

GENTLEMEN: As per your request we are attaching a list showing the number and location of each of our retail drug stores.

All of these stores stock and promote the sale of McKesson and Robbins products.

There are times when our stores pick up shorts of some merchandise from the wholesale divisions of McKesson & Robbins.

We trust this is the information you desire.

Very truly yours,

CROWN DRUG COMPANY,
C. R. Banks,
C. R. Banks,
Executive Vice President.

CRB: hw.

Division III

Office, 47 E. 15th St., Tulsa, Oklahoma-Phone 4-5118

Div. Operations Mgr., T. G. Ingui, 9111 E. 9th St., Tulsa, Okla.—Ph. 85-7243 Div. Merchandise Mgr., J. E. Burgener, 1411 S. Quebec, Tulsa, Okla.—Ph. 6-3261

OKLAHOMA

	0	3750 E. Admiral Pl.:	Tulsa	92-2011	C. Waterhouse	W. D. Mize
- 6	1	4050 Sapulpa Rd	Tulsa	5-06111	L. W. Barker	L. S. Lewis
8	2	3238 E. 21st St	Tulsa	6-7850	J. H. Anderson	C: W. Hancock-T.
6		2339 E. 15th St	Tulsa	6-2131	F. C. Lennon	F. A. Barnett
6		4820 E. 11th St	Tulsa	6-2141	M. I. Shadwell	C. H. Alberty
6		2261 E. 11th St	Tulsa	6-2139	R. B. Moore	R. Pounds
	6	6342-E. Admiral Pl.	Tulsa	8-0227	J. Remey	G. A. Houghton
6		204 S. Main St	Tulsa	3-9757	F. Calton-Temp.	C. H. Wilson
		402 S. Main St		54-7278	J. P. Tracey	C. E. Hollings-
6	8	402 S. Main St	Tulsa	04-1210	J. F. Tracey	
	3				* *** ** ***	worth
7	0	2324 E. Admiral	Tulsa	3-9542	J. W. McElhan-	H. L. Byers
	BLES.				non	
7		1502 S. Peoria	Tulsa	2-2733	J. R. Bowerman.	J. Peterson
. 7	3 .	1446 S. Boston	Tulsa	4-5106	C. Jennings	J. T. Taylor
8	1	301 S. Johnston	Bartlesville	2341	M. Davis	M. A. Simpson,
- 8		301 W. 11th St	Tulsa	4-1109	J. B. Anderson	C. M. Greenwood
-9		1501 N. Cincinnati.	Tulsa	54-5253	H. C. Brandes	F. S. Elder
0	0 -	121 S. Bickford	El Reno	90		W. Potts
10	0	402 Chickasha	Chickasha	62	F. L. Reed	L. L. Sullivan
10		200 E. Grand	Ponca City	Roger	W. H. Pittman.	D. R. Anderson
10		200 E. Grand	Tonca Oity	5-4505	W. AL. A lettimit.	D. R. Allucison
			CARL THE SECOND STATE OF SECOND SECON	0. 1000		

457

Government's Exhibit 26

SUN RAY DRUG Co.

General offices: 49th and Lancaster Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa. 150 stores throughout the East

MARCH 21, 1955.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington 25, D. C.

Att: Stanley N. Barnes, #60-21-90.

DEAR SIR: As per your request of March 8, 1955, I am enclosing

a list of stores either owned or franchised by us.

In addition, you will find an analysis of purchases from Mc-Kesson & Robbins for the period from January 1, 1954 to December 31, 1954. On the analysis you will see a store #950. This is the number designated to our warehouse. It would be impossible for us to ascertain as to the stores that requested Mc-Kesson merchandise from the warehouse account.

Very truly yours,

Sun Ray Drug Co., Andrew Cohn, Andrew Cohn.

AC/rs. Encs.

	9	
MARYLAND: 588 301 S. Broadway, Baltimore Orleans.	0007	(PRNI)
388 3018. Droadway, Baltimore Orieans.	2087	(FTN)
W. VIRGINIA:		
502 118 N. Queen St., Martinsburg	5461	
SUN RAY DRUG CO. OF KLORIDA:	0.01000	901
91 925 E. Stn Ave., Hialeah, Florida 92 301 Andrews Ave., Fort Lauderdale,	6241	391 392
Florida.	0541	394
93 South Federal Highway, Dania,	4307	393
Florida.		
94 1928 Hollywood Bivd., Hollywood,	2-3225	394
Florida. 96 199 Westgate Shopping Center, 199	•	200
96 199 Westgate Shopping Center, 199 W. Dixie Highway, N. Fort		. 396
Lauderdale, Florida.	. /	-
98 2401 Ponce De Leon, Coral Gables,	4-7629	398
Florida.		
99. 7918 N. E. 2nd Ave. (Little River	7-6481	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Store), Miani, Florida, Photo Laboratory:		
54th & Westminster Ave. Phila. (31)	GR 6-0640	
STONE DISPLAY MATERIALS DEPLAY DEPT .:	G10 0010	
4889 Merion Ave., Phila. (31)	TR 7-1300	
After 4:30 p. m	TR 7=1302	4.
WAREHOUSE:	mm w .000	0
4889 Merion Ave., Phila. (31)	TR 7-1300	
CARPENTER SHOP: 421 N. 54th St., Phila. (39)	GR 4-1515	
DRUG LABORATORY:		
4889 Merion Ave., Phila. (31)	GR 3-6661	111
COMMISSARY:		100
4801 Merion Ave., Phila. (31)	TR 7-9116	and the
WPEN:	GR 3-2651	
2212 Walnut St., Phila. (3)	LO 4-6000	
SIGN DEPT.:		The second
431 N. 54th St., Phila: (39)	GR 6-1324:	
CHECK CASHING & MONEY ORDER DEPT.		
(NATIONAL EXPRESS COMPANY):	LO 8-0144	
1520 Market St., Phila. (2) After 6:00 p. m.	EV 6-8120	
After 0.00 p. ta.	131 0-0120	
SUN RAY ADDRESS	LIST	
460 49th & Lancaster, Phila. 31, Pa.	Revised Febru	1056
Greenwood 7-4100		1017, 1000
		Ftn.
PHILADELPHIA:		No.
1 1520 Market St. (2)	LO 7-9495	592
2 932 Market St. (7)	WA 2-9362	602
3 2029 S. Broad St. (18)	FU 9-9231	603
4 5600 N. 5th St. (20)	HA 4-9970	604
6 1411 Pt. Breeze Ave. (46)		
7 5948-50 Market St. (39)		607
8 1902 Baltimore Ave. (43) 10 208 Lancaster Ave. (4) 12 3156 Kensington Ave. (34)	EV 6-6710	610
12 3156 Kensington Ave. (34)	NE 4-8509	612
14 Hellerman & Casto Ave. (35)	JE 5-9976	
15 7618 City Line Ave. (31)	TP 7-5237	615
16 Roosevelt Blvd. & Busi et n Ave.	***	
(24) 17 6317 Woodland hua (2)	JE 5-9654	616
TA DATA WOODING THE TAIL	75 A Man 444 1 7	

SUN RAY ADDRESS LIST-Continued

PHILADEL	PHIA—Continued .		
22	7248 Frankford Ave. (35)	MA 4-9965	
23	5230 Frankford Ave. (24)	JE 5-9729	
24	3636 Germantown Ave. (40)	SA 2-5334	
2 1 4 5	3636 Germantown Ave. #64 Toy		
	Basement	SA 2-5334	
B		SA 2-9801*	624
26	5615 Germantown Ave. (44)	TE 9-1600	626
32	12th & Market St. (7)	WA 2-5856	
. 33	2314 N. Front St. (38)	NE 4-8962	
. 34	N. E. Cor. 16th & Chestnut St. (3)	1.0 7-3624	
		LO 7-9133* WA 2-9166	634
38	1006 Chestnut St. (7)	WA 2-9166	638
39	TOTAL TRANSPORT OF THE PARTY OF	JE 5-9255 GR 2-4932	639
40	5212 Market St. (39) Germantown & Lehigh Ave. (33)	GR 2-4932	
44	Germantown & Lehigh Ave. (33)	RA 5-3813	644
47	6166 Ridge Ave. (28)	IV 2-9848	
49	6423 Rising Sun Ave. (11)	PI 5-9853	
97	2135 W. Diamond St. (21)	FR 7-5992	855 1115 15
503	612 S. 60th St. (43) 2932 N. 5th St. (33)	GR 2-9734 SA 2-8243	
504	2932 N: 5th St. (33)	SA 2-8243	0
505	419 E. Wyoming Ave. (20)	MI 4-1844	
507	812 N. 2nd St. (23)	MA 7-9919	
508	6114 Lansdowne Ave. (31)	MA 7-9919 TR 7-6369	19
511	2059 E. Chelten Ave. (38)	NA 4-9581 SA 7-5941	
512	5520 Chester Ave. (43)	SA 7-5941	(FTN)
514	7257 Rising Sun Ave. (11)	FI 2-2393	
515	3229 N. Front St. (40) 3548 N. 17th St. (40)	NE 4-9400	
516	205 F Chalten App (20)	SA 2-9669	
518	805 E. Chelten Ave. (38)	VI 4-1050 GR 3-9833	(ETAIL)
522	54th & Berks St. (31)	GR 3-9833	(FTN)
322	Presidential Apts.—Adams House, City Line Ave. & Monument		
4	Rd. (31)	GR 7-8626	
523	19th & Columbia Ave	PO 5-9562	
525	4228 Germantown Ave. (40)	DA 4-9667	
527	13th & South Ste	KI 5-6782	570
528	8th & Girard Ave. (23)	LO 3-4855	(FTN)
529	7139-41 Germantown Ave. (19)	СН 7-9946	(FTN)
530	2510 W. Lehigh Ave. (32)	QA 9_040Q	- 40 19-
531	2901 Spring Garden St	RI 6-3413	591
532	8 S. 52d St. (39) 6808 Torresdale Ave. (35)	GR 2-9958	
534	6808 Torresdale Ave. (35)	MA 4-9976	
535	1213 N. 52d St. (31) 52d & Walnut St. (39)	TR 7-9057	
539	52d & Walnut St. (39)	GR 4-4915	(FTN)
542	2723 W. Girard Ave. (30)	PO 5-9589	
543	4000 Chestnut St. (4)	EV 2-5075	
551	429 South St. (47)	MA 7-9519	
553	2212 Walnut St. (3)	LO 7-9225	553
554	4500 Frankford Ave. (24)	JE 5-9592	(FTN)
560	5606 N. Broad St. (41)	NA 4-1401	-
565		SA 9-9003	- A 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2
	VANIA (NOT INCLUDING PHILA-		. 0
DELPHI		0000	
101	Lancaster & Wayne Ave., Wayne.	9672	701
105	52 E. Main St., Norristown	5-8922	595
106	West Chester Pike & Eagle Rd	Hilltop 6-2795	706
107	Manoa, Pa	Hilltop 6-9650	***
107	State & Olive St., Media	6-9067	597
110	339 Northampt n St., Easton	2-9423 Flanders 2-6126	710
The state of the s		Flanders 2-6126	711
*Rapen R	00m		4.

SUN RAY ADDRESS LIST-Continued

ENNSYL	VANIA, ETC.—Continued		
112	213 High St., Pottstown 536 Market St., Chester	5967	712
114	536 Market St. Chester	3-4092	
115	758 Penn St., Reading	3-7931	1
118	209 W. Main St., Lansdale	9902	
119	213-15 Wyoming Ave., Scranton	Diamond 6-0134_	583
120	50 S. Main St., Wilkes-Barre	Valley 3-9381	720
121	215 Main St., Coatesville		721
123	48 W. Broad St., Hazleton	9865. 2146.	723
125	Bldg. #2, Levittown, Pa	Windsor 6-8080	725
126	522 Penn St., Reading	3-6570	4 100
127	3 N. Center St., Pottsville	1832	727
128	627 Hamilton St., Allentown	Hemlock 3-9277_	
130	880 Main St., Darby	Farragut 9-9802.	/
131	. 607 Edgemont Aye., Chester	3-8812	731
134	777 Cumberland St., Lebanon	2-1641	
	133 N. York Rd., Willow Grove	WG 9145	742
142		3-9326	743
	11th Ave. & 14th St., Altoona	7-9135	744
144	500 Main St., Johnstown 714 Hamilton St., Allentown	Hemlock 3-9028	750
150	O.W. Tanasta Dh. Andmore	Midway 2-7560	754
154	2 W. Lancaster Pk., Ardmore	4302	102
501	32 W. Main St., Waynesboro	Madison 02629	The second
506	708 Church Lane, Yeadow	1665	(FTN)
509	33 E. Butler St., Ambler	Coontz 6147	(FTN)
519	315 York Road, Jenkintown		(T. T.i.)
526	832 Lancaster Ave., Bryn Mawr	Lawrence 5-3592.	A.
533		2.1100	
	West 9th St., Chester	3-1168	A 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
537	19 E. 3rd St., Bethlehem	University 6-9612	
538	54th & City Line, Bala-Cynwyd.	OR 3-2374	
541	661 Long Lane, Stonehurst	Madison 3-9676	
546	Downingtown Farmers Market,	6	
	Downingtown	0004	
548	244 Bridge St., Phoenixville:	2204	9.
549	17 W. Broad St., Tamaqua	9748	(ETAI)
555	114 Fayette St., Conshohocken	6-9233	(FTN)
- 558	36 S. Main St., Chambersburg	1200	*
559	N. Penna. & East Maple Ave.,	14	700
1 10	Morrisaille Shopping Center	. Morrisville 9832	729
562	26 W. Independence St., Shamokin.	8-8641	

Government's Exhibit 27

COHEN DRUG COMPANY, INCORPORATED

OFFICES AND WAREHOUSE, 801 RUPPNER AVENUE

Post Office Box 2549, Charleston 29, West Virginia

APRIL 5, 1955.

The ATTORNEY GENERAL,

United States Department of Justice, Washington 25, D. C.

DEAR SIR: Refer to your SNB: WR 60-21-90 and your letter of March 31. You will find listed below the addresses of our sixteen retail drug stores.

All of our retail stores handle products manufactured by Mc-Kesson and Robbins, Inc. As a matter of policy, our stores do not purchase McKesson manufactured products from local independent wholesalers or wholesale drug divisions of McKesson and Robbins, Inc. There may be a few isolated incidents where stores have been out of stock on some numbers of McKesson and Robbins merchandise and ordered small quantities from wholesalers. In any event, the amount of merchandise so purchased would be negligible.

Very truly yours,

COHEN DRUG COMPANY, INC. Saul Cohen, Saul Cohen.

SC: do.

160 Summers St., Charleston, W. Va.; 1599 Washington St., East, Charleston, W. Va.; 201 Stratton St., Logan, W. Va.; 4104 McCorkle Ave. S. E., Charleston, W. Va.; 222 Washington St. West, Charleston, W. Va.; Midland Trail, Belle, W. Va.; 318 Twelfth St., Dunbar, W. Va.; 105 Third Ave., Williamson, W. Va.; 200 Seventh Ave., South Charleston, W. Va.; 322 Neville St., Beckley, W. Va.; 412 Federal St., Bluefield, W. Va.; Main St., Madison, W. Va.; Man, W. Va.; 1606 Second Ave., Charleston, W. Va.; Nitro, W. Va.; Gateway Shopping Center, St. Alban's, W. Va.

462

Government's Exhibit 28

GRAY DRUG STORES, INC.

APRIL 81, 1955.

United States Department of Justice, Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: The Attorney General SNB: WR 60-21-90. Gentlemen: With reference to your letter of March 31, 1955, you will find enclosed a list of our various retail drug store

locations.

All of our purchases of McKesson & Robbins products from the manufacturing company are ordered by our general buying office for delivery to our one warehouse. Both the buying department and warehouse are located here in Cleveland. The stores then order these products from our warehouse. Stores have the right to buy from their local wholesaler, which could include a McKesson & Robbins wholesale division. If they need merchandise not available from our central warehouse they could, of course, buy a McKesson & Robbins product in this manner. However, such purchases would be insignificant, if at all.

Yours very truly,

GRAY DRUG STORES, INC., C. W. Evans, C. W. Evans, Executive Vice President.

CWE: RH. Enclosure.

240 UNITED STATES VS. McKESSON AND ROBBINS, INC.

463 Gray Drug Stores, Inc., 2400 Superior Avenue, Cleveland 14, Ohio

January 10, 1955

STORE LIST

Main office and warehouse_	2400 Superior Avenue, Cleveland 14, Ohio.
Sign-display and mainte- nance depts.	1534 E. 25th Street, Cleveland 14, Ohio.
SF 1	1958 West 25th Street, Cleveland 13, Ohio.
	CH. 1-9697-9676-9284
	CH. 1-9697-9676-9284 18235 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland 12, Ohio. IV. 1-9640-9652
CIR 0	99904 Lakashara Divid Fuelld 99 Ohla
SF 3	22824 Lakeshore Blvd., Euclid 23, Ohio. RE. 1-9757-9778-9784-9787
SF 4	3360 Westgate, 21000 Center Ridge Rd., Fair-
	view Park 26, Ohio.
	ED 1-9764-9747-9735
SF 5	10412 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland 6, Ohio.
	CE. 1-9490-9799-9444-9441-9486
	CE. 1-9300-9302-9346-9390-9563
6	14202 Kinsman Road. Cleveland 20, Ohio.
	WA. 1-9770-9869
SF 7.	13721 Lorain Avenue, Cleveland 11, Ohio.
SF 8	OR. 1-9818-9848 670 East 185th Street, Cleveland 19, Ohio.
	KE, 1-9855 IV, 1-9664
SF 10	1770 South Parsons Avenue, Columbus 7, Ohio.
	TIT 4 0008: 0100
/ 11	113 South Front Street, Fremont, Ohio.
	FE. 2-0265
SF 12	141 O'Neil Shaffield Center Lorgin Ohio
SF 13	Columbus & Market Sts., Sandusky, Ohio. 5959-6100-5981
	5959-6100-5981
SF 14	2467 Cleveland Avenue, Columbus 11, Ohio.
	(.A 0052_0858
SF*15	114 East Main Street, Columbus 15, Ohio.
	CA 4-0839-0133-0514
16	12305 Superior Avenue, Cleveland 6, Ohio.
17	Li. 1-9785-9852 40 North Main Street, Mansfield, Ohio.
464 SF 18	1417 Hayden Avenue, E. Cleveland 12, Ohio.
	Li. 1-9536-9582
8F 19	2735 West Market Street, Akron, Ohio.
SF 20	Wilson Rd & Broatl Street, Columbus, Ohio.
SF 21	882 Hamilton Road, Columbus, Ohio.
SF 22	117 South High Street, Columbus 15, Ohio.
	CA. 4-0812-0827-0109-0432-0470
SF 23	13301 Miles Avenue, Cleveland 5, Ohio.
	WA. 1-9668-9807
SF 24	81 North High Street, Columbus 15, Ohio.
۵	CA. 4-0169-0755-0397
SF 25	2379 West Broad Street, Columbus 4, Ohio.
	RA. 0140-0126
SF 26	14801 Detroit Avenue, Lakewood 7, Ohio.
	LA. 1-9811-9806-9816-9856
27	142 East Liberty Street, Wooster, Ohio.
	2-8826
28	1845 Beall Avenue, Wooster, Ohio.

STORE LIST-Continued

SF	29	3332 Warren Road, Cleveland 11, Ohio.
*	33	OR. 1-9832-9807 180 Main Street, Painesville, Ohio.
	A	EL. 4-9827-9813
		EL. 4-9827-9813 5909 Broadway Avenue, Cleveland 4, Ohio, Dl. 1-9596-9553-9848
SF	35	14954 St. Clair Avenue, Cleveland 10, Ohio. MU. 1-9671-9847-9726
SF	37	1187 Park Ayenue West, Mansfield, Ohio.
SF	39	2699 Akron-Cleveland State Rd., Cuyahoga Falls,
		Ohio. WA. 8-0047-0043-0032
CE	41	197 Grandland Blyd Columbus Ohio
S.F	7d	127 Graceland Blvd., Columbus, Ohio. LA. 0819-0918-0947
SF	42	3409 Cleveland Avenue, Columbus, Ohio.
		LA. 0944-0860-0907-0985
GE	19	LA. 0944-0860-0907-0985 4788 Turney Road, Garfield Hts 23, Ohio.
		DI 1 0059 0050
465	SF 44	4270 North High Street, Columbus 14, Ohio.
-		LA, 0805-0998 11648 Lorain Avenue, Cleveland 11, Ohio.
SE	46	11648 Lorgin Avenue Cleveland 11 Ohio
DI	70	OP 1 0620 0757
Cris	40	1400 Of Markey Assessed Delegantile Oble
		1489-91 Mentor Avenue, Painesville, Ohio.
100		EL. 4-9772-9723
SF	50	1 North High Street, Columbus 15, Ohio,
		EL. 4-9772-9723 1 North High Street, Columbus 15, Ohio, CA. 4-0662-0093
SE	51	CA. 4-0662-0093 206 South High Street, Columbus 15, Ohio. CA. 4-0249-0338-CA. 1-0084
M.	Vicini	CA A 0010 0000 CA T 0004
		UA. 4-0249-0000-UA. 1-0004
	53	1260 West Fifth Avenue, Columbus 12, Onio.
		HU. 8-0165-0102
SF	55	CA. 4-0249-0338-CA. 1-0084 1260 West Fifth Avenue, Columbus 12, Ohio. HU. 8-0165-0102 21 North Nelson Road, Columbus 3, Ohio. FA. 0164-0104
		FA. 0164-0104
- 1	58	1345 South Parsons Avenue Columbus 6 Obio
	00	HF 4.0981 0179
OT	20	FA. 0164-0104. 1345 South Parsons Avenue, Columbus 6, Ohio. Hi 4-0361-0173 524 Canton Road, Akron 12, Ohio.
Sr.	09	524 Canton Road, Akron 12, Ohio.
		ST. 4-0034-0137
SF	60:	102 South Main Street, Akron 8, Ohio.
		JE, 5-0623-0624-0585
SF	62	60 South Parsons Avenue, Columbus 15, Ohio.
	-	CA. 4-0969-CA. 1-0040
CITA	00	695 West Market Street Almen 2 Ohio
SF	00	825 West Market Street, Akron 3, Ohio.
-		JE. 5-0613-0626
SF	64	5474 Broadway Avenue, Cleveland 4, Ohio.
		VU. 3-9821-9879-9899
SF	65	JE. 5-0613-0626 5474 Brondway Avenue, Cleveland 4, Ohio. VU. 3-9821-9879-9899 189 Wooster Rd. N., Barberton, Ohio.
		SH. 5-0486-0487-0253
- er	70	12000 Codes Bond University Lite 18 Ohio
O.F	10	13922 Cedar Road, University Hts 18, Ohio. FA. 1-9758-9635
		FA. 1-9(08-9030
SF		15700 Broadway Avenue, Maple Hts, Ohio.
		MO. 2-9776-9777-9868
SF	72	3708 East Broad Street, Columbus 13, Ohio.
		DO. 0147-0359
SF	73	3834 East Broad Street, Columbus 13, Ohio.
		DO. 0253-0112-0162
CETTO	74	
SF.	74	645 Harrisburg Pike, Columbus 8, Ohio.
		RA. 0154-0246-0257
SF	78	1700 West Market Street, Akron 13, Ohio.
		UN. 4-0086-0082-0085
466	SF 80	601 Euclid Ave., Cleveland 14, Ohio.
	1. 0	PR. 1-9161-9154-9295-9437
Q TO	82	
OF	00	90 North Main Street, Mansfield, Ohio.
		7063_6 7064_6

STORE LIST-Continued

114 E. Main Street, Columbus 15, Ohio. CA. 4-6519
102 South Main Street, Akron 11, Ohio. BL, 3-9718
2400 Superior Avenue, Cleveland.
72-80 East Stroop Road, Dayton 9, Ohlo.
Walnut 0207-0202-0203 2163 Miracle Lane, Dayton 6, Ohio.
RA. 0289-0210 4221 North Main Street, Dayton 5, Ohio.
RA. 0385-0320-0119-0244
2400 Superior Avenue, Cleveland.
Fifth and Market Streets, Steubenville, Ohio. AT. 2-0336
3313 Mahoning Avenue, Youngstown 9, Ohio. SW. 9-0006-0942
213 Market Avenue, North, Canton 3, Ohio.
4-0426-0049-0310 2939 Mahoning Avenue N. E., Canton, Ohio.
229 East Tuscarawas Street, Canton 2, Ohio.
4-0616
771 North Garland Avenue, Youngstown, Ohio. Ri. 6-9295-9688
100 East Federal Street, Youngstown, Ohio. Ri. 6-9208
Ri. 6-9208 338 East Main Street, Alliance, Ohio. 1-7771-7818
226 Federal Avenue, Massilion, Ohio. 2-0335-0336
24 Lincoln Way West, Massillon, Ohio.
2-0102-0179-0183 Fourth and Market Streets, Steubenville, Ohio.
20360-20271 4309 Tuscarawas St. West, Canton 8, Ohio.
7-0953-0938- 100 North Park Avenue, Warren 3, Ohio.
4-7157-7158-7106 2069 Elm Road, Warren, Ohio.
4_7109
2264 Broad Street, Erie 5, Pennsylvania.
4-9689-9213 90-92 Main Street, Bradford, Pennsylvania.
9872 3-5 Main Street, Bradford, Pennsylvania.
9859-9800 3010 Cromer Avenue N. W., Canton 9, Ohio.
4-0037-0036-0035 1807 Belmont Avenue, Youngstown 4, Ohio.
Ri. 6-9371-9712 2640 Market Street, Youngstown 7, Ohio.
ST. 8-0042-0065-0035
285 Boardman-Canfield Rd., Youngstown 12, Ohio.
SK. 8-9004-9091-9094 466 Youngstown-Poland Rd., Struthers, Ohio.
PL. 5-0907-0987 2400 Superior Avenue, Cleveland 14, Ohio.
Union Commerce Building, 925 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland 14, Ohio.

STORE LIST-Continued

SF 248 1467 Chester Avenue, Cleveland 14, Ohio. PR. 1-4166-CH. 1-9053-9118 Superior Laboratories ... 2400 Superior Avenue, Cleveland 14, Ohio. Inc. Superior Laboratories ____ 1707 Crawford Road, Cleveland 6, Obio. Inc. RA. 1-1152

Photographic Department. 114 East Main Street, Columbus 15, Ohio. CA. 1-4714

Do not address store mail to managers personally. Mail for store m' should be addressed as follows: Gray Drug Stores, Inc. #5 10412 E' Avenue, Cleveland 6, Ohio Attention: Manager.

468

Government's Exhibit 29

Detroit, Toledo, Cleveland, Pittsburgh, Cincinnati

APRIL 29, 1955.

United States Department of Justice, Washington 25, D. C.

> Attention: Mr. Stanley N. Barnes, Assistant Attorney General.

Re: Initials and Number SNB: WR-60-21-90, United States vs. McKesson & Robbins, Inc., Civil No. 76-50

DEAR SIR: Enclosed herewith please find a copy of our retail store list. All of our stores on this list including Shapero's and Schettler's, handle McKesson & Robbins products.

It is our policy to purchase McKesson & Robbins products directly from the Manufacturing Division for our warehouse and in addition, we make purchases from wholesale drug distributors of McKesson & Robbins for our warehouse. Our stores located in cities other than Detroit also make purchases of McKesson & Robbins products from the local wholesale jobbers in their respective areas.

I trust this is the information required by you.

Very truly yours,

CUNNINGHAM DRUG STORES, INCORPORATED, By Stewart G. Smith, STEWART G. SMITH, Legal Department.

SGS/hh. encl. 460

FEBRUARY 25, 1955.

Store Addresses and Phone Numbers

CUNNINGRAM DRUG STORES

e	Address	City	Phone Numbe
5	3601 Woodward Avenue cor. Davenport	Detroit 26	TE 2-8363, 2-9819
	9101 Twelfth Street cor. Clairmount	Detroit 6	TY 4-9879, 4-879
	8065 Woodward Avenue cor Seward	Petroit 2	TR 2-9379, 2-904
1	15200 Livernois Avenue cor Fenkell	I etroit 21	TIN 2-0835 1-086
1	6500 Chene Avenue cor Milwankee	Letroit 11	TR 2-0334 2-884
	5600 West Fort Street cor. Junction	Detroit 9. Detroit 13. Detroit 14.	VI 2-9519, 2-9517 WA 2-9058, 4-97
	7772 Harper Avenue cor. Van Dyke	Detroit 13	WA 2-9058, 4-97
	9246 Mack Avenue cor. McClellan	Detroit 14	WA 2-9063, 3-963
		- APAR BARRIER BERKERS	WILLIAM COL 9-18942
	22765 Woodward Avenue cor. Nine Mile.	Verndale	Lincoln 4-9806.
1	4568 Trumbuli Avenue cor. Forest	Detroit 8	TE 2-8879, 2-888 TR 2-8810, 2-881
1	5700 Woodward Avenue cor. Palmer 9100 Woodward Avenue cor. Owen	Detroit 2	TR 2-9501, 2-981
3	11646 Dexter Avenue cor. Webb	Detroit 6	TO 6-9601, 7-923
1	11646 Dexter Avenue cor. Webb	Detroit 4	WE 5-9511 5-93
	4346 West Fort Street cor. Clark	Detroit 9	TA 5-9786, 5-933
	14277 Gratiot Avenue cor. Seven Mile	Detroit 5	LA 1-9637, 1-968
	8336 West Jefferson cor. Dearborn	Detroit 47	LA 1-9537, 1-968 VI 2-9732, 2-9228
	7300 Grand River cor. Grand Blvd	Detroit 4	TY 4-9859, 4-984
1	6568 Woodward Avenue cor, Grand Blvd.	Detroit 2	TR 2-8894, 2-957
	3401 Woodward Avenue cor. Peterboro	Detroit 1	. TEmple 2-8958.
	29 North Saginaw	Pontiae	F Ederal 3-9537.
	·3797 Gratiot Avenue cor. Mt. Elliott	Detroit 7	WA 2-9318, 2-96
	13305 Woodward Avenue cor. Waverly	Highland Park	
	1065 Woodward Avenue. 80 West Adams Avenue cor. Park	Detroit 26	WO 4-8878, 4-96
	550 Woodward Avenue cor. Congress	Detroit 26	WO'4-8712, 4-74 WO 4-7245, 4-72
	9128 Grand River cor. Joy Road	Dotroit 4	TV 4_0720 4_000
	1124 Griswold Street	Detroit 96	WOodward 4-77
	14201 East Jefferson cor. Newport	Detroit 26 Detroit 15	ED 1-9517, 1-955
	323 South Main Street cor. Fourth	Royal Oak	OLIncoln 1-9432.
	5800 West Fort Street cor Campbell	Detroit 9	
1	5517 Michigan Avenue cor. Junction	Detroit 10	TA 5-9671, 5-947
1	9666 Gratiot Avenue cor. Penna	Detroit 13	I WA: 2-9827, 2-889
1	7931 West Vernor cor. Springwells.	Detroit 9	VI 2-9864, 2-9857
1	16548 Woodward Avenue cor, Six Mile	Highland Park	_ TO 7-9426, 6-973
1	19102 Woodward Avenue cor Seven Mile	Detroit 3	TO 6-9755, 6-953
	22001 West Michigan cor. Monroe	Dearborn	LO 1-9659, 1-984
1	8001 Gration Avenue cor. Van Dyke	Detroit 13	WA 2-9092, 1-197
	13821 Woodward Avenue cor. Pasadena	Detroit 13 Highland Park Lincoln Park	TO 6-9497, 6-963
1	1758 South Fort-Street cor. Southfield	Lincoln Park	DU 1-9860, 1-983
1	11262 Mack Avenue cor. St. Jean	Detroit 14	ED 1-9782, 1-988
1	7100 West Warren cor. Burnette 10340 Joseph Campau cor. Caniff	Detroit 10	TY 4-9239, 4-4/25 TR 2-9135, 1-981
	14500 Grand River cpr. Strathmoor	Detroit 27	
1	27 North Gratiot	Mt. Clemens	HOward 8-9300,
1	221 East Genessee	Saginaw	SAginaw 5-2041:
	10920 Grand River cor. Oakman	Detroit 4	
	10920 Grand River cor. Oakman	Highland Park	YPsilanti 9271.
	120 Michigan Avenue	Ypsilanti	Y Psilanti 9271.
1	226 South Main Street	Ann Arbor	NOrmandy 8-95
1	3208 Biddle Avenue	Wyandotte	. A Venue 2-9450.
	16450 East Warren cor. Outer Drive	Detroit 24	TU 5-9613, 5-973
1	29-33 South Monroe	Monroe	. CHerry 1-9793.
1	235 Huron Street	Pt. Huron	YUkon 5-9315,
1	17800 Grand River cor. Southfield.	Detroit 21	VE 5-0529, 5-986
	21749 Orand River cor. Lasher	Detroit 19 Detroit 21	K.E. 1-9800, 1-984
	17101 Liverpois Avenue cor. McNichols 820 Washington Street	Bay City	Bay City 9223.
1	13600 Fenkelt Avenue cor. Schaefer	Detroit 37	VE 7-9858, 7-981
1	302 S Washington	Royal Oak	Lincoln 1-4567;
1	308 S. Washington. 10000 Fenkell Avenue cor. Wyoming	Detroit 31	
1	122 8. Washington	Lansing	
1	122 S. Washington	Detroit 15.	ED 1-9125, 1-912
-	1401 Washington Blvd	Detroit 16	W Oodward 4-736
-	7654 Michigan Avenue cor. Central	Detroit 10	VI 2-9909, 2-9731
1	10507 West Jefferson cor, Henry	River Rouge	VI 2-9659, 2-9757
1	13000 Michigan Avenue cor. Schoefer	Dearborn	LU 1-9794, 1-973
	7737 East Seven Mile cor. Van Dyke	Detroit-13. Highland Park	TW 1-9723, 1-972
1	14563 Woodward Avenue cor. Sears	Highland Park	TO 6-9604, 7-934;
	101 West Main Street	Owosso Midland	OW osso 426, 9943

Store Addresses and Phone Numbers-Continued

CUNNINGHAM DRUG STORES-Continued

	Address	City	Phone Numbers
	190 145 West Michigan	Jackson	Jackson 9514.
-	130 145, West Michigan 131 15201 Houston Avenue cor. Hayes	Jackson Detroit 5. Adjian Detroit 21 Detroit 21. Detroit 9. East Detroit.	I.A 1-9846 1-0869
32	101 West Manme	Adrian	CO 5-7599
33	101 West Maume 6313 West Seven Mile cor, Livernois	Detroit 21	UN 2-9552 2-9631
34	15500 Grand River cor Greenfield	Detroit 21	VE 5-9574 5-9571 .
35	5602 West Wernor cor. Junction	Detroit 9	TA 5-9457 5-9458
36	22661 Gratiot Avenue cor, Nine Mile	East Detroit	PRescott 5-9289
37	14201 Harper Avenue cor. New port	Detroit 13.	LA 1-9453, 1-9456.
38	16641 Kercheval Avenue cor Notre Dame	Detroit 13. Grosse Pointe	LA 1-9453, 1-9456, TU 5-9698, 5-9622.
41	2867 East Seven Mile cor. Conant	Detroit 3	T Winbrook 2-9707.
42	1719 Stainford	Willow Run	Y Psilanti 9352.
43	2379 Venov Road	Wayne	PArkway 1-9547
45	17730 Joy Road cor. Southfield	Detroit-10	VE 5-9780, 5-9703,
46	10026 West Eight Mile	Ferndale	Lincoln 1-9245.
47	9300 Joseph Campau cor. Holbrook 2137 Woodward Avenue cor. Columbia	Hamtramack	TR 3-9734, 3-9732.
48	2137 Woodward Avenue cor. Columbia	Detroit 1:	WO 4-7855, 4-1589. UN 1-9731, 4-9688.
49	16914 Schaufer Road cor. McNichols	Detroit 27	UN 1-9731, 4-9688.
51	13-15 West Michigan Ave. 8949 Joy Road cor. Wyoming	Battle Creek	W Oodward 5-9191.
52	8949 Joy Road cor. Wyoming	Battle Creek	WE 5-9399, 5-9284.
53	35 East Grand River 6500 Allen Road cor. Southfield	Detroit 26	W-O 4-9291, 4-9453.
54	6500 Allen Road cor, Southfield	Allen Park	WAInut 8-9766.
57	3144 West Twelve Mile	Berklev	LIncoln 1-9203.
59	14400 South Fort Street cor. Philips	Wyandotte	A Veruse 2-9336.
63	20101 Plymouth Road cor Vaughan	Detroit 28	VErmont 8-9730.
64	2580 West Grand Blvd. cor. Twelfth 20000 West Seven Mile cor. Evergreen	Detroit 8	TRinity 2-9324.
65	20000 West Seven Mile cor. Evergreen	Detroit 19	KE 3-9783, 3-9781.
66	13100 East Jefferson cor. Lenox	Detroit 15	ED 1-9421, 1-9519.
67	101 South Mitchell	Cadillac	PRospect 5-9036.
69	35082 Michigan Avenue	Wayne	PArkway 1-9509
70	161 East Front Street	Traverse City	TRaverse 3464.
71	23401 Greater Mack	Traverse City	P Rescott 5-9500
72	23401 Greater Mack 918 North Woodward	Royal Oak	OLInco'n 1-9767
74	Bldg F7 James Couzens, Eight Mile Road	Detroit 35	ELgin 6-9839.
75	33251 Plymouth Road cor. Farmington 3 Telegraph Rd. S. cor. Huron St	Detroit 35Livonia	LIvonia 9163.
76	3 Telegraph Rd. S. cor. Huron St.		
77	26390 Eastgate Blvd	Roseville	PRescott 5-9682
78	400 Frandor Avenue	Lansing	LAnsing 9-8998.
-	26390 Eastgate Blvd 400 Frandor Avenue 109 total Cunningham stores.		Owner Land
-	And the second of the second o	22.20	
- 1	SHAPERO ST		
4			TRinity 2-9566
4	General Motors Building		TRinity 2-9566. WO 4-8261, 1-800
4 17		Detroit 2	TRinity 2-9566. WO 4-8261, 1-800 Ext. 50
20	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel	Detroit 2 Detroit 26	MA 0827, 0531.
24	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson	Detroit 2	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8661.
24 32	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson	Detroit 2	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WOodward 4-7773.
24 32 39	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson	Detroit 2	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WOodward 4-7773.
24 32 39 42	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson	Detroit 2	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WO 00dward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321.
24 32 39 42 43	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8861. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. A Dams 0526, 0527
24 32 39 42 43 63	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8861. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. A Dams 0526, 0527
24 32 39 42 43 63	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherland Plans Hotel	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cipropriati Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherland Plans Hotel	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cipropriati Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WO 0dward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherland Plans Hotel	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cipropriati Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WO 0dward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherland Plans Hotel	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cipropriati Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WO 0dward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26 55 62	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherland Plans Hotel	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cipropriati Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WO 0dward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Pittsburgh, Pa Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Detroit 26 Cincinnati, Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8861. WO 00dward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26 55 62	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherlands Plaza Hotel, Fifth & Race Statler Hotel Terrace Plaza Hotel, Sixth & Vine Dixie Terminal Bldg., 49 E. 4th at Maine Statler Centre Drug, 906 W. Seventh	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Pittsburgh, Pa Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Detroit 26 Cincinnati, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8861. WO 00dward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26 55 62 73	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherlands Plaza Hotel, Fifth & Race Statler Hotel Terrace Plaza Hotel, Sixth & Vine Dixie Terminal Bldg, 49 E. 4th at Maine Statler Centre Drug, 906 W Seventh 14 total Shapern stores.	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Pittsburgh, Pa Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Detroit 26 Cincinnati, Ohio Los Angeles, Calif.	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. A Dams 0826, 0527. PROSpect 1-9100. PArkway 9074. W Oodward 4-9147. PArkway 8458. CHerry 8487. MA 9-9291.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26 55 62 73	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherlands Plaza Hotel, Fifth & Race Statler Hotel Terrace Plaza Hotel, Sixth & Vine Dixie Terminal Bldg., 49 E. 4th at Maine Statler Centre Drug, 905 W. Seventh 14 total Shapero stores. SCHETTLER DRUG	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Pittsburgh, Pa Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Detroit 26 Cincinnati, Ohio Los Angeles, Calif.	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100. PArkway 9074. WOodward 4-9147. PArkway 8458. CHerry 8487. MA 9-9291.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26 55 62 73	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherlands Plaza Hotel, Fifth & Race Statler Hotel Terrace Plaza Hotel, Sixth & Vine Dixie Terminal Bldg., 49 E. 4th at Maine Statler Centre Drug, 905 W. Seventh 14 total Shapero stores. SCHETTLER DRUG	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Pittsburgh, Pa Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Los Angeles, Calif.	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100. PArkway 9074. WOodward 4-9147. PArkway 8458. CHerry 8487. MA 9-9291.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26 55 62 73	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherlands Plaza Hotel, Fifth & Race Statler Hotel Terrace Plaza Hotel, Sixth & Vine Dixie Terminal Bldg., 49 E. 4th at Maine Statler Centre Drug, 905 W. Seventh 14 total Shapero stores. SCHETTLER DRUG	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Pittsburgh, Pa Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Detroit 26 Cincinnati, Ohio Los Angeles, Calif.	MA 0827, 0531. WO 4-8901, 4-8961. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. A Dams 0826, 0527. P Rospect 1-9100. PArkway 9074. W Oodward 4-9147. PArkway 8458. CHerry 8487. MA 9-9291.
24 32 39 42 43 63 63 67 71 26 55 62 73	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherlands Plaza Hotel, Fifth & Race Statler Hotel Terrace Plaza Hotel, Sixth & Vine Dixie Terminal Bldg., 49 E. 4th at Maine Statler Centre Drug, 906 W. Seventh 14 total Shapern stores. SCHETTLER DRUG 1857 Woodward Ave., David Whitney Bldg. 337 Fisher Road 13546 West McNithols 3361 West Granef Blyd., Fisher Bldg.	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Civeland, Ohio Civeland, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Detroit 26 Cincinnati, Ohio Los Angeles, Calif. Stores Detroit 26 Grosse Pointe Detroit 21 Detroit 21 Detroit 2	MA 0827, 0531 WO 4-8901, 4-8661. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112, COurt 1-8321. ADams 0526, 0527. PRospect 1-9100. PArkway 9074. WOodward 4-9147. PArkway 8458, CHerry 8487. MA 9-9291. WO 2-0540, 2-0541. TU 5-3453, 5-3454. UN 1-9697, 2-9692. TR 5-5384, 5-5385.
24 32 39 42 43 63 67 71 26 55 62 73	General Motors Building Sherston Cadillac Hotel Commodore Perry Hotel, 501 Jefferson Michigan Theater Building National Bank Building Penobscot Building William Penn Hotel, Oliver & Grant Ohio Savings Bank Building, 401 Madison Cleveland Hotel Netherlands Plaza Hotel, Fifth & Race Statler Hotel Terrace Plaza Hotel, Sixth & Vine Dixie Terminal Bldg., 49 E. 4th at Maine Statler Centre Drug, 905 W. Seventh 14 total Shapero stores. SCHETTLER DRUG	Detroit 2 Detroit 26 Toledo, Ohio Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Detroit 26 Pittsburgh, Pa Toledo, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio Detroit 26 Cincinnati, Ohio Los Angeles, Calif.	MA 0827, 0531 WO 4-8901, 4-8561. WOodward 4-7773, WO 4-7383, 4-7112. COurt 1-8321. ADams 0528, 0527. PRospect 1-9100. PArkway 9074. WOodward 4-9147. PArkway 8458. CHerry 8487. MA 9-9291. WO 2-0540, 2-0541. TU 5-3453, 5-3454. UN 1-0607, 2-9692.

Government Exhibit 30

LEGAL DEPARTMENT

REXALL DRUG COMPANY

R. F. Hallett, Vice President and General Counsel

Los Angeles 48, California, April 27, 1955.

STANLEY N. BARNES.

Assistant Attorney General.

United States Department of Justice, Washington 25, D.C.

Re: United States v. McKesson & Robbins, Inc., Civil No. 76-50, Your File: SNB: WR 60-21-90

DEAR Sin: I am furnishing the following information in accordance with your recent request in connection with the above matter.

Rexall Drug Company does not itself own or operate any retail drug stores. Its wholly-owned subsidiary, Liggett Drug Company, Inc., owns and operates 132 stores located in the eastern part of the United States, the locations of which are set forth on the attached list on pages 1, 2 and 3. The Owl Drug Co., another wholly-owned subsidiary of Rexall Drug Company, owns and operates 54 stores on the west coast, mainly in California, the locations of which are set forth on the attached list on pages 4 and 5.

To the best of our knowledge all of the stores of Liggett Drug Company, Inc. and The Owl Drug Co. handle some products manufactured by McKesson & Robbins, Inc. The purchases on behalf of the Liggett stores of McKesson & Robbins, Inc. manufactured products are generally made directly from the manufacturing division of that company; although, to a limited degree some of such products may be purchased from the wholesale drug division of McKesson & Robbins, Inc., or in some cases possibly from local independent wholesalers.

The requirements of McKesson & Robbins, Inc. manufactured products for the stores of The Owl Drug Co. are generally made from the wholesale division of McKesson & Robbins, Inc.; although, in a limited number of instances such items might be

purchased from local independent wholesalers.

Very truly yours,

R. F. HALLETT.

RFH: mb.

Current operating stores as of April 26, 1955

•		City and State
	Address	
	165-7 Main St	Biddeford, Maine.
	16-18 Lisbon St	Lewiston, Maine.
	513 Congress St.	Portland, Maine.
	883 Elm St	Manchester, New Hampshire.
	83 Congress St.	Portsmouth, New Hampshire.
-	36 Church St.	Burlington, Vermont.
	375 Washington St	Boston, Mass.
-	437 Broadway	Boston, Mass.
	76 Of Dauleton Ct	Boston, Mass.
	76-84 Boylston St	Poston Mass.
	112-16 Tremont St	Boston, Mass.
	781 Dudley St., Uphams Cor	Boston, Mass. (Dorchester).
	106 Massachusetts Ave	Boston, Mass.
	452-54 Boylston St	Boston, Mass.
	77 Milk St	Boston, Mass.
	1442-52 Dorchester Ave	Boston, Mass. (Dorchester).
	112 Causeway St., North Station	Boston, Mass.
	South Station	Boston Mass
	2220 Washington St	Boston, Mass. (Roxbury).
	600 Washington St.	Roston Mass (Chestnut Hill)
-	683 Veterans of Foreign Wars Parkway	Boston, Mass. (Chestnut Hill).
,	243-5 Main St	Webster, Mass.
	109 Main St	Brockton, Mass.
	1411 Main St	Springfield, Mass.
	427 Main St	Worcester, Mass.
	249 High St	Holyoke, Mass.
	768 Purchase St	New Bedford, Mass.
	327-29 Broadway	Arlington Centre, Mass.
	143 Merrimac St.	Haverhill, Mass,
	92-64 Concord St	Framingham, Mass.
	82-84 Concord St	Lowell, Mass.
	407 Merrimac St.	
	497 Main St	Fitchburg, Mass.
-	1-3 Main St	Taunton, Mass.
	23 Pleasant St.	Malden, Mass.
	361 Essex St	Lawrence, Mass.
	526 Main St	Worcester, Mass.
	304 Center St	Newton, Mass.
	37-45 North St	Pittsfield, Mass.
	508-14 Main St	
	5-11 Main St	Worcester, Mass.
	427 Main St	Athol, Mass.
	1310 Massachusetts Ave	Cambridge, Mass.
		Gardner, Mass.
	4 Parker St	Unde Dark Mass
4	1277 River St	
	286 Main St	
	35 Washington St	Weymouth, Mass.
	265-73 Moody St	Waltham, Mass.
	473 45 N. Washington St	North Attleboro, Massachusetts.
	61 Main St	North Adams, Massachusetts.
1	47 8, Main St	Fall River, Massachusetts.
	10 Corinth St	Roslindale, Massachusetts.
	412-14 Main St	Hyannis, Massachusetts.
	535 Lincoln St.	Worcester, Massachusetts.
		New Haven, Connecticut.
ń	871 Chapel St.	Waterbury, Connecticut.
	1 East Main St.	Norwich Connecticut
	180 Main St.	Norwich, Connecticut.
	300 Main St.	Middleton, Connecticut.
	185 Main St	Meriden, Connecticut.
	200 Atlantic St.	Stamford, Connecticut.
	225 Weybosset St	Providence, Rhode Island.
,	1764 Broad St	Cranston, Rhode Island.
	124 Thames St	Newport, Rhode Island.

Address	City and State
76 Broad St	Red Bank, New Jersey.
363-65 High S	Burlington, New Jersey.
576 Cookman Ave. (@ Emde St)	Asbury Park, New Jersey.
98 Nassau St	Princeton, New Jersey.
470-4 Bloomfield Ave.	Montclair, New Jersey.
1033 Bloomfield Ave	Clifton, New Jersey.
1033 Bloomfield Ave. 30th St. Sta. of P. R. R. (Market &	Chron, New Jersey.
Arch Sts.)	Philadelphia Ponneylvania
6007 Market St. (6) Tombinell	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
6907 Market St. (@ Terminal)	Upper Darby, Pennsylvani
1119-23 Chestnut St 16th St. & Pennsylvania Blvd	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
10th St. & rennsylvania bivo	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
100-2 N. Tryan St. 86-88 Forsyth St., N. E.	Charlotte, North Carolina.
80-88 Forsyth St., N. E	Atlanta, Georgia.
175-79 Peachtree St 33 Broad St. S. W. (@ Alabama St.)	Atlanta, Georgia.
33 Broad St. S. W. (@ Alabama St.)	Atlanta, Georgia.
996 Peachtree St. N. E. 760 Broad St. 3081–83 Peachtree Road, N. E.	Atlanta, Georgia.
760 Broad St	Augusta, Georgia.
3081-83 Peachtree Road, N. E.	Atlanta, Georgia.
806 Gordon St., S. W.	Atlanta, Georgia,
134 Main St	East Point, Georgia.
208 Peachtree St., N. E. (Henry Grady	
Rexall)	Atlanta, Georgia.
30 Marietta St., N. W	Atlanta, Georgia.
101 Hill St.	Griffin, Georgia.
1151 Broadway	Columbus Georgia
Pine & Washington Sts	Albany Conraia
1-W Henry St	Savannah Georgia
1522 Walton Way	Augusta Georgia
477 Peachtree St. N. E.	Atlanta Georgia
1- W. Henry St 1522 Walton Way 477 Peachtree St., N. E 112 E. Ponce de Leon Ave	Decatur Georgia
2131 Fast Victory Drive	Savannah Georgia
2131 East Victory Drive_ 225 E. Main St_ 105-7 N. Collins St_	Lakeland Florida
105-7 N Colling St	Plant City Florida
400 Lincoln Road	Miami Boach Florida
262 S. Beach St	Daytone Roach Florida
3330 Central Ave	Daytona Beach, Florida.
440 62 Central Ave	St. Petersburg, Florida.
449-63 Central Ave	St. Petersburg, Florida.
1500 Main St. (Liquor Store; 1508 Main St).	Sarasota, Florida.
(Liquor Store; 1908 Main St).	. The Market Till 2.1
474. 1st & Jackson Sts	Ft. Myers, Florida.
2011 San Marco Plvd	Jacksonville, Florida.
301 W. Adams St. (G. W. Rexall)	Jacksonville, Florida.
27 N. Main St. 2665 Park St. (@ King)	Jacksonville, Florida.
2665 Park St. (@ King)	Jacksonville, Florida.
1010 Park St	Jacksonville, Florida.
1754 Main St	Jacksonville, Florida. Johnson City, Tennessee. Chattanooga, Tennessee.
253 E. Main St. (@ Roan St.)	Johnson City, Tennessee.
636 Market St.	Chattanooga, Tennessee.
527 S. Gay St	Knoxville, Tennessee.
2307 Broad St	Tuscaloosa, Alabama.
1024 S. 20th St	Birmingham, Alabama.
1921 Second Ave	Birmingham, Alabama.
1931 First Ave	Birmingham, Alabama.
5417 First Ave	Birmingham, Alabama.
3232 Ave. W. (Five Points, West)	Birmingham, Alabama.
400 Broad St	Gadsden, Alabama.
400 Broad St 11-13 Court Square	Montgomery, Alabama.
1135 Lexington Ave. (@ 79th)	New York, New York.
374 Lexington Ave. (@ 41st St.)	New York New York
208 Broadway (@ Fulton St.) 277-81 E. 42nd St. (Grand Central	Now York New York
Station)	New York, New York.
Station).	

Address	City and State
637 Madison Ave. (@ 59th)	New York, New York,
152 West 42nd St. (@ Broadway)	New York, New York.
(Kniekoskoskos Ride)	
416 Lexington Ave. (@ 43rd)	New York, New York.
399 East 149th St. (@ 3rd Ave)	New York, New York.
310 Main St	Poughkeensie, New York
404-6 Broadway	Sagutora Spring Now Yor
162 Génessee St	Uties, New York
30 Third St.	Troy New York
162 Génessee St 30 Third St 421-23 Main St	Little Rock: Arkansas
601 Texas St	Shreveport, Louisiana.
302 Third St	Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
302 Third St	
616 West Magnolia	Fort Worth, Texas. Fort Worth, Texas.
528 S. Henderson	Fort Worth, Texas.
475 121 N. 4th St. (@ Cooper)	Albuquerque, New Mexico
400 N. Capitol St. (@ Center)	Salem, Oregon.
151 Grand Ave. (@ Post)	
1601 Fillmore St. (@ Geary)	San Francisco, California,
2500 Mission St. (@ Geary)	San Francisco, California.
2598 Mission St. (@ 22nd) 9	San Francisco, Camornia.
701 Market St. (@ 3rd)	Asu Francisco, Camornia.
157 Montgomery St. (@ Bush)	San Francisco, California.
948 Market St. (@ Mason)	San Francisco, California.
1301 Broadway (@ 13th)	Oakland, California.
2200 Shattuck Ave. (@ Allston Way) 1132 Washington St. (@ 12th)	Berkeley, California?
1132 Washington St. (@ 12th)	Oakland, California.
3660 Broadway (@ MacArthur)	Oakland, California."
237 E. 18th St. (Lake Merritt)	Oakland, California.
900 "K" St. (@ 9th)	Sacramento, California.
1932 El Camino Real	Redwood City, California.
900 "K" St. (@ 9th) 1932 El Camino Real 8490 Beverly Blvd. (@ La Cienega) 601 S. Broadway (@ 6th)	Los Angeles 48, California.
601 S. Broadway (@ 6th)	Los Angeles 14, California.
101 W. 7th St. (@ Main)	Los Angeles 14, California,
101 W. 7th St. (@ Main) 202 W. 6th St. (@ Spring) 704 S. Hill St. (@ 7th)	Los Angeles 14, California.
704 S. Hill St. (@ 7th)	Los Angeles 14, California.
6296 W. Third.	Los Angeles 36. California.
5701 N. Figueroa St. (@ Ave. 57)	Los Angeles 42, California.
. 8719 S. Broadway (near Manchester)	Los Angeles 3, California.
1720 Sunset Blvd. (near Le Moyne)	Los Angeles 26, California.
1720 Sunset Blvd. (near Le Moyne) 758 S. Broadway (@ 8th) 11232 S. Western Ave. (@ Imperial)	Los Angeles 14. California
11232 S. Western Ave. (@ Imperial)	Los Angeles 47. California.
11726 E. Firestone (@ Pioneer Blvd.)	Norwalk, California.
10830 W. Pico Blvd. (@ Westwood)	
426 E. Colorado Blvd. (@ Los Robles)	Pasadena, California.
101 N. Brand Blvd. (@ Broadway)	Glendale, California.
6290 Hollywood Blvd. (@ Vine)	Los Angeles 28. California
101 N. Greenleaf (@ Philadelphia)	Whittier, California.
100 E. 2nd St. (@ Garey Ave.)	Pomona, California.
1331 Wilshire Blvd. (@ 14th)	Santa Monica, California.
300 N. Beverly Dr. (@ Dayton Way)	Beverly Hills, California.
150 E. Compton	Compton, California.
8200 E. Firestone (@ LaReina St.)	Downey, California.
201 S. Market St. (@ Manchester)	Inglewood, California.
8501 Crenshaw Blvd. (@ 85th)	Inglewood, California.
40 Pine Ave (@ let)	Long Rosch Colifornia
40 Pine Ave. (@ 1st)	Long Beach, California.
3835 Main St. 1260 3rd St. (@ Arizona Ave.)	Riverside, California.
6251 Van Nune Blad (G. Fried)	Santa Monica, California.
6351 Van Nuys Blvd. (@ Friar)	Van Nuys, California.
16623 S. Bellflower	Bellflower, California.
241 E. Main St. (@ Chappell St.)	Pall California.
6633 Atlantic Ave. (@ Bell Pl.)	Dell, California.
601 E. Foothill Blvd	Azusa, California.
	the state of the s

	Address		City and State
10	05-107 W. 4th St	Santa Ana	, California.
475 3	14 Hermosa Ave. (@ Be	ryl St.). Redondo I	Beach, California.
	7 N. Los Robles Ave.		California.
	Alpha Beta Market @ W	alnut).	
402 Bros	dway (@ 4th)		California.
	dway (@ 6th Ave.)		California.
501 Univ	ersity Ave. (@ 5th Ave		California.
	st. (@ 5th)	El Centro,	

477 In the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

Notice of appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States

Filed August 5, 1955

[File endorsement omitted.]

[Title omitted.]

I. Notice is hereby given that the United States of America, plaintiff in the above-entitled action, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of the United States from the final judgment dismissing the complaint of the United States of America, entered in this action on June 6, 1955.

This appeal is taken pursuant to Section 2 of the Expediting Act of February 11, 1903, 32 Stat. 823, 15 U. S. C. 29, as amended

by Section 17 of the Act of June 25, 1948, 62 Stat. 869.

II. The clerk will please prepare a transcript of the record in this cause, for transmission to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States, and include in said transcript the following:

1. Complaint.

2. Defendant's Answer.

3. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

4. Opinion of Judge Murphy denying Motion for Summary Judgment.

5. Plaintiff's interrogatories.

6. Defendant's answers to interrogatories.

7. Plaintiff's supplemental interrogatories.

8. Defendant's answer to supplemental interrogatories.

9. Plaintiff's exhibits, G. A. G. B and G. 1 to G. 30.

10. Defendant's acmission of facts.

- 11. Stipulation of facts with respect to competition with wholesalers.
- 12. Stipulation of facts with respect to single orders from retailers for McKesson products amounting to \$1,000.00 or more.

13. Depositions of Wilbur E. Dewell and Herman C. Nolen.

14. Transcript of the trial record.

Opinion of Judge Clancy dismissing complaint, dated June
 1955.

16. Plaintiff's Metion for Additional Findings, and court's

order of June 22, 1955, denving said Motion.

III. Section 15 U. S. C. 45 (Supp. 1952), the so-called M. Juire "fair trade" amendment, exempts from the antitrust laws manufacturers' resale price-maintenance agreements which are valid under State law, but does not exempt agreements "between whole-salers" or "between persons, firms, or corporations in competition with each other." The question presented by this appeal is whether the foregoing exemption covers such agreements between a manufacturer who also wholesales its own products and independent wholesalers.

Daniel M. Friedman, Daniel M. Friedman, John Bodner, Jr., John Bodner, Jr.,

Attorneys for the United States.

Address: Department of Justice, Washington 25, D. C.

479 Proof of service (omitted in printing)

480 [Clerk's certificate of foregoing transcript omitted in printing.]

481

Supreme Court of the United States

Order noting probable jurisdiction

December 12, 1955

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

The statement of jurisdiction in this case having been submitted and considered by the Court, probable jurisdiction is noted and the case is transferred to the summary calendar.

December 12, 1955.

¹ The district court held that the Government, in order to establish that such agreements are illegal, is required to show some "additional restraint." We contend that this ruling was erroneous.